

The CIA's Rogue Operation

By Washington's Blog

Global Research, July 11, 2009

Washington's Blog 11 July 2009

Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Police State & Civil</u>
Rights

Everyone is playing the guessing game regarding the secret program which the CIA hid from Congress.

The Huffington Post's Sam Stein is <u>guessing</u> that it is Cheney's executive assassination squad. Yesterday, I <u>guessed</u> it might have been continuity of government plans.

But the <u>Washington Post</u> writes:

Several current and former administration officials called it an "on-again, offagain" attempt to create a new intelligence capability and said it was related to the collection of information on suspected terrorists that was instituted after the Sept. 11, 2001, attacks...

"Current and former administration officials familiar with the program said it was not directly related to previously disclosed high-priority programs such as detainee interrogations or the warrantless surveillance of suspected terrorists on U.S. soil," the Post reporters added. "It was a intelligence-collection activity run by the CIA's Counterterrorism Center, officials said.

As the Post says, the program Panetta briefed Congress about was not "warrantless surveillance of suspected terrorists on U.S. soil". And see this.

And it was long ago established that the government's spying on Americans began <u>before</u> <u>9/11</u> (confirmed <u>here</u> and <u>here</u>).

So it had to be some kind of program above and beyond those programs.

Indeed, a new <u>report</u> by the Inspectors General of the Department of Defense, Department of Justice, CIA, NSA and the Office of Director of National Intelligence makes it clear that the program was not just the wireless surveillance program we already know about. The report also <u>discloses</u> that John Yoo – the DOJ lawyer who wrote the torture memos – also wrote memos defending this spying program, even though no one (even his boss) knew why he was given the job (isn't it obvious? Yoo was a proven yes-man, who would write "legal justifications" for anything Bush and Cheney wanted).

So what kind of program could it be?

Well, since many reports say it shocked both Democratic and Republican congress members, as well as the <u>CIA head</u> himself, it must have been something pretty bad. Perhaps something like <u>this</u>.

Indeed, as described by AP:

Most of the intelligence leads generated under what was known as the "President's Surveillance Program" did not have any connection to terrorism, the [Inspector Generals'] report said...

Very few CIA analysts even knew about the program and therefore were unable to fully exploit it in their counterrorism work, the report said.

If the spying program were really centered on counterterrorism, wouldn't the information have been shared by the CIA's counterrorism agents? Again, see this.

As House Intelligence Committee member Jan Schakowsky <u>said</u> today:

The systematic deception by the CIA is a possible violation of the National Security Act and, at a minimum, a blatant disregard of this committee's oversight authority.

And as a former CIA agent <u>says</u>, the real question is who ordered the CIA to withhold the information from Congress. Bush apparently <u>ordered the program</u>, but it is not yet known who ordered the CIA to cover it up.

In a nation of laws, Bush, Cheney – or whoever in the White House ordered the cover-up of the operation – would be prosecuted.

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2009

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Washington's

Blog

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca