

The Empire's "Left Intellectuals" Call for Regime Change. The Role of "Progressives" and the Antiwar Movement

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)
Global Research, January 28, 2019
Global Research 9 January 2018

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)
Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)
In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

This article was first published in January 2018.

What is the position of the West's "Progressives" with regard to regime change in Venezuela?

Several prominent intellectuals are calling for a "negotiated settlement" between the Maduro government and "the opposition" led by the self proclaimed interim president Juan Guaido . It should be obvious that this proposal is redundant and contradictory. The leader of the National Assembly Juan Guaido is a US proxy (instrument of a foreign government) who will be "negotiating" on behalf of Washington.

Michel Chossudovsky, Global Research, January 28, 2019

*What is now unfolding in both North America and Western Europe is fake social activism, controlled and funded by the corporate establishment. This manipulated process precludes the formation of **a real mass movement against war, racism and social injustice.***

The anti-war movement is dead. The war on Syria is tagged as "a civil war".

The war on Yemen is also portrayed as a civil war. While the bombing is by Saudi Arabia, the insidious role of the US is downplayed or casually ignored. "The US is not directly involved so there is no need for us to wage an anti-war campaign". (paraphrase)



War and neoliberalism are no longer at the forefront of civil society activism. Funded by corporate charities, via a network of non-governmental organizations, social activism tends to be piecemeal. There is no integrated anti-globalization anti-war movement. The economic crisis is not seen as having a relationship to US led wars.

In turn, dissent has become compartmentalized. Separate “issue oriented” protest movements (e.g. environment, anti-globalization, peace, women’s rights, LGBT) are encouraged and generously funded as opposed to a cohesive mass movement against global capitalism.

This mosaic was already prevalent in the counter G7 summits and People’s Summits of the 1990s and also from the inception of the World Social Forum in 2000, which rarely adopted a meaningful anti-war stance.

Through staged protest events sponsored by NGOs and generously funded by corporate foundations, the unspoken objective is to create profound divisions within Western society, which serve to uphold the existing social order as well as the military agenda.

Syria

It is worth underscoring the role of so-called “progressive” intellectuals in paying lip service to the US-NATO military agenda. This is nothing new.

Segments of the anti-war movement which opposed the 2003 invasion of Iraq are tacitly supportive of Trump’s punitive airstrikes directed against Syria’s “Assad regime” allegedly involved in “killing their own people”, gassing them to death in a premeditated chemical weapons attack. According to Trump “Assad choked out the lives of helpless men women and children”.

America’s **Noam Chomsky** in [an April 5 2017 interview with “Democracy Now”](#) (aired two days before Trump’s April 2017 punitive airstrikes against Syria) favors “regime change”, intimating that a negotiated “removal” of Bashar al Assad could lead to a peaceful settlement.

According to Chomsky: **“The Assad regime is a moral disgrace. They’re carrying out horrendous acts, the Russians with them.”** (emphasis added) Strong statement with no supporting evidence and documentation provided. Apology for Trump’s war crimes? The victims of imperialism are casually blamed for the crimes of imperialism:

...You know, you can’t tell them, “We’re going to murder you. Please negotiate.” That’s not going to work. But some system in which, in the course of negotiations ...[with the Russians], ... **he [Bashar al-Assad] would be removed, and some kind of settlement would be made.** The West would not accept it, ... At the time, they believed they could overthrow Assad, so they didn’t want to do this, so the war went on. Could it have worked? You never know for sure. But it could have been pursued. Meanwhile, Qatar and Saudi Arabia are supporting jihadi groups, which are not all that different from ISIS. So you have a horror story on all sides. The Syrian people are being decimated.

(Noam Chomsky on Democracy Now, April 5, 2017, See the [video of the Democracy Now interview with Chomsky here](#))

NOAM CHOMSKY: Bunch of "ragheads"; it's all about the same. But, well, there are some things we know for sure. There was a serious chemical weapons attack. Nobody doubts that. It's plausible that it was the Syrian government, which does raise some questions. It's not so obvious why the Assad regime would have carried out a chemical warfare attack at a moment when it's pretty much winning the war, and the worst danger it faces is that a counterforce will enter to undermine its progress. So it does raise some questions. It also -- even though maybe you can think up some reason why the Assad regime, which is a murderous, brutal regime, might have done it, there's even another question as to why the Russians would have allowed it. Now, remember, this is a -- the air base is a joint Russian-Syrian base. Russia has plenty of clout in Syria. And for them, it's a total disaster. They have global concerns, not just local concerns in Syria. So there are some concerns.

Update, Scan of Chomsky Interview Democracy Now, April 26, 2017

Similarly in Britain, **Tariq Ali**, tagged by the U.K. media as the Left’s prime leader of Britain’s anti-war movement going back to the Vietnam war, has also called for the removal of president Bashar al Assad. While adopting a progressive stance on a number of issues, his discourse regarding Syria is not dissimilar from that of the Washington war hawks:

“He [Assad] has to be pushed out,... [for which] the Syrian people are doing their best... The fact is that the overwhelming majority of people in Syria want the Assad family out - and that is the key thing that we have to understand and he [Assad] should understand...

Syria needs a non-sectarian national government to prepare a new constitution... If the Assad clan refuses to relinquish their stronghold on the country, sooner or later something disastrous will happen... That is the future that stares them in the face, there is no other future,” ” [RT 2012 interview](#)

Tariq Ali, who is a spokesperson for **Britain's Stop the War** Coalition, fails to mention that US-NATO and their allies are actively involved in the recruitment, training and arming of a (largely foreign) terrorist mercenary army.

Under the "progressive" mantle of Britain's anti-war movement, Ali tacitly provides legitimacy to Western military intervention on humanitarian grounds under the banner of the "War on Terrorism" and the so-called "Responsibility to Protect"(R2P). The fact that both Al Qaeda and ISIS-Daesh are supported (covertly) by US-NATO is not mentioned.

According to [British author William Bowles](#), Tariq Ali is one among many of the Empire's Lefty intellectuals who has served to distort anti-war activism in both North America and Western Europe:

It exemplifies the contradiction of being an alleged socialist at home and enjoying the privilege of being part of the Empire's intellectual elite, ... whilst dictating to Syria what it should and shouldn't do. I fail to see the distinction between Ali's arrogance and that of the West, that called for exactly the same thing! Assad has to go!

The Existing Anti-War movement

Global capitalism finances anti-capitalism: an absurd and contradictory relationship.

There can be no meaningful anti-war movement when dissent is generously funded by those same corporate interests which are the target of the protest movement. In the words of McGeorge Bundy, president of the Ford Foundation (1966-1979), "Everything the [Ford] Foundation did could be regarded as 'making the World safe for capitalism'". And several "Lefty intellectuals" serve the role of "making the World safe" for the warmongers.

Today's antiwar protest does not question the legitimacy of those to whom the protest is addressed. At this juncture, "progressives" -funded by major foundations and endorsed by the mainstream media- are an obstacle to the formation of a meaningful and articulate grassroots antiwar movement acting both nationally and internationally.



A consistent antiwar movement must also confront various forms of cooption within its ranks, namely the fact that a significant sector of so-called "progressive" opinion tacitly supports US foreign policy including "humanitarian interventions" under UN/NATO auspices.

An antiwar movement funded by major corporate foundations is the cause rather than the solution. A coherent antiwar movement cannot be funded by warmongers.

The Road Ahead

What is required is **the development of a broad based grassroots network** which seeks to disable patterns of authority and decision making pertaining to war.

This network would be established at all levels in society, towns and villages, work places, parishes. Trade unions, farmers organizations, professional associations, business associations, student unions, veterans associations, church groups would be called upon to integrate the antiwar organizational structure. Of crucial importance, this movement should extend into the Armed Forces as a means to breaking the legitimacy of war among service men and women.

The first task would be to disable war propaganda through an effective campaign against media disinformation.

The corporate media would be directly challenged, leading to boycotts of major news outlets, which are responsible for channelling disinformation into the news chain. This endeavor would require a parallel process at the grass roots level, of sensitizing and educating fellow citizens on the nature of the war and the global crisis, as well as effectively “spreading the word” through advanced networking, through alternative media outlets on the internet, etc. In recent developments, the independent online media has been the target of manipulation and censorship, precisely with a view to undermining anti-war activism on the internet.

The creation of such a movement, which forcefully challenges the legitimacy of the structures of political authority, is no easy task. **It would require a degree of solidarity, unity and commitment unparalleled in World history.** It would require breaking down political and ideological barriers within society and **acting with a single voice.** It would also require eventually unseating the war criminals, and indicting them for war crimes.

Order Directly from Global Research Publishers

[The Globalization of War: America’s “Long War” against Humanity](#)

Michel Chossudovsky



America’s hegemonic project in the post 9/11 era is the “Globalization of War” whereby the U.S.-NATO military machine —coupled with covert intelligence operations, economic sanctions and the thrust of “regime change”— is deployed in all major regions of the world. The threat of pre-emptive nuclear war is also used to black-mail countries into submission.

This “Long War against Humanity” is carried out at the height of the most serious economic crisis in modern history.

It is intimately related to a process of global financial restructuring, which has resulted in the collapse of national economies and the impoverishment of large sectors of the World

population.

The ultimate objective is World conquest under the cloak of “human rights” and “Western democracy”.

The original source of this article is Global Research

Copyright © [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), Global Research, 2019

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)

About the author:

Michel Chossudovsky is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics (emeritus) at the University of Ottawa, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), Montreal, Editor of Global Research. He has undertaken field research in Latin America, Asia, the Middle East, sub-Saharan Africa and the Pacific and has written extensively on the economies of developing countries with a focus on poverty and social inequality. He has also undertaken research in Health Economics (UN Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), UNFPA, CIDA, WHO, Government of Venezuela, John Hopkins International Journal of Health Services (1979, 1983) He is the author of 13 books including The Globalization of Poverty and The New World Order (2003), America’s “War on Terrorism” (2005), The Globalization of War, America’s Long War against Humanity (2015). He is a contributor to the Encyclopaedia Britannica. His writings have been published in more than twenty languages. In 2014, he was awarded the Gold Medal for Merit of the Republic of Serbia for his writings on NATO’s war of aggression against Yugoslavia. He can be reached at crgeditor@yahoo.com

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the

copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca