

The Fallacy of Calling Senator McCain or Anyone Else a “War Hero”: “How could killing humans have been fun? Can God forgive me?”

By [Daniel Borgstrom](#)

Global Research, September 02, 2018

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [History](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above

GR Editor’s Note:

“How could killing humans have been fun? Can God forgive me?” is not a quote from John McCain, it is from a Vietnam War veteran (quoted by the author) “who came back with medals. He didn’t seem to consider himself a ‘hero’.”

*Obit scribblers are calling **John McCain** a war “hero.” Well, I have to concede that unlike so many warmongering chickenhawks such as Karl Rove, Paul Wolfowitz, Robert Kagan and most other neocons, McCain did actually serve in the military. But the same could be said for nearly all top Nazis including Hitler and Goering; they fought in a war and they loved war. They were destructive persons who learned nothing positive from their military experience.*

Of course few of the pundits and politicians who are eulogizing McCain would wish to include Nazis in their hall of fame, nor would most of them care to designate most neocons as anything less than patriots. So what is it that might qualify someone as a hero, or as a war criminal? Having been in the military, I sometimes think about that. These are some thoughts that come to mind.

Heroism is sort of like morality, it’s usually defined by the powers that be. And a lot of it has to do with being in the right place at the right time. An example of that would be the five Marines in the famous photo of the flag-raising on Iwo Jima. What made them more heroic than the many thousands of other GIs who fought on that and other islands in the Pacific, you might ask. And the answer is: time & place, plus a photographer to take their picture. So they were in a dramatic photo, and that was at a time when the government needed heroes to sell war bonds.



AP / Joe Rosenthal, 1945

Military discipline is such that soldiers tend to do as told, even under fire. It's a military axiom that soldiers fear their sergeants more than they fear the enemy's bullets, and I think there's a huge amount of truth in that. Even though a sergeant may not be particularly fearsome, there's a huge power structure behind him. Individual soldiers become part of the military machine.

My friend [Van Dale Todd](#) was in Vietnam and came back with medals. He didn't seem to consider himself a "hero," what he emphasized was that he'd been through an experience. "You don't know what it's like to see your buddies die!" he often said, and then one night he killed himself in front of me. That was in San Francisco, in 1972. In his diary he'd written, **"How could killing humans have been fun? Can God forgive me?"**

Many Vietnam veterans suffered from PTSD. Many died before their time, some shortly after coming home, others years or even decades later, in their 30s or even in their 50s, not necessarily from physical injuries, but often from invisible damage they'd incurred during the war. I never met any who considered themselves "heroes."

War criminals? Van never spoke of himself as being a "war criminal," but **he'd been trained to enjoy killing "the enemy,"** and I think it bothered him immensely that he had enjoyed it. That, I think, was a major factor in his suicide. Certainly not the only factor. He took part in antiwar actions, and it shocked him to find nobody representing the power structure (news reporters or judges) would hear what he had to say. Of course, the corporate media makes a big show of honoring military personnel and veterans — but only as long as we go along with the bullshit, buy into their narrative and regurgitate propaganda. During the Vietnam War, media pundits used to tell us that the U.S. was there to defend democracy, and to back it up they'd say, "Ask a GI!" implying that people who'd been in the military believed in the war and would speak in support of it. Well, you probably

know the rest of that story.

I often think of the characters in the Iliad and the Odyssey, wondering how those guys could be considered heroes. Socrates apparently thought they were; he held Achilles up as an inspiring example of a man who stood by his principles. That strikes me as really strange. In my view, Achilles was the archetypal spoiled brat who just wanted to have his own way. Then there was Odysseus, a notorious liar, who got tangled up in his own lies, and that's basically what brought about the loss of his ships and the deaths of his crews on the way back to Ithaca. The leader of it all was King Agamemnon, a rather poor general, also a poor father who sacrificed his own daughter, and on returning to his home at the end of the war he was killed by his wife, which is about what he deserved. Those "heroes" were made of rather poor stuff, and a couple of their gods, Zeus and Athena, both of them deceitful schemers, weren't too great either. The only person in the Iliad who comes off as genuinely heroic is Hector. It's interesting that Homer, presumably a Greek himself, would present their enemy's champion and other Trojans as being about the only decent persons in the whole story.

Achilles, Odysseus, Agamemnon and all the rest of them. Those were the men who fought the Trojan War, the elite officer class, that is. Homer called them heroes and sang their praises, but tongue in cheek, while carefully letting us know who those guys really were.

*

This article was originally published on the author's blog site: [Daniel's Free Speech Zone](#).

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Daniel Borgstrom](#), Global Research, 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Daniel
Borgstrom](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long as the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca