

The Madman with Nuclear Weapons Is Donald Trump, Not Kim Jong-un

By <u>Mehdi Hasan</u> Global Research, August 10, 2017 <u>The Intercept</u> 9 August 2017 Region: <u>Asia</u> Theme: <u>Militarization and WMD</u>, <u>US NATO</u> <u>War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>NORTH KOREA</u>, <u>Nuclear</u> <u>War</u>

Featured image: People wave banners and shout slogans as they attend a rally in support of North Korea's stance against the U.S., on Kim II-sung Square in Pyongyang on August 9, 2017. (Source: The Intercept)

For once, **Donald Trump** has a point. "We can't let a madman with nuclear weapons let on the loose like that," he told Philippine **President Rodrigo Duterte**, according to the transcript from their bizarre phone conversation that was <u>leaked</u> to The Intercept in May.

The madman the U.S. president was referring to, of course, was North Korean dictator **Kim Jong-un**. The madman the rest of us should be worried about, however, is Trump himself, who — lest we forget — has the <u>sole</u>, exclusive and unrestricted power to launch almost 1,000 nuclear warheads in a matter of minutes, should he so wish.

Most <u>nonproliferation experts</u> — as well as former <u>President Jimmy Carter</u> and a number of <u>former</u> Pentagon and State Department officials, both Republican and Democrat — agree that the brutal and murderous Kim, for all his bluster, is not irrational or suicidal, but bent on preserving his regime and preventing a <u>U.S. attack</u>. Nuclear weapons are a <u>defensive</u>, not an offensive, tool for the North Korean leadership — which, as **Bill Clinton**'s defense secretary **William Perry** <u>observed</u> on Fox News in April, may be "ruthless and ... reckless" but "they are not crazy."

Got that? Kim is bad, not mad.

The same cannot be said of The Donald. Think I'm being unfair? In February, a group of psychiatrists, psychologists and social workers wrote to the <u>New York Times</u> "that the grave emotional instability indicated by Mr. Trump's speech and actions makes him incapable of serving safely as president." In April, another group of mental health experts <u>told a</u> <u>conference at Yale University's School of Medicine</u> that Trump was "paranoid" and "delusional" and referred to the president's "dangerous mental illness."

Is it any wonder then that so many <u>recent reports</u> suggest that South Koreans are more worried about Trump than they are about the threat posed by their hostile and paranoid neighbor?

Consider Trump's <u>reaction</u> this week to a confidential U.S. intelligence assessment — leaked to the <u>Washington Post</u> — that the DPRK is now able to construct a nuclear warhead small

enough to fit inside its missiles.

"North Korea best not make any more threats to the United States," the president declaimed, in response to a reporter's question at his Bedminster Golf Club on Tuesday. "They will be met with fire and fury like the world has never seen. He has been very threatening beyond a normal state. And as I said, they will be met with fire, fury and frankly power the likes of which this world has never seen before."

How is this not an unhinged response from the so-called Leader of the Free World? In May, he said he would be <u>"honored"</u> to meet with Kim and praised him as a "pretty smart cookie." In August, he took a break from his golfing vacation to casually threaten nuclear annihilation of Kim's country (not even on the basis of any aggression by the DPRK, incidentally, but only their "threats").

Does Trump understand the difference between escalating and de-escalating a nuclear crisis? Listen to Republican **Senator John McCain**, who has never met a "rogue nation" he did not want to <u>bomb</u>, invade or occupy.

"I take exception to the president's words," McCain <u>said</u> on Tuesday, adding: "That kind of rhetoric, I'm not sure how it helps."

I mean, just how crazy do you have to be to advocate a preemptive nuclear strike that even McCain cannot get behind?

Trump has form, though, when it comes to loose talk about nukes. During the presidential campaign, in August 2016, MSNBC host and ex-Republican congressman **Joe Scarborough** revealed that Trump, over the course of an hour-long briefing with a senior foreign policy adviser, had asked three times about the use of nuclear weapons. At one point during the meeting, according to Scarborough, the then-GOP presidential candidate asked his adviser,

"If we had them, why can't we use them?"

To be so blasé, enthusiastic even, about the deployment of the ultimate weapon of mass destruction is a stark indicator of Trump's childishness, ignorance, belligerence, and, yes, <u>derangement</u>. Here is a president who is impulsive, erratic, unstable; whose entire life and career have been defined by a complete lack of empathy. Remember his strategy for defeating ISIS? <u>"Bomb the shit out of 'em"</u> and <u>"take out their families."</u>

So do you think civilian casualties were on his mind when he issued his "fire and fury" warning? Come. Off. It.

Listen to McCain's fellow Republican super-hawk **Senator Lindsay Graham**.

"If there's going to be a war to stop [Kim], it will be over there," Graham told <u>NBC's **Matt Lauer**</u> last week, recounting a recent conversation he had with the president. "If thousands die, they're going to die over there. They're not going to die over here — and he's told me that to my face."

"This is madness," **Kingston Reif**, a nuclear disarmament specialist the Arms Control Association, <u>tweeted</u> in response to Graham's re-telling of Trump's remarks. "Unhinged madness."

Remember that 72 years ago today, the United States dropped the second atomic bomb on Japan, killing around <u>39,000 people</u> in Nagasaki. Three days earlier, the first A-bomb killed around <u>66,000 people</u> in Hiroshima. But a nuclear war on the Korean peninsula would make those strikes on Hiroshima and Nagasaki look like pinpricks. Experts say even a conventional war between the U.S. and the DPRK could kill more than <u>1 million people</u>; a nuclear exchange, therefore, might result in <u>tens of millions</u> of casualties. Trump's national security adviser, **H.R. McMaster**, has admitted that such a preemptive strike by the U.S. would be a <u>"humanitarian catastrophe."</u>

Does the president care? Graham doesn't seem to think so. Trump's former ghostwriter **Tony Schwartz**, who spent 18 months in his company while working on The Art of the Deal, has called the president a <u>"sociopath."</u> In fact, one quote more than any other stood out from <u>Schwartz's much-discussed interview with the New Yorker</u> in July 2016 and, perhaps, should keep us all awake at night.

"I genuinely believe that if Trump wins and gets the nuclear codes," said Schwartz, "there is an excellent possibility it will lead to the end of civilization."

We can't say we weren't warned.

The original source of this article is <u>The Intercept</u> Copyright © <u>Mehdi Hasan</u>, <u>The Intercept</u>, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Mehdi Hasan

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca