

# The Beggars for War: The US, North Korea and Bankruptcy

By [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#)

Global Research, September 05, 2017

Region: [Asia, USA](#)

Theme: [Military and WMD, US NATO](#)

[War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [NORTH KOREA](#)

*The statement before members of the United Nations Security Council was both brash and high strung. The US ambassador had clearly decided that firm words were needed to understand the continuing military advances of North Korea. To do so, **Nikki Haley**, far from the sharpest tool in the US diplomatic toolbox, hit upon what **Kim Jong-un** was doing: “begging for war.”[1]*

“Enough is enough,” she warned those gathered in the emergency session. “War is never something the United States wants. We don’t want it now. But our country’s patience is not unlimited.”

Troubling then, that the United States should be encouraging the circumstances for that war to take place.

Haley’s points suggest the exhaustion of options. They also cast a light on continuing failings.

“Despite our efforts the North Korea nuclear program is more advanced and more dangerous than ever.”

Suggesting, in fact, that US foreign policy has failed to reassure and counter; to contain and hem in.

But to hem in, to contain, to asphyxiate – the conditions, in short that will make Kim beg for conflict – is exactly what is being proposed. The upstart’s wings will be clipped, goes this attitude, and Kim will be potted.

To aid this, the Trump administration is renewing its efforts to enlist China to do its dirty work: bankrupt Pyongyang. A form of forced economic encirclement is proposed. The South Korean **President Moon Jae-in** has also suggested cutting off North Korea’s access to crude oil and foreign currency sources.

Beijing is hardly thrilled to shrink trade with a state that actually grew last year.

“A temporary or partial ban is possible,” suggested **Shi Yinhong**, an adviser to the Chinese cabinet, “but the Chinese government will definitely refuse to cut off oil exports completely or permanently to North Korea.”[2]

The method of forcibly starving a country of its oil and other necessities has a good precedent for encouraging, rather than discouraging war. The United States was very much in the position of provoking conflict when it came to dealing with Japan in 1941. The rhyme of history is a strong one.

In the summer of 1941, prior to his departure for Placentia Bay, US **President Franklin D. Roosevelt** gave an executive order to freeze all Japanese assets in the United States. This was another measure to add to various embargoes on such items as scrap metals that were already implemented in 1940. Imperial Japan, went the reasoning behind these orders, might duly compose itself, desisting from aggressive measures in China, Indochina and Southeast Asia.

This approach did have its panic-inducing effect suggesting, to such historians as **Charles Beard** and **Charles C. Tansill**, the necessary opening of a back door to war. Given Japan's hunger for US crude and refined petroleum products, the need to seek and obtain licenses to export and pay for each shipment of goods from the United States seemed steep. But supply would still flow.

What Roosevelt had not anticipated was the mischievous ferret under the cocktail cabinet. The agency responsible for granting such licenses fell that summer to **Assistant Secretary of State Dean Acheson**.

The disruptive Acheson, against state department advice, withheld approval for licenses to Japan to pay for goods in dollars. This was made more onerous by the fact that the US dollar was Japan's only medium of international exchange after the German invasion of the Soviet Union. The supply effectively dried up, targeting key Japanese vulnerabilities outlined by various studies done by the Economic Control Administration.

A cocksure, hardline Acheson was certain that his actions would not provoke in quite the way it did.

“No rational Japanese,” he confidently surmised, “could believe that an attack on us could result in anything but disaster.”[3]

This amounted to, in the words of financial historian **Edward S. Miller**, a conscious and dangerous strategy to bankrupt Japan, a change from an initial “patchwork of export restrictions to full-blooded financial warfare”.[4] It was the sort of economic belligerence that had its ultimate realisation in the attack on Pearl Harbour on December 7 that year.

There are natural differences between the context of 1941, which saw Japan snaking relentlessly through Asia, and 2017, which sees a contained nuclear armed midget facing a bellicose superpower. What remain are the ingredients of desperation, and the assumption that reason shall prevail between the players.

Historical analogies do offer useful illustrations, even if superficial. The one that stands out here is not only that threats of war can lose their edge of pantomime. (Will you really fire the first shot?) To forcibly cut off a state from its lifelines, to render it an economic invalid, can also be tantamount to a declaration of conflict, a form of begging, in fact, for war.

**Dr. Binoy Kampmark** was a Commonwealth Scholar at Selwyn College, Cambridge. He lectures at RMIT University, Melbourne. Email: [bkampmark@gmail.com](mailto:bkampmark@gmail.com)

## Notes

[1] <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/09/04/world/asia/north-korea-missile-test.html?mcubz=1>

[2] <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2017-09-04/china-s-oil-lifeline-to-north-korea-targeted-after-nuclear-blast>

[3] <https://www.nytimes.com/2014/08/22/opinion/when-sanctions-lead-to-war.html?mcubz=1>

[4] <https://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/1591145201>

---



## **The Globalization of War: America's "Long War" against Humanity**

**by Michel Chossudovsky**

**[The Globalization of War](#)** includes chapters on North Korea, Ukraine, Palestine, Libya, Iran, Yugoslavia, Haiti, Syria and Iraq as well as several chapters on the dangers of Nuclear War including Michel Chossudovsky's Conversations with Fidel Castro entitled "Nuclear War and the Future of Humanity".

According to Fidel: "in the case of a nuclear war, the 'collateral damage' would be the life of all humanity".

The book concludes with two chapters focussing on "Reversing the Tide of War".

"The Globalization of War" is diplomatic dynamite - and the fuse is burning rapidly."

ISBN Number: 978-0-9737147-6-0

**Pages: 240 Pages**

**List Price: \$22.95**

**[Order directly from Global Research](#)**

**Special Price: \$15.00**



America's hegemonic project in the post 9/11 era is the "Globalization of War" whereby the U.S.-NATO military machine —coupled with covert intelligence operations, economic sanctions and the thrust of "regime change"— is deployed in all major regions of the world. The threat of pre-emptive nuclear war is also used to black-mail countries into submission.

Conversations on the Dangers of Nuclear War: Fidel Castro and Michel Chossudovsky, Havana, October 2010

This "Long War against Humanity" is carried out at the height of the most serious economic crisis in modern history.

It is intimately related to a process of global financial restructuring, which has resulted in the collapse of national economies and the impoverishment of large sectors of the World population.

The ultimate objective is World conquest under the cloak of "human rights" and "Western democracy".

### [Order directly from Global Research](#)

#### **REVIEWS:**

"Professor Michel Chossudovsky is the most realistic of all foreign policy commentators. He is a model of integrity in analysis, his book provides an honest appraisal of the extreme danger that U.S. hegemonic neoconservatism poses to life on earth."

*Dr. Paul Craig Roberts, former Assistant Secretary of the U.S. Treasury*

"The Globalization of War" comprises war on two fronts: those countries that can either be "bought" or destabilized. In other cases, insurrection, riots and wars are used to solicit U.S. military intervention. Michel Chossudovsky's book is a must read for anyone who prefers peace and hope to perpetual war, death, dislocation and despair."

*Hon. Paul Hellyer, former Canadian Minister of National Defence*

"Michel Chossudovsky describes globalization as a hegemonic weapon that empowers the

financial elites and enslaves 99 percent of the world's population.

“The Globalization of War” is diplomatic dynamite – and the fuse is burning rapidly.”

*Michael Carmichael, President, the Planetary Movement*

*[Michel Chossudovsky](#) is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#), Global Research, 2017

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dr. Binoy  
Kampmark](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)