

The Case of Seth Rich's Assassination: Will It Expose the Truth Behind the "Russia Elections Hacking" Narrative

By [Timothy Alexander Guzman](#)

Global Research, June 08, 2017

[Silent Crow News](#) 7 June 2017

Region: [Russia and FSU, USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Law and Justice](#),
[Media Disinformation](#)

In-depth Report: [FAKE INTELLIGENCE](#)

Russian President Vladimir Putin was asked by Megyn Kelly of NBC about the "alleged" Russian interference in the U.S. elections and said that the U.S. intelligence agencies "have been misled," Putin said that "they aren't analyzing the information in its entirety. I haven't seen, even once, any direct proof of Russian interference in the presidential election." However, the mainstream media (MSM) and the Democrats continue to point the finger at Russia for hacking the email servers from the Democratic National Committee (DNC) and obtaining additional emails from John Podesta, the former chairman of the Clinton presidential campaign who also served as counselor to President Barack Obama and as chief of staff to President Bill Clinton.

The emails were sent to Wikileaks exposing the DNC's plot to undermine the Bernie Sanders campaign. The DNC still blames Russia for Hillary Clinton's loss in the 2016 U.S. presidential election to Donald Trump, but that is the furthest from the truth. Revelations from Rod Wheeler, a private investigator hired by Seth Rich's family to investigate his murder and Kim Dotcom who says that he was in contact with Seth Rich has opened the Pandora's Box which I will explain shortly.

However, the MSM has published several articles on the death of Seth Rich with "conspiracy theory" included in their headlines, *The Washington Post* headlined with 'The life and death of the Seth Rich conspiracy theory', followed by *The New York Times* with 'How the Murder of a D.N.C. Staff Member Fueled Conspiracy Theories' and 'The Demented Detectives on Seth Rich's Case.'

The Seth Rich case is absolutely worth examining since Washington and the MSM claim that Russia "allegedly" hacked the 2016 U.S. presidential elections so that Donald Trump can occupy the White House and do Russian President Vladimir Putin's dirty work with "no evidence" is truly a bizarre conspiracy theory.



Seth Rich (credits to the owner of the photo)

For starters, there is absolutely NO evidence that Russia hacked the U.S. elections. This is where the importance of the Seth Rich murder case comes into play: First, who was Seth Rich? For two years, Rich was a Voter Expansion Data Director at the DNC who managed a computer application so that voters can locate polling stations in their local districts. On July 10th, 2016, Rich was coming home from a bar and was murdered in the Bloomingdale neighborhood of Washington, DC in an apparent robbery, but nothing was taken from him. So was it a robbery that had gone wrong? Or was it revenge for leaking classified emails to Wikileaks? That is the question.

Wikileaks received more than 20,000 emails from an unknown source at the time from the DNC servers including emails from John Podesta. After Clinton's loss to Donald Trump, the DNC conveniently blamed Russian hackers for sending the controversial emails. According to the leaked emails, several top officials in the Clinton campaign were highly critical of Bernie Sanders, a Democratic Senator from Vermont. In one of the emails sent by Mark Paustenbach, a committee communications official to the communications director for the committee, Luis Miranda on the possibility of persuading reporters to say that the Sanders campaign was a "mess":

"Wondering if there's a good Bernie narrative for a story, which is that Bernie never ever had his act together, that his campaign was a mess"

Miranda responded with

"True, but the Chair has been advised to not engage. So we'll have to leave it alone."

Another email released by Wikileaks was an exchange between Miranda and Debbie Wasserman Schultz (chairwoman of the DNC at the time) debating if they should call CNN to complain about Bernie Sander's comments on ousting Schultz as chairwoman if he was elected U.S. President. Mr. Miranda asked Schultz

"Do you all think it's worth highlighting for CNN that her term ends the day after the inauguration, when a new D.N.C. Chair is elected anyway?"

Schultz replied with

"This is a silly story," she continued "He isn't going to be president."

Schultz ended up resigning from the Clinton campaign before the 2016 Democratic National Convention took place. These are just some of the controversial emails that confirm how the Clinton campaign was out to sabotage Bernie Sanders. In one of the Wikileaks emails from John Podesta, it was clear on what he wanted to do to the leaker

"I'm definitely for making an example of a suspected leaker whether or not we have any real basis for it."

The Seth Rich murder case was reopened when private investigator Rod Wheeler who was hired by the Rich family appeared on *Fox5 news* in Washington, D.C. and claimed that there is proof on Seth Rich's laptop that he was the source for the leaked DNC emails sent to Wikileaks during the 2016 election campaign. On May 20th, *Zero Hedge* published an article titled '*Kim Dotcom Goes All In: 'I Knew Seth Rich... I Was Involved'*' which stated that "weaponized autists on Reddit" and 4Chan researched the Seth Rich Case and found that Rich was an actual supporter of Bernie Sanders which makes the case even more interesting:

Wheeler walked back his statements the next day, however the fuse was already lit... Weaponized autists on Reddit and 4chan began furiously digging back into the Seth Rich case for clues in the still unsolved murder - and they went deep.

Among the findings were Seth Rich's Reddit account, email addresses, and Twitter accounts - one of which was in support of candidate Bernie Sanders. As an aside - before joining the DNC, Rich moved to Washington DC to take a job with polling and research firm Greenberg Quinlan Rosner - whose founder Stanley Greenberg was a senior advisor to President Bill Clinton

Wheeler's claim debunks the Russian hacking theory that was based on a report from *CrowdStrike*, a U.S. cybersecurity firm. The report claimed that Russia hacked the 2016 U.S. presidential elections by two different groups of hackers named "Cozy Bear" and "Fancy Bear" associated with the GRU (Russian military intelligence) and the FSB (Russian security service). According to *VOA News* report:

The *CrowdStrike* report, released in December, asserted that Russians hacked into a Ukrainian artillery app, resulting in heavy losses of howitzers in Ukraine's war with Russian-backed separatists. But the International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) told *VOA* that *CrowdStrike* erroneously used IISS data as proof of the intrusion. IISS disavowed any connection to the *CrowdStrike* report. Ukraine's Ministry of Defense also has claimed combat losses and hacking never happened



Co-Founder and CTO
Dmitri Alperovitch
(Source:
crowdstrike.com)

An important note to consider is that the co-founder of CrowdStrike and CTO Dmitri Alperovitch is a Nonresident Senior Fellow of *The Atlantic Council* and is head of the '*Cyber Statecraft Initiative*'. The Atlantic Council is funded by the US State Department, several Eastern European governments, the Ukrainian World Congress and of course, NATO (what a

surprise!). CrowdStrike's report on alleged Russian hacking was a false claim especially when the Ukraine's Ministry of Defense admitted that the "hacking never happened." One might think that the Ukrainian Ministry of Defense would accuse the Russians of any wrongdoing even if it was a false accusation, and that did not happen either.

Rich (a supporter of Bernie Sanders) realized that the DNC was attempting to steal the Democratic nominee from Bernie Sanders which was most likely the reason Rich leaked the information to Wikileaks. The Wikileaks emails confirm how Hillary Clinton and the DNC played the game of dirty politics during one of the most controversial elections in U.S. history.

Hillary Clinton, an "Untrustworthy" and "Corrupt" Politician from the Start

The release of the Wikileaks emails was not the only reason Hillary Clinton lost the elections, sure it exposed the inner workings of how the DNC operated, but Hillary lost the elections due to her record as the first lady and as a politician. The accusations by Hillary Clinton, the Democratic Party and their "Russia hacked the 2016 U.S. elections to get Trump elected" conspiracy theory are falling apart. First, let's look at the most corrupt democratic nominee in modern U.S. history, Hillary Rodham Clinton of the Clinton Crime family. The DNC's public enemy #1 is Russia followed by Wikileaks who released one of her famous Wall Street speeches. According to Wikileaks, on April 24th, 2013 Clinton spoke at the *National Multi-Housing Council* and said that you need two different positions, one public and the second, private which infuriated the American public. Here is what she said:

"But If Everybody's Watching, You Know, All of The Back Room Discussions And The Deals, You Know, Then People Get A Little Nervous, To Say The Least. So, You Need both a Public and a Private Position"

Meaning you tell the public one thing on their financial future which is a complete lie, then you go to a backroom with Wall Street financiers and make a lucrative deal. So Clinton's statement was not a cause for concern for the public? Clinton even worked for Wall Street which is a conflict of interest when she said

"As Senator, "I represented and worked with" So Many on Wall Street and "Did All I Could to Make Sure They Continued to Prosper."

Let's see, a democratically - elected Senator from New York working for Wall Street? One might recall a fascist dictator by the name of Benito Mussolini who once said

"Fascism should more properly be called corporatism, since it is the merger of state and corporate power"

but I guess that's irrelevant in Clinton's world. Clinton was the first democrat to vote for the war in Iraq and as Secretary of State; Clinton presided over the destruction of Libya and approved a coup against Honduran President Manuel Zelaya with Obama's approval of course. Clinton also supplied weapons and other components for WMDs from Libya through Turkey to terrorists (ISIS) that killed civilians and hundreds of Syrian Children to justify the U.S. escalation against the Syrian government of Bashar al-Assad. Hillary Clinton (with her

husband Bill) managed to steal billions in contracts awarded to NON-Haitian companies that were supposed to rebuild Haiti after the 2010 earthquake. The majority of Haiti is still remains unlivable. Here is a video showing a group of Haitians protesting against Hillary Clinton in 2015:

Hillary Clinton is a war criminal and a representative of Wall Street. She supports Saudi Arabia (one of the worst human rights violators in the world) and Israel (in all fairness, most U.S. politicians support Saudi Arabia and Israel, one way or another). These are just some of the horrible things Hillary Clinton was involved in. But the DNC claims that it was the Russians fault that Hillary Clinton lost the election, not her criminal activities and lies. Hillary Clinton was a seriously flawed candidate in every way imaginable. Undermining Bernie Sanders was the last straw, especially for Bernie Sanders supporters. Not the Russians or Donald Trump can be blamed for Hillary Clinton's loss; because it was Clinton herself who lost the elections. She was one of the most untrustworthy candidates in modern history. Even the mainstream-media's polls showed how much Hillary was disliked. *The Washington Post* reported that a *CNN* poll in 2016 said that 68 percent and *CBS* 67 percent said that Clinton was not "honest and trustworthy." When Hillary was "supposedly" considering running for mayor of New York City, Residents basically said "No, Thank You." According to a poll by *Rasmussen Reports* 'Most Don't Want Clinton to Run for Mayor of New York City':

But 58% of Likely U.S. Voters don't want to see the failed 2016 Democratic presidential candidate run for mayor of New York City. A new Rasmussen Reports national telephone and online survey finds that just 23% think Clinton should seek the mayoralty, while 19% are not sure

Forbes magazine also said that many Bernie Sanders supporters just do not like Hillary Clinton:

"For Hillary Clinton, the diagnosis is much more difficult. While many view the former Secretary of State as "dishonest" or disapprove of her past associations, the prime reason people don't approve of Clinton is unknown; people just don't seem to like her"

Hillary Clinton was and still is a corrupt, warmongering, Wall Street servant who was the worst candidate for the Democratic Party. But the DNC and Washington insiders continue to blame Russia for meddling in the 2016 presidential elections. From the corrupt '*Clinton Foundation*', to her servitude to Wall Street and her various war crimes against sovereign nations, Hillary Clinton's past criminal history finally caught up to her. Hillary Clinton lost the elections because of Hillary Clinton and no one else. That's the truth.

Let's Hope that the truth will be made Public



Julian Assange (credits to the owner of the photo)

Julian Assange has publically denied that the Russians sent him the emails. The MSM and Washington's establishment want you to believe that Russia hacked the U.S. elections just

as France's new President Emmanuel Macron claimed that the Russians also hacked the French elections but Macron won the election, and Marine Le Pen lost, if it was the opposite, the Russian hack story would also be front page news in France.

Yes, the Russians are the evil-doers according to Washington and their Western allies. One, questions still lingers, why would the Russian government hack the U.S. elections to favor Donald J. Trump? Why would the Russians trust a flip-flopping billionaire businessman who wants to make America feared again? Sure, Hillary was as evil as one can be, but for Russia to interfere in U.S. elections is ridiculous. Putin told Kelly that

“every action has an equal and opposite reaction,” he said. “But, I repeat, we don't even have to do that. Presidents come and go, and even the parties in power change, but the main political direction does not change.”

The U.S. government (with help from the CIA) has interfered in numerous elections around the world. Putin said

“put your finger anywhere on a map of the world, and everywhere you will hear complaints that American officials are interfering in internal electoral processes.”

According to Dov Levin of Carnegie Mellon University, the U.S. has interfered in more than 81 elections worldwide. Levin compiled a database that does not even include military coups and forced regime change by non-government organizations such as *USAID* and the *National Endowment for Democracy (NED)*.

In a 2016 interview on the Dutch television program called 'Nieuwsuur', Assange claimed the following:

“Our whistleblowers go to significant efforts to give us material, at often very significant risks,” Assange said. “There was a 27 year old, works for the DNC, who was shot in the back, murdered just a few weeks ago for unknown reasons other than that he was walking down the street in Washington”

Will Julian Assange provide the necessary evidence that it was Seth Rich who sent the emails to Wikileaks and end the Russian hacking conspiracy theory once and for all? The New York Times (one of the top major fake news organizations in the world) recently published a story on the Seth Rich case as I mentioned at the start of this article titled '*The Demented Detectives on Seth Rich's Case*' claimed the following:

Conspiracy theories help people who feel politically disempowered respond to a perceived loss of control. So it made sense, when Mrs. Clinton's win seemed assured, that supporters of both Donald Trump and Bernie Sanders were convinced that Mr. Rich's death showed ruthless corruption in the Democratic Party.

Conspiracy theories have been widespread among Americans for years, but they found particular prominence in the 2016 election because many people felt locked out of the process and distrustful of the news media. Mr. Trump, who repeatedly said that the press was dishonest and that the election would

be “rigged” against him, fed and fed off this attitude

The New York Times describes the detective, Rod Wheeler who is investigating the Seth Rich case as “*demented*” which is defined as insane or mentally ill is as childish as one can get. We know that Mr. Wheeler is not a madman nor is mentally ill.

As for Kim Dotcom who recently tweeted

“I knew Seth Rich. I know he was the @Wikileaks source. I was involved”
opened up a can of worms.

Kim DotCom is seeking advice from his attorney’s on how to expose the evidence to the public through proper channels

“I have consulted with my lawyers. I accept that my full statement should be provided to the authorities and I am prepared to do that so that there can be a full investigation.”



Kim Dotcom and Seth Rich (Source:
dailydosepolitics.com)

Kim Dotcom’s lawyers sent a letter to Robert Mueller, the Special Counsel appointed to investigate Russian interference with the 2016 U.S. presidential elections explaining the evidence Mr. Dotcom has on the Seth Rich case. One of the points made in the letter was the following:

Mr Dotcom has evidence that he considers relevant to the Investigation. The purpose of this letter is to confirm that, subject to appropriate arrangements being made and his constitutional rights being preserved, Mr Dotcom is willing to provide this evidence to the Investigation. He has instructed us to make this approach to initiate the necessary dialogue as to the required arrangements

One important factor to consider with the murder of Seth Rich is that if the evidence is exposed, it will lead the public to believe that the DNC and the MSM accusing Russia for the 2016 U.S. presidential elections without any evidence has been a lie from the start. So who is spreading conspiracy theories? Is it the Democrats and (and Republicans) who profit from the Military-Industrial Complex by spreading fear that the Russians are coming to get your mama? Blaming Russia is good for the Military-Industrial Complex, so Washington’s witch hunt against President Trump and his “Russian connection” will continue with no conclusive evidence that Russia hacked the presidential elections. Fear is an important justification for the arms industry to continue to manufacture weapons for the U.S. military to confront a menacing Russia in the future.

Can it be that the MSM and the left-wing liberals want to remove President Donald Trump from office by linking his administration to Russia? Yes. Can it be that the DNC is humiliated by losing to a narcissistic former T.V. star of *The Apprentice* with funny looking hair which is embarrassing for Hillary Clinton? Yes. Clinton has recently claimed that she lost the

elections due to “1000’s of Russian Agents” using ‘weaponized data’ on social media is another conspiracy theory without any evidence. Who knows what will come out of her mouth next time.

The bottom line is that Hillary Clinton has herself is to blame for losing the elections not Donald Trump, Russia or Julian Assange. Will Julian Assange show evidence on who really sent him the emails from the DNC and John Podesta? Will the Department of Justice and congress allow Kim Dotcom to testify under oath and expose the truth? Stay tuned.

Featured image: credits to the owner

The original source of this article is [Silent Crow News](#)
Copyright © [Timothy Alexander Guzman](#), [Silent Crow News](#), 2017

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Timothy Alexander Guzman](#)

About the author:

Timothy Alexander Guzman is an independent researcher and writer with a focus on political, economic, media and historical spheres. He has been published in Global Research, The Progressive Mind, European Union Examiner, News Beacon Ireland, WhatReallyHappened.com, EIN News and a number of other alternative news sites. He is a graduate of Hunter College in New York City.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca