

# The Great Fed-Financed Dollar Decline and Stock Market Rally of 2009

By [Prof Rodrigue Tremblay](#)

Global Research, September 23, 2009

[The New American Empire](#) 23 September 2009

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#)

“The liberty of a democracy is not safe if the people tolerate the growth of private power to the point where it becomes stronger than the democratic state itself. That in its essence is fascism — ownership of government by an individual, by a group or any controlling private power.” Franklin D. Roosevelt (1882-1945), 32nd and longest-serving US president

“This great and powerful force—the accumulated wealth of the United States—has taken over all the functions of Government, Congress, the issue of money, and banking and the army and navy in order to have a band of mercenaries to do their bidding and protect their stolen property.” Senator Richard Pettigrew, Triumphant Plutocracy, 1922

‘I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks and corporations that will grow up around the banks will deprive the people of all property until their children wake-up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered..’ Thomas Jefferson, (1743-1826), 3rd US President, 1802

The U.S. national debt clock [<http://www.usdebtclock.org/>] is clicking and it is fast approaching the \$12 trillion mark, all the while the Fed (less a central bank than the banks' Bank) is printing new money like crazy and lending it to its client banks at close to zero interest rates (i.e. at negative interest rates). What is wrong with this picture? It simply means that most Americans are losing big at this game, but a handful of mega-banks and their affiliates are raking in tremendous amounts of money in easily made profits.

Indeed, the Federal Reserve's balance sheet has more than doubled since August 2007, going from \$870 billion to more than \$2 trillion. It is expected to keep growing as banks avail themselves of the cheap funds the Fed made available to them. The Fed, indeed, has the unique ability to create new dollars (paper currency) for the accounts of assets (good or bad) that it buys from banks, the Treasury, or other entities. This increases the monetary base (the sum of currency plus total banking reserves), and banks through their lending can expand this money supply even further.

[<http://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/h6/Current/>]

And the Fed has been extraordinarily generous to the banks, the largest of them are in fact owners of the twelve regional Fed banks.

[\[http://www.federalreserve.gov/Pubs/frseries/frseri3.htm\]](http://www.federalreserve.gov/Pubs/frseries/frseri3.htm)

In fact, the Fed has broken practically every central banking rule in order to provide cheap funds to the banks. First, it has pushed the fed funds rate to close to zero so banks could have credit at close to zero cost to them. Second, it has expanded the range and quality of assets it stood ready to accept as collateral for its loans to the banks, so much so that it can be said that the U.S. Fed is presently creating new money backed by the shakiest of assets, some being called “toxic waste”. This is reminiscent of the eighteenth century (beginning in 1789) practice of the French revolutionary government of creating new money (the assignats)

[\[http://www.answers.com/topic/assignat\]](http://www.answers.com/topic/assignat) backed by the seized properties of the Catholic Church.

Let’s summarize quickly the numerous ways the Fed (and to a certain extent, the U.S. Treasury) have found to channel cheap funds to the banks and to brokers. In September 2008, some investment banks, such as Goldman Sachs and J.P. Morgan, [\[http://www.bankrealestate.com/industry-news/goldman-sachs-and-jpmorgan-to-become-commercial-bank-holding-companies.html\]](http://www.bankrealestate.com/industry-news/goldman-sachs-and-jpmorgan-to-become-commercial-bank-holding-companies.html) officially became commercial banks in order to profit from the Fed’s new generosity.

- The Term Auction Facility [\[http://www.federalreserve.gov/monetarypolicy/taf.htm\]](http://www.federalreserve.gov/monetarypolicy/taf.htm) (TAF);
- The Primary Dealer Credit Facility (PDCF);
- The Foreign Exchange Swap programs (the currency swap lines) [\[http://www.aeablog.com/foreign-currency-liquidity-swap-lines-redux/\];](http://www.aeablog.com/foreign-currency-liquidity-swap-lines-redux/)
- The Commercial Paper Funding Facility (CPFF);
- The Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility (TALF);
- The Agency debt, Agency mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and Treasury purchase programs;
- The Treasury’s \$700 billion Troubled Asset Relief Program [\[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Troubled\\_Asset\\_Relief\\_Program\]](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Troubled_Asset_Relief_Program) (TARP);
- The payment of interest on the banks’ excess reserves at the Fed.

The last disposition is worthy of attention. Because of the easy and cheap lending to the banks, the latter piled up tremendous amounts of excess reserves at the Fed, reaching more than \$700 billion. Normally, banks would quickly lend these non-interest paying excess reserves to the economy. But, in October 2008, the Fed got imaginative and obtained the authority to pay interest on the banks’ reserves, including excess reserves, at a risk-free rate (the IOER rate). Since then, the banks have been earning interest on their excess reserve holdings, and therefore had little inclination to lend those reserves out to creditworthy but nevertheless risky borrowers in the rest of the economy. With this practice, the circle has been closed, and the Fed was able to provide needed funds to the banks, at close to zero cost, and enable them to rid themselves of their bad investments, without

risking creating inflation. That's quite a banking salvage operation that will be studied by economists in detail in the future.

Indeed, it was well understood after the onset of the financial crisis in August 2007, that public capital would be needed to refinance the American banking system. Private capital was too risk adverse to do that. What was less understood was the fact that the Bush administration, and now the Obama administration that continues this policy, intended to provide this capital at close to no cost to the banks and with very scant conditions.

But who really paid and has continued to pay for this imaginative recapitalization of American banks, and who profits the most?

First of all, of course, bank profits, [<http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=14586>] specially those profits by big international banks, have exploded. Bank stocks [<http://www.fool.com/investing/value/2009/03/19/bank-stocks-explode.aspx>] have followed suit with tremendous gains. That's why I say the stock market rally since March 5 (2009) has been a liquidity-driven rally, engineered by the Fed.

And it is easy to see why banks raked in so much money: They have been borrowing funds at close to zero cost to themselves and either were paid by the Fed to leave these funds unused or they have used them, with leverage through their hedge fund like activities, to buy interest-paying assets in the U.S. or abroad. In essence, the large "too big to fail" have been allowed to make various trading bets with the cheap public capital provided by the Fed. They gorged themselves with near free public money and used it to enrich themselves, and very little to finance the real economy.

One profitable trade, among others, that large international banks and other operators are found to embrace is a form of arbitrage: They borrow and sell the currency of the country that has the lowest possible short-term funding costs and invest the proceeds in countries whose currency and asset markets yield the most. This has the consequence of depreciating further the currency with low interest rates and of appreciating the other currencies.

During the 1990s, the Japanese economy was in the doldrums. Its short-term interest rates, just as in the U.S. today, were close to zero. International banks and hedge funds would then borrow yens in Japan, sell them for dollars or euros and invest the proceeds in high-yielding financial assets in the U.S. or in Europe. Provided the interest rate environment does not change suddenly, this sort of "carry trade" is an easy way to make money. The result, however, is a more depressed currency than necessary for the low interest rate country and more imported inflation as the price of imported goods (oil, food, commodities...) increases.

The U.S. is presently in that predicament. The U.S. Fed and Treasury have abandoned the U.S. dollar and the large international banks have depressed it further at the same time they fill their coffers. That is why we can say that, besides the profitable carry currency trade that banks and other operators employ to dump the U.S. dollar on foreign exchange markets, this currency will remain under pressure for as long as the spread of short-term interest rates favors other currencies and as long as the spread of expected inflation rates and of expected economic growth remain stable. Paradoxically, longer-term interest rates have only increased marginally. This is because banks and other Fed borrowers, when they do not leave their low interest-paying excess reserves dormant at the Fed, can buy risk-free Treasury bonds. This has the consequence of depressing longer-term interest rates and of

boosting stock market prices, even as inflation expectations are on the rise.

What is to be understood is that the weak dollar is the direct consequence of the Fed's extraordinary cheap money policy. To summarize, the average American household is being hit from all sides with this policy. First, if it is a net creditor (as most retirees are), its savings are earning paltry returns (most likely negative after inflation and taxes). Second, the U.S. dollar keeps falling in value, raising the cost of traveling abroad and of everything that is imported. Third, real incomes fall with rising prices as the purchasing power of stable or declining money incomes contracts. Fourth, the exploding public debt will translate sooner or later into higher taxes, thus reducing private disposable incomes. All in all, the standard of living of most people falls.

Don't get me wrong. I do not question the need to inject liquidity into the banking system after the onset of the financial crisis in August 2007. What I question is the way this was done and how the public interest was sacrificed in favor of narrow private interests. Indeed it was done in the worst possible social way, with private gains and social costs. They (the Bush and Obama administrations) recapitalized the banks to the benefit of a small class of bankers, while taxing the entire population in a multitude of ways to finance the public subsidy.

There were other ways to attain the same end without taxing the many for the benefit of a few. The U.S. Treasury and the U.S. Fed, both under the Bush administration and the Obama administration discarded these solutions. That's where the scandal lies. But since it is likely that only a handful of senators and congressmen understand what has happened, I would not be too confident in expecting that there would ever be a public investigation of the scandal, beginning with Congress auditing the Federal Reserve's subsidized banking loans to large banks and its lack of needed regulatory activities. Kudos, however, to the Manhattan Chief U.S. District Court Judge who has ordered the Fed to make public its lending records.

[\[http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=20601087&sid=av\\_bCYnKeIUk\]](http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=20601087&sid=av_bCYnKeIUk)

Similarly, at least, some timid steps are being taken in the U.S. and in Europe to impose some limits or restrictions on the discretionary and exorbitant bankers' bonuses.

[\[http://online.wsj.com/article/SB125324292666522101.html\]](http://online.wsj.com/article/SB125324292666522101.html) This comes a bit late, and we shall see if this is merely some political window-dressing to deflect criticism or if it is a structural step to curb oligopolistic and abusive banking practices.

Rodrigue Tremblay [\[http://www.thenewamericanempire.com/author.htm\]](http://www.thenewamericanempire.com/author.htm) is professor emeritus of economics at the University of Montreal and can be reached at [rodrigue.tremblay@yahoo.com](mailto:rodrigue.tremblay@yahoo.com). He is the author of the book 'The New American Empire'.

[\[http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/0741418878/qid=1088897483/sr=1-5/ref=sr\\_1\\_5/104-6665916-6565553s=books&v=glance&n=283155\]](http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/0741418878/qid=1088897483/sr=1-5/ref=sr_1_5/104-6665916-6565553s=books&v=glance&n=283155)

Visit his blog site at [www.thenewamericanempire.com/blog](http://www.thenewamericanempire.com/blog).

Check out Dr. Tremblay's coming book "The Code for Global Ethics" at: <http://www.TheCodeForGlobalEthics.com/>

The French version of the book is now available. See:  
<http://www.lecodepouruneethiqueglobale.com/>

or:

[http://www.amazon.ca/Code-pour-une-éthique-globale/dp/2895781737/ref=sr\\_11\\_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1232631477&sr=11-1](http://www.amazon.ca/Code-pour-une-éthique-globale/dp/2895781737/ref=sr_11_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1232631477&sr=11-1)

The original source of this article is [The New American Empire](#)  
Copyright © [Prof Rodrigue Tremblay](#), [The New American Empire](#), 2009

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Prof Rodrigue Tremblay](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)