

The Democrats Futile Search for Evidence of “Russian Collusion”

By [Renee Parsons](#)

Global Research, April 07, 2017

Region: [Russia and FSU, USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

In-depth Report: [FAKE INTELLIGENCE](#)

*If there is anyone to blame for the election of **Donald Trump**, it is not the Russians - it is the Democratic Party and its allies in the MSM.*

It does not take a Trump supporter or a registered Republican to recognize that the Democrats hysterical allegations of Russian collusion with the Trump campaign to influence the election has no merit and are nothing but petty, ideological over-reactions to their humiliating losses throughout the country in the 2016 election.

And it does not take a trained psychologist to see that the Democrat/MSM campaign to destroy a democratically elected President have perpetuated the anti-Russian effort as a coping mechanism to avoid the painful truth that they have suffered a publicly embarrassing loss of power and status. After 8 years of pretending that **Barack Obama** was a perpetual political gift they could ride to victory, the reality is too damned excruciating to admit that their own betrayals to peace, health care, the economy and jobs have brought them down.

The challenge for the Democrats is to suck it up and behave like mature professionals who deserve to be elected. Currently, they chose to remain in the wilderness of confused cognitive entanglement; unable to stretch beyond their narrow view of themselves as morally and intellectually superior. Instead, unable to do any independent thinking, they encourage the party's rank-and-file to remain in the unproductive throes of an unhinged emotional breakdown that seeks to threaten the constitutional stability of the country.

While Wikileaks can take credit for revealing the DNC's links with the MSM as now indisputable (a job well done by [Operation Mockingbird](#)), the joint Democrat/MSM attacks on Trump - Russia have inadvertently revealed the potent politicization of the FBI, CIA and NSA as well as the morally bankrupt nature of the Democratic party.

Even the assertion of “no evidence” from multiple intel agencies has not stopped the delusional Democrats from going hog-wild insane; daring to suggest that unproven allegations of electoral interference should be considered as an ‘[act of war](#)’. Having sold their souls to the war machine during Obama's terms in office, Congressional Dems have now linked arms with the appalling former Bush VP **Dick Cheney** and Senators **Lindsay Graham** (R-SC) and **John McCain** (R-Az).



An impeccable example of Democratic neurosis that has identified a conclusion lacking evidence, [long time apologist for Israel Rep. Adam Schiff](#) (D-Ca), ranking minority of the

House Intel Committee, has set himself up as a moral arbiter of wildly unsubstantiated charges like “notwithstanding an abundance of evidence that Russia hacked our political institutions,” and more recently “[there’s more than circumstantial evidence](#) of Trump-Russia collusion.” Schiff has consistently failed to provide one iota of proof supporting his accusations while the MSM takes his fabrications as fact.

✘ In an intensely partisan dispute that is about political control rather than national security, Schiff has demanded that **Rep. Devin Nunes** (R-Ca) Chair of the House Intel Committee, [recuse himself](#) from the Committee investigation citing an inability to conduct an impartial hearing.

In a memorable [December 8th interview](#) on FoxNews with **Tucker Carlson** (which is ‘unavailable’ on YouTube), Schiff met with push-back from Carlson who is perhaps the only iconoclast interviewer on all of commercial TV. Carlson makes a game out of systematically peeling back the layers of any well established, status quo argument, frequently leaving his guest in knots or otherwise looking ridiculous. He is a joy to watch as he ripped the mask off the pompous Schiff.

✘ In a typical response from an inquisitor who has lost control of the narrative, here are a few choice excerpts as Schiff escalates the witch hunt but [cannot substantiate](#) his claims as he seeks diversion by accusing Carlson of ‘carrying water for the Kremlin’:

Carlson: “I get it, I get it...Nobody’s for hacking. Let me just make one clear point. You don’t know that **Vladimir Putin** was behind those hacks?”

Schiff: “Well, we do know this...”

Carlson: “but you don’t know that so let’s not pretend you do..”

Schiff: “Well, let’s not ignore what the Director of National Security and the Secretary of Homeland Security said publicly which is that these hacks were of such seriousness that they could not have taken place without approval of the highest levels of the Kremlin.”

Carlson: “That’s speculation. What is speculation... is it a statement of fact”

Schiff: “it is not speculation. It is a statement of the intelligence community’s best assessment. Because there’s a political reason to do it. this is what the intelligence professionals are saying.”

Carlson: “Ok .. I remember vividly the massive stockpiles of wmd in Iraq which the intelligence community assured us were there and they weren’t so pardon my skepticism.”

Carlson: “I’ve been following this. I get it. There’s been lots of hacking, at the White House, the Pentagon, the State Department, the CIA Director’s personal email was hacked, we think in some cases by Russia. I don’t remember you holding a press conference and saying, hey, **Obama Administration**, you’re cyber security is pathetic. In this letter to the President, you don’t mention the fact that American cyber security is inadequate and that the Administration is partly responsible for allowing these hacks to happen. Why don’t you mention

that?"

Schiff: "You haven't been watching the opening hearings of the..."

Carlson: "I have..."

Schiff: "I don't think you have because if you had, you would see me pressing the Administration on the failures to protect our data ..."

Carlson: "Then why not mention it in this letter?"

Schiff: "Because this letter was about Russian meddling and if you don't think that's significant that a power that is an adversary of ours is bombing civilians in Syria right now, that's invading its neighbor's and also interfering in our political process as well as our allies...if you don't think that's serious, it's hard for me to imagine that you're of the same party as Ronald Reagan."

Carlson: "What were the means they used?"

Schiff: "...the means they used were hacking into democratic institutions and the leaking of documents designed in the primary process to sow division between Clinton and Sanders camps something we saw actually take place as a result of that because of that and then in general election to attempt to discredit secretary of State Clinton in a way to harm her and would help Donald Trump"

Carlson: "How did they do that?"

Schiff: "Well it was pretty obvious, wasn't it?they hacked, they released documents that were..."

Carlson: "...that were real.."

Schiff: "Oh yes they were real and they were ones that were damaging to Secretary Clinton."

Carlson: "But they don't know that the Putin government and neither do you. You don't know that Putin was behind those hacks. I think it's irresponsible for you to say that and you don't know."

Schiff: "You know what is irresponsible Tucker, is that you make that claim without looking at the evidence and more importantly have not seen the Russians.... "

Carlson: "You can't say that you know the Putin government did that."

Schiff: "...and more importantly for the president elect today to say that he doesn't know whether the Russians..."

Carlson: "You're dodging. You're on the Intel Committee. Let me just ask you one final question. Can you look right into the camera and say that you know for a fact that the government of Vladimir Putin was behind the hacks of John Podesta emails. "

Schiff: “Absolutely. The government of Vladimir Putin was behind the hack of our institution, not only in the US but also in Europe”

Carlson: “ ...of John Podesta’s email. You know that you’re dodging. You can’t say it.. Look and say that they hacked Podesta’s email.”

Schiff: “I think **Ronald Reagan** would be rolling in his grave that you are carrying water for the Kremlin”

Carlson: “I am not carrying water for the Kremlin. Look, you are a sitting member of Congress on the [House Intelligence Committee] and you can’t say they hacked..”

Schiff: “You’re going to have to move your show to RT – Russian television because this is perfectly...”

Carlson: “You know what? That’s so beneath your office because it’s so dumb, and you are being duplicitous. I’m asking you did they hack [John] Podesta’s emails and you can’t say it.”

Schiff: “You should not resort to personal insults like that Tucker.”

Carlson: “You just said I was carrying water for Putin. That’s pretty hilarious.”

Schiff: “When you essentially are an apologist for the Kremlin, that’s what you do.”

Carlson: “One last time Congressman. Look into the camera and say they hacked John Podesta’s emails. We know for a fact that the Russians hacked John Podesta’s emails. You can’t and you know you can’t and you are hiding behind weasel words.”

Schiff: “I’m not going to be specific....”

Carlson: “..because you don’t know it, that’s why. Done. You don’t know it and you’re alleging it without any evidence.”

Schiff: “You’re ignoring the evidence because you don’t care because the fact that it helped the Republican candidate is all you need to know.”

Carlson: “That totally false. I just think that if you’re going to make a serious allegation against actual country with an actual government you ought to know what you’re talking about and you don’t.”

Schiff: “...ought to accept Republicans on intel committee if you.”

Carlson: “..if you could say it, you would have but you didn’t. I got to go. I’m taking cash from Putin, on RT.”

Schiff: “If you’re willing to be in denial because it suits a Republican president....”.

Carlson: “You can blather on all you want. I gave you a chance to state it clearly and you couldn’t. I need to take a call from Vladimir Putin so I need to put you on hold for one second.”

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Renee Parsons](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca