

# The Carillion Collapse: Corporate Sickness in May's Britain

By [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#)

Global Research, January 16, 2018

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

*Britain is ill, and even as the opportunists and populists scramble before the hardened negotiators of the European Union over imminent exit, revising optimistic forecasts and notions of sovereign greatness has begun. Within **Theresa May's** decaying state comes yet another economic disaster, and one that has prompted a revival of government assistance before the vicissitudes of the market. This, from a Tory government extolling the divine nature of free market enterprise.*

Carillion, the UK's second biggest construction company, is in a mammoth pickle, one to the tune of £1.5 billion. It has gone into liquidation after the weekend failure to reach agreement with lenders and the government, a fact that literally threatens up to 20,000 jobs within the country, not to mention pension funds to the value of £600m.

Things get even more interesting when one sees where these jobs are, located across a range of industries from defence, health, transport (the HS2 high-speed rail line comes to mind) and education (notable here is the provision of dinners and cleaning for hundreds of schools). In short, the company was something of a poster boy in the outsourcing agenda of government, golden boy of the competitive, tendering process.

The situation for the company has been so notably stricken as to prompt an emergency Cobra meeting by May's Cabinet lasting for up to two hours. Cabinet Office minister **David Lidington** suggested with usual understatement in the face of imminent catastrophe that matters had gone "pretty well" given that "people were turning up to work" and no "reports of serious interruption to service delivery" had been received.

Lidington's language is that of a session at your MP's surgery: dull, medicated, non-committal. Most of all, there is no sense of alarm. The meeting, he continues, provided an "opportunity for ministers to test what sort of concerns are being expressed and decide how we should best address them".

To date, the government has committed its first notable transgression against its self-proclaimed free market ideology: covering the dues for small businesses and employees connected with Carillion's public contracts. The disastrous conduct of the golden boy must be somehow addressed.

Lidington's point is to dress the assistance to those connected with the provision of public services in a different costume: avoid, for instance, any reference to a bailout, which reeks of the socialist hand and state-directed philosophy. "The action we have taken is designed to keep vital public services running rather than to provide a bailout on the failure of a commercial company."

The consequences of such a patchy approach are already evident. Given the web of contracts and commitments other companies have with Carillion, jobs are already being lost, the devastation starting to bite. As a worker for the [Midland Metropolitan Hospital Building](#) told the BBC, “Everyone on the site told: ‘That’s it, go home.’ My company said, ‘You’ve been laid off.’”

Did anybody see this coming? The situation last summer was already providing smoke signals of danger that all was not prudent on the financial side of Carillion. The books were simply not tallying. The company had issued profit warnings, largely triggered by overrunning costs regarding the Midland Metropolitan Hospital in Sandwell, the Royal Liverpool Hospital, and the Aberdeen bypass.

Notwithstanding these concerns, ideology prevailed: the company still received £2bn worth of contracts. It was too big not to, being the fundamental face of outsourcing. [An export guarantee](#) issued on July 6 even went so far as to put £130m of taxpayer funds at risk.

[Frank Field MP](#), chair of the Work and Pensions select committee, was unflattering:

“Carillion took on mega borrowings while its pension deficit ballooned. We called over a year ago [The Pensions Regulator] to have mandatory clearance powers for corporate activities like these that put pension schemes at risk, and powers to impose truly deterrent fines that would focus boardroom minds.”

Labour leader [Jeremy Corbyn](#) has been, predictably, the first to take the hammer to government policies on privatisation, most notably what he terms the “out-source first dogma”. “In the wake of the collapse of the contractor Carillion, it is time to put an end to the rip-off privatisation policies that have done serious damage to our public services and fleeced billions of pounds.”

Showing that this was not merely a concern on the left of politics, the traditional gristle of progressive concern for market forces, [Bernard Jenkin](#), Conservative chairman of the House of Commons Public Administration Committee, made a rather damning admission. Carillion’s collapse “really shakes public confidence in the ability of the private sector to deliver public services and infrastructure.”

This is the Thatcherite sin of Britain, government prostrate before the private provision of services, the state indifferent to accountability. In May’s declining Britain, even receiving a half-credible, resourced public service from any sector, is a doomed challenge.

\*

*Dr. Binoy Kampmark was a Commonwealth Scholar at Selwyn College, Cambridge. He lectures at RMIT University, Melbourne. Email: [bkampmark@gmail.com](mailto:bkampmark@gmail.com)*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#), Global Research, 2018

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: **Dr. Binoy  
Kampmark**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)