

The Day of the Generals: Winning Armageddon

By [Phil Butler](#)

Global Research, September 22, 2017
[New Eastern Outlook](#) 15 September 2017

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Militarization and WMD](#), [US NATO](#)
[War Agenda](#)

*In a room, somewhere deep inside the Pentagon generals and admirals met recently in order to prepare an assessment for the United States Senate Armed Services Committee. Present at the metering were **General Mark Milley**, the U.S. Army's Chief of Staff, the Chief of Naval Operations **Admiral John Richardson**, the Commandant of the Marine Corps **General Robert Neller**, and U.S. Air Force Chief of Staff **General David L. Goldfein**. At such a meeting there's no doubt that Marine Corps **General Joseph Dunford** attended seeing he's the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. The agenda for this meeting was serious as a heart attack - America's most brilliant and powerful military men gathered that day to discuss Armageddon.*

The minutes of this fateful meeting are top secret. Only a handful of people will ever know what was discussed. But the end result of the strategy session was revealed on September 15, 2017 before the people of the United States. The top generals of the most powerful nation on Earth advised congress that America could in fact win an all-out war with Russia and China. It must have been a scene right out of **Director Stanley Kubrick's** classic Cold War film, Dr. Strangelove. I was not there, so I can only imagine the gathering of war hawks, the stoic expertise and military intellect, and the obtuse arrogance being conveyed across the congressional forum that day. The vision makes me wonder, "Who in the hell ordered such an assessment in the first place?" But I think we all know the answer.

General Milley [expressed](#) his only concern over World War III by citing the U.S. Army's "lack of resources and training to execute America's national security strategy without high military risk." Admiral Richardson agreed with Milley, but his level of confidence in the U.S. Navy's dominance seemed somehow higher. Milley told committee:

"I concur with Gen. Milley. If we get into one of those conflicts, we'll win, but it going to take a lot longer than we'd like and it's going to cost a lot more in terms of dollars and in casualties."

Marine General Neller parroted these opinions, only with a typical "Jar Head" obtuseness and psychosomatic bravado proclaiming America's current force array is "effective" against counterinsurgent forces around the world. I am assuming the General means the Taliban now resurgent in Afghanistan, Al-Qaeda that's being supported in Syria, and the ultimate enemy ISIL, which Russia has all but destroyed on the ground. I'll not get into the Marine mentality, they are my shipmates after all. As for the Air Force's **General David L. Goldfein**, the former combat pilot's assessment showed that flying an airplane does not qualify one to be a military bean counter. General Goldfein also concurred with the others but in an overcomplicated way, as if he were the accountant of the group. In short, the man shot down over Serbia when America destroyed Yugoslavia earned his pay September 15th

by stating the plainly obvious – World War III is a high-risk endeavor. No shit General.

My fellow Earthlings, it's abundantly clear that the United States military industrial complex now runs America. The meeting before the U.S. Senate was simply a formality. A psycho-thriller being played out before an audience asleep in the back row of the theater. With Russia's **Vladimir Putin** pleading with every breath for discourse and sanity, and after Russia's military machine has been forced into high alert, America's top Generals have been put in charge. Let me cite the Army's General Milley on his plea for more money and weapons in order to abate the "dire consequences" of this Armageddon:

"The butcher's bill is paid in the blood of American soldiers for unready forces. We have a long history of that— Kasserine Pass, Guadalcanal, Okinawa, Tarawa, Task Force Smith in the Korean War. It goes all the way back to Bull Run—Lincoln thought he was going to fight a war for 90 days. Wars are often thought to be short when they begin—they're not. They're often thought to cost less than they end up costing and they end up with outcomes and take turns you never know. It's a dangerous thing."

Let me make something abundantly clear here. The "generals" did not prepare their assessments for a waiting U.S. Senate committee, for those same senators work in collusion with the military and the industrial complex, everyone knows this. This dog and pony show was orchestrated for one audience only – the idiots who believe our system works like it should – the American people. Look at the narrative. It's all PR and propaganda dialogue, grade school fodder crafted to appease convenience store workers. A "dangerous thing", indeed. Milley went on pandering for a brand new arms race, a brand new Cold War, in suggesting force build up and reequipping for major conflict. We're right back to **Ronald Reagan's** "Star Wars" initiative. For those of you who believe this is all saber rattling, [this Defense Intelligence Agency report](#) shows us the military industrialists and the U.S. President are aware of the ultimate outcome. Part of the report addresses Vladimir Putin's "preparedness" in the event of Armageddon to the extent he is getting ready just in case. The fact of the matter is that the deep underground bunkers America's defense industry cites as "Putin's Armageddon protection" were built during Soviet times.

Finally, if you read about the [new role](#) of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, General Joseph Dunford, then you'll understand the takeover of American policy by the deep state is complete. While his colleagues were busy pandering the public for more guns, ships, and missiles, America's top general was politicking in Asia with career bureaucrats like South Korea's **President Moon Jae-in**, Chinese **President Xi Jinping** and **Gen. Fang Fenghui**, chief of the general staff of the Chinese People's Liberation Army. So, with North Korea, Russia, and Iran in the crosshairs of new US sanctions, the Trump administration takes aim with real killing weapons too. Generals as diplomats, and idiot U.N. **Ambassador Nikki Haley** as cheerleader for the ultimate game of war? The insanity is at "Biblical" on the reality meter today. Half the world is on the brink of starving, and most of the rest feels milked dry by the elitist order, so naturally World War III must come. All I have left to offer is this.

"I saw that publishing all over the world was deeply constrained by self-censorship, economics and political censorship, while the military-industrial complex was growing at a tremendous rate, and the amount of information that it was collecting about all of us vastly exceeded the public imagination." -

Julian Assange

Phil Butler is a policy investigator and analyst, a political scientist and expert on Eastern Europe, exclusively for the online magazine [“New Eastern Outlook”](#).

Featured image is from the author.

The original source of this article is [New Eastern Outlook](#)
Copyright © [Phil Butler](#), [New Eastern Outlook](#), 2017

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Phil Butler](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca