

# The Illusion of Palestine Statehood: Inhumanity, Illegality and Grand Theft

By [Felicity Arbuthnot](#)

Global Research, December 05, 2012

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Crimes against Humanity](#), [Law and Justice](#), [United Nations](#)

In-depth Report: [PALESTINE](#)

*“But then, once in a lifetime the longed for tidal wave of justice can rise up, and hope and history rhyme.*

*Believe that a further shore is reachable from here.*

*Believe in miracles” (Seamus Heaney, b.1939.)*

Palestine’s recognition at the United Nations as a Non-Member Observer State, led the self proclaimed “only democracy in the Middle East” to hurl its metaphorical toys out of the pram, appropriate \$120 million of Palestine’s dues, and the day after the vote, grab a further vast swathe of land to build 3,000 more illegal homes. On 4th December Israel announced a further 3,600, thus now a total of 6,600.

It is worth revisiting the original UN Resolution’s detailed conditions for the establishment of what James Arthur Balfour had envisaged as:

“... the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people, it being clearly understood that **nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities** ...” (2nd November 1917.)

UN General Assembly Resolution 181, of 29th November 1947 – sixty five years to the day before last Friday’s landmark vote in Palestine’s favour – is enshrined in the Declaration of the Establishment of the State of Israel. That the Palestinian State continued to exist in freedom not subjugation alongside the fledgling Israeli one is crystal clear.

On 5th March 1948, Rabbi Silver, representing the Jewish Agency for Palestine at the UN stated to the Security Council, regarding Resolution 181:

“Nevertheless, reluctantly but loyally, we accepted the decision which appeared fair and reasonable to the United Nations”

“We feel under the obligation to make our position unmistakably clear. As far as the Jewish people are concerned, they have accepted the decision of the United Nations. We regard it as binding, and we are resolved to move forward in the spirit of that decision. “(i)

By the 19th March Rabbi Silver was already backtracking: “The setting up of one State was not made conditional upon the setting up of the other State”, he told the UN. The intent of

the erosion of the Palestinian State had been declared.

Resolution 181(ii) is unambiguous and includes:

“Independent Arab and Jewish States and the Special International Regime for the City of Jerusalem ... shall come into existence in Palestine two months after the evacuation of the armed forces of the mandatory Power has been completed, but in any case not later than 1 October 1948. The boundaries of the Arab State, the Jewish State, and the City of Jerusalem shall be as described in parts II and III below.”

They are, in minute detail.

“Holy Places and religious buildings or sites shall be preserved. No act shall be permitted which may in any way impair their sacred character.” Which pretty well excludes vandalizing, bombing, walling them in or out, or bulldozing them for parks or museums as has and is happening, to deafening silence from the UN, UNESCO, The World Monuments Fund Watch List or world governments.

“No expropriation of land owned by an Arab in the Jewish State (or) by a Jew in the Arab State shall be allowed except for public purposes. In all cases of expropriation full compensation as fixed by the Supreme Court shall be paid previous to dispossession.” Comment redundant. Not alone land and homes have been stolen, Palestine itself of course, see 1947 map and now. (iii.)

“No discrimination of any kind shall be made between the inhabitants on the ground of race, religion, language or sex.”

On 21st November, as the ceasefire in Gaza took hold, Dutch columnist, author and Visiting Fellow at the US Hudson Institute, Leon de Winter, at a solidarity meeting with Dutch Jews in Amsterdam told an audience including Israeli Ambassador Hiam Devon: “Maybe we should secretly add some means of birth control to Gaza’s drinking water.” A remark reported met with appreciative laughter.(iv.)

From ghetto-creating to eugenics. Founder of the Hudson Institute, Herman Kahn envisaged Hudson’s mission as: “To think about the future in unconventional ways ... “

Incidentally, Gaza’s water has of course been mostly appropriated by Israel. During the latest bombing Save the Children reported:

“There is no clean water so children are going to have to start drinking the polluted tap water soon which is going to cause more severe health problems. When they fall ill their parents can’t take them to hospital.”

“With so many children already malnourished and suffering from anaemia, the impact on children’s health is potentially devastating.”

Gilad Sharon, son of former Prime Minister Ariel Sharon exhorted: “We need to flatten entire neighborhoods in Gaza. Flatten all of Gaza. The Americans didn’t stop with Hiroshima — the Japanese weren’t surrendering fast enough, so they hit Nagasaki too.” Interior Minister Eli Yishai declared that the goal of the current operation is to “send Gaza back to the Middle Ages.”

Incredibly, the Honorary Chairwoman of the Israeli Fund for UNICEF, American born socialite, tv and radio host and mother of five, Shalom Nir-Mozes, wife of Israel's Deputy Prime Minister, called for even the passive to "suffer", amongst other shameful, racist comments.(v) Israeli politicians have referred to their Palestinian neighbours as "vermin" and "cockroaches." So much for: "No discrimination of any kind ..."

Citizenship:

"Palestinian citizens residing in Palestine outside the City of Jerusalem, as well as Arabs and Jews who, not holding Palestinian citizenship, reside in Palestine outside the City of Jerusalem shall, upon the recognition of independence, become citizens of the State in which they are resident and enjoy full civil and political rights."

"The City of Jerusalem shall be established as a corpus separatum under a special international regime and shall be administered by the United Nations ... To protect and to preserve the unique spiritual and religious interests located in the city of the three great monotheistic faiths throughout the world, Christian, Jewish and Moslem; to this end to ensure that order and peace, and especially religious peace, reign in Jerusalem."

On Sunday 2nd December Prime Minister Binyamin Netanyahu declared that Israel would continue to build in Jerusalem and anywhere it considered to be in its strategic interests.

"The provisions of chapters 1 and 2 of the declaration shall be under the guarantee of the United Nations, and no modifications shall be made in them without the assent of the General Assembly of the United Nations." The United Nations, it seems has been struck near dumb for sixty five years.

"Operation in the common interest on a non-discriminatory basis of railways; inter-State highways; postal, telephone and telegraphic services, and port and airports involved in international trade and commerce", has apparently been interpreted as bomb the airport, blockade the ports, rigidly restrict all access by road or border and lay siege to near everything, in or out.

"There shall be a common customs tariff with complete freedom of trade between the States, and between the States and the City of Jerusalem." Further:"The Joint Economic Board shall endeavour to secure for Palestine's export fair and equal access to world markets."

What comment could possibly suffice? As also below :

"Arabs and Jews residing in the City of Jerusalem who have signed a notice of intention to become citizens, the Arabs of the Arab State and the Jews of the Jewish State, shall be entitled to vote in the Arab and Jewish States respectively."

"Accepting the obligation of the State to refrain in its international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity of political independence of any State, or in any other manner inconsistent with the purposes of the United Nations."

"In so far as Holy Places are concerned, the liberty of access, visit and transit shall be guaranteed, in conformity with existing rights, to all residents and citizens of the other State and of the City of Jerusalem, as well as to aliens, without distinction as to nationality, subject to requirements of national security, public order and decorum."

“Holy Places and religious buildings or sites shall be preserved. No act shall be permitted which may in any way impair their sacred character.”

Planning to blow up the Al Aqsa Mosque (est.705 CE), Islam’s third most holy site and the Dome of the Rock, to cause spiritual awakening in Israel and build The Third Temple of Jerusalem – a future Jewish holy temple described in the Book of Ezekiel – hardly falls within the spirit of UNGA 181.

Neither does pitching up there, as did the then Prime Minister Ariel Sharon and members of the Likud Party, with a thousand armed guards. Or laying siege to the Church of the Nativity itself (established 333 AD) in 2002, in Bethlehem’s “little town.” In May 2011 fifty Israeli settlers barricaded themselves inside Joseph’s Tomb in Nablus. On 4th December 2012 a mosque in an area under Palestinian civil control was demolished for the second time. Forget “ ... public order and decorum (preserving) sacred character”, violations of Holy sites are too numerous to list.

UNESCO finally limped in and listed the Church of the Nativity a World Heritage Site in June 2012.

“No discrimination of any kind shall be made between the inhabitants on the ground of race, religion, language or sex.”

“All persons within the jurisdiction of the State shall be entitled to equal protection of the laws.”

As for Israel’s assertion of taking a “land without a people” nonsense, in Resolution 181 “Palestine” is repeatedly referred to, for instance: “Commercial concessions granted in respect of any part of Palestine prior to the adoption of the resolution by the General Assembly shall continue to be valid according to their terms, unless modified by agreement between the concession-holder and the State.”

Moreover: ‘In the declaration concerning the Arab State, the words “by an Arab in the Jewish State” should be replaced by the words “by a Jew in the Arab State.” ‘

There are plenty more fine, meaningless, trampled over words and paragraphs. Forced expulsions from their homeland on the birth of Israel, have resulted the Palestinian refugee population being amongst the world’s largest. At least six million, of whom the eldest have been waiting over half a century to return home.

Addressing the UN ahead of the vote on the 29th November, Mahmoud Abbas said: “The General Assembly is called upon today to issue a birth certificate of the reality of the State of Palestine.” They did. Israel’s subsequent actions were not alone toy throwing, but an attempt to steal the certificate and burn down the Office of Birth Registrations.

But were the actions in the wake of a historic vote on a historic day finally the start of a global wake up call to ongoing and historic injustice?

The UK, France, Spain, Denmark, Sweden speedily summoned the Israeli Ambassadors as have Australia and Brazil all condemning the actions. The UK and France are seemingly mooting recalling their Ambassadors to Israel.

Russia and Germany have expressed opposition to settlements. The official Twitter account

of Russia's Ministry of Foreign Affairs stated : "Israeli construction on Palestinian territory occupied in 1967 is illegal, unrecognized and condemned by Russia and internationally."

UN Secretary General Ban Ki-moon bleated weakly that Israel's actions would: " ... deal a fatal blow to the peace process", apparently not noticing it died and was buried years ago. A US statement was predictably equally supine: "counterproductive."

However, The Palestinian Authority has announced it is to change its name to Palestine. Belgium has announced it is to upgrade Palestine Delegation Office to a full Embassy, with the representative to Brussels upgraded to an Ambassador. It is thought other countries may follow.

**And on Monday 3rd December, the UN General Assembly voted by a landslide 174-6 that Israel joins the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty "without further delay" and open its nuclear facilities to inspection by the International Atomic Energy Authority.**

Israel, the US and Canada voted against, with three atolls, Micronesia (pop:106,487) Palau (pop: 19,000) and the Marshall Islands (pop:68,480.).

There were just six abstentions. Has Israel finally overstepped the mark? Is it possible that inch by inch, the tide is finally turning, "that a further shore is reachable from here"?

#### Notes

i. <http://talknic.wordpress.com/tag/unga-resolution-181/>

ii. <http://domino.un.org/unispal.nsf/0/7f0af2bd897689b785256c330061d253>

iii. <http://www.veteranstoday.com/2010/04/28/the-map-shows-palestinian-loss-of-land/>

iv .

<http://electronicintifada.net/blogs/ali-abunimah/sterilize-palestinian-people-dutch-writer-leon-de-winter-says-israeli-ambassador>

v .

<http://electronicintifada.net/blogs/ali-abunimah/badwill-ambassador-honorary-chair-israeli-fund-unicef-wants-palestinian-children>

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Felicity Arbuthnot](#), Global Research, 2012

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **Felicity  
Arbuthnot**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)