

The Economic Motive for America's Current Wars

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, July 02, 2017

[Strategic Culture Foundation](#) 1 July 2017

Region: [Europe](#), [Middle East & North Africa](#),
[Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Oil and Energy](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#), [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

Russia has long been the chief seller of energy — mainly gas and oil — in the world's largest energy-market: the EU, or Europe. This means that U.S.-based energy companies, such as Exxon and Halliburton, aren't the ones who dominate in supplying oil, gas, pipelines, and other energy-supplying needs, to the consumers and businesses in the world's largest energy-market: Europe.



President of Ukraine Viktor Yanukovich (Source: Wikipedia)

Around half of Russia's gas and oil into the EU is transported there via pipelines that traverse Ukraine, and this is a major reason why the Obama Administration (which was [in service to the owners of the U.S.-based international corporations](#) and [even to the Koch brothers who heavily fund the Republican Party against Obama's Democratic Party](#)) started, by no later than 2011, its preparations for [a coup in Ukraine, which occurred in February 2014, to overthrow the democratically elected President of Ukraine, Viktor Yanukovich](#), who, as had been planned and expected by the U.S. government, turned down the EU's offer of membership because the highly uneconomic arrangement that the EU was offering [would have cost Ukraine an estimated \\$160 billion](#). The U.S. government knew he'd turn it down, which is why, when he did say no, on 21 November 2013, the Obama regime had everything prepared to launch, that day, the 'anti-corruption' demonstrations on the Maidan Square in Kiev, starting the execution of the Obama Administration's [coup-plan](#). (Though it was an 'anti-corruption' and 'pro-democracy' 'revolution', to overthrow the democratically elected President of Ukraine, [one of the key demands by the U.S. and the EU had been the release, from a Ukrainian prison, of America's preferred leader of Ukraine, Yulia Tymoshenko, who had been convicted on corruption-charges — and this demand was, of course, a violation of Ukrainian sovereignty and taken by Ukraine's government to be an insult, which was yet another reason why Yanukovich had to reject the deal.](#)) The EU nations weren't eager to take that \$160B burden onto themselves, it was laid entirely upon Ukraine, take-it-or-leave-it, and Ukraine rejected it — and so rejected the rest of the U.S. team's offer. But Obama's agent who handled Ukraine continued to run into resistance from the EU, because EU leaders weren't as [fascist](#) as today's U.S. leaders [are](#). On 4 February 2014, in a phone call to the U.S. Ambassador in Kiev, Obama's agent on Ukraine said ["F—k the EU!"](#) about Europe's concerns, and to impose atop the post-coup government "Yats" or **Arseniy Yatsenyuk**, who would do what the U.S. government wanted and would ignore the needs of either Ukrainians or Europeans. "Yats" received the appointment 18 days later,

and, immediately, accepted the EU's uneconomic offer; so, Ukrainians were [doomed](#). (And Crimea, which had voted 75% for Yanukovich, broke off from Ukraine, and so too did Donbass, which had voted 90% for Yanukovich.)

But those pipelines still ran through Ukraine, and the Ukrainian government received from Russia the transport-fees, and Ukraine already was \$3 billion behind on its payments to Russia for its own gas-supplies from Russia. Russia, in any case, has been trying to rearrange its pipelines so as to supply Europe without depending so heavily upon Ukraine for transport.

NATO pretended that it had other reasons for seeking to bring Ukraine into the U.S. alliance, not missile-bases there against Russia, and [mentioned the pipelines-issue as if it were only an aside and said that NATO wasn't even an alliance against Russia](#).



Saudi Aramco (Source: Wikipedia)

However, Obama also [had come into office in 2009 hoping to overthrow Syria's **President Bashar al-Assad**](#), because, [ever since at least 1949, the U.S.-Saudi oil company Aramco was trying to be allowed to build through Syria pipelines for Saudi oil and Qatari gas into the EU so as to grab that energy-market away from Russia](#).

Consequently, ["What's Behind Lower Gas-Prices and the Bombings of Syria and of Southeastern Ukraine"](#) is a U.S.-regime effort to grab market-share in the world's largest energy-market.

[The Saudis' main boots-on-the-ground inside Syria to replace Assad with a fundamentalist-Sunni ruler like themselves has been Al Qaeda, which provides the leadership for numerous jihadist organizations — all of them likewise fundamentalist Sunnis — that are trying to overthrow Assad. And the U.S. regime has been protecting Al Qaeda there.](#)

In order for [the U.S. regime](#) to make its public accept the burdens of empire, paying the taxes and shedding some blood and organizing others — such as jihadists in the Middle East, and nazis or racist-fascists in Ukraine — to serve as the boots-on-the-ground to carry out such operations, the propaganda-line is that the U.S. government wants those foreign governments to be more democratic and less corrupt, and wants to protect their populations from being subjected to barrel bombs and sarin gas attacks, etc., as if the U.S. itself doesn't do [even worse to the populations in foreign countries it invades](#). (Furthermore, the Assad government is doing — whatever it's doing — [to jihadist gangs inside its own country, gangs that are supported by the U.S. and other foreign governments trying to conquer Syria; whereas, the U.S. is strictly a foreign invader there](#) and elsewhere; so, any analogy between Assad's methods, and the methods that are employed by the U.S. government, would be fundamentally false, inapplicable.)

NOTE: This news-report is being submitted for publication to all U.S. newsmedia that cover international news, including all of the major U.S. newsmedia, and will, presumably, be published by all of them that wish their audience to know the information that's presented and documented (via the links) in this report, which is a news-report because the information that's presented here is news to almost all Americans, even though what it

reports is actually history, not merely today's events. [Suppressed history](#) is news until it is no longer suppressed; and the present article is not news-commentary; it's only news, nothing but news, straight news-reporting, all based only upon extremely reliable sources, which the reader is furthermore here encouraged to click onto directly (and/or indirectly via links in the linked-to articles) to examine and evaluate for oneself. The great advantage of reading an online news-report such as this, over obtaining one's news via print or radio or TV, is that the reader can access the sources, via the links, and can therefore evaluate, *on one's own*, their credibility (or lack thereof). One cannot do that with the traditional print and broadcast media; and, so, the traditional print and broadcast media are far less trustworthy than this, because they fail to supply the public with access to their sources.

However, of course, any online newsmedium that fails to link through to its source on each and every challengeable point, is, on that point, no better than the traditional ones are. Only the online newsmedia that are *punctilious about supplying the public with clickable access to their sources*, are different from the print and broadcast media in this crucial regard. Presumably, the online newsmedia that publish the present news-report will be the ones whose owners want their audience to have access to the information that's contained and linked-to herein. Those will reasonably be considered the trustworthy newsmedia, because the media that don't publish it have received it but are continuing to hide this information from the public. A reader can web-search this article's headline in order to find which newsmedia are honest. This is a method to determine which newsmedia are honest, and which are not. Very few newsmedia in the U.S. have published any of the facts that are documented here. The reason isn't that these facts are less well-established than others; it's that these facts are too damning and contradict the standard storyline.

Investigative historian **Eric Zuesse** is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

Featured image from Strategic Culture Foundation

The original source of this article is [Strategic Culture Foundation](#)
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), [Strategic Culture Foundation](#), 2017

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca