

## That Vast Intelligence Buildup is to Oil the Pentagon's War Machine

By Sherwood Ross

Global Research, July 31, 2010

31 July 2010

Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>US NATO War Agenda</u>

The Washington Post's revelations re the amazing growth of the U.S. intelligence community since 2001—so that we now have 1,271 agencies employing more than 854,000 payrollers—makes no sense, until one recognizes that this vast development must be for offensive, not defensive, purposes. The explosion in the spy budget from \$30 billion to \$75 billion since 9/11 is perplexing until you realize it only parallels what is happening across the broad spectrum of the military-industrial complex. Everywhere you turn, everywhere you look, American militarism is on the march like a thousand Sousa bands blaring at once. According to a report of the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute, U.S. military spending for 2009 accounted for 43% of the world total, followed by China, with 6.6%; France, 4.2%; and U.K. with 3.8%

Where the U.S. allocated "only" \$272-billion for "defense" in fiscal year 2000, today's "defense" budget is \$711 billion. The U.S. Navy, for example, is larger than the next 11 navies of the world combined. At the same time, spending on research involving biological warfare has zoomed steadily since 9/11 to a cumulative total exceeding \$50 billion even though no nation poses such a threat to the U.S., and even though the deadly anthrax attacks of October, 2001, emanated not from the Middle East but from Ft. Detrick, Md., a base whose operations are run by the Pentagon, not Osama bin Laden. Again, illustrating USA's aggressive priorities, the National Institutes of Health of Bethesda, Md., is now spending more money on biological warfare—which killed a total of five Americans in the last decade—than it spends on research to prevent ordinary flu, which does kill 36,000 Americans every year. To its credit, Moscow shuttered its germ warfare ops years ago. Recall, too, that when the Pentagon's snoops got to Iraq, they found Saddam Hussein but they couldn't find a single germ. So what's the big buildup for?

At any given time, the Pentagon has about \$1 trillion or more in ongoing research to refine existing, (example: nuclear bombs) and to create new, (example: robots) killing machines to dominate the planet militarily. The vast intelligence apparatus The Post uncovered (The National Security Agency alone is sifting through more than 1.7 billion telephone calls and emails daily), makes no sense when the only enemies are a handful of shoe bombers. It makes perfect sense, though, when the Pentagon is intimidating the world by ringing it with 800 bases in 130 countries, and when the intelligence build-up is companion to the military build-up. Thus it was the U.S. eavesdropped on the private telephone conversations of high United Nations officials then debating whether to support the impending U.S. invasion of Iraq. That's not using intelligence for defense.

In an article on the Middle East wars, Elisabeth Bumiller of The New York Times July

25th quotes historian David Kennedy of Stanford as saying: "The army is at war, but the country is not. We have managed to create and field an armed force that can engage in very, very lethal warfare without the society in whose name it fights breaking a sweat." The result, he said, is "a moral hazard for the political leadership to resort to force in the knowledge that civil society will not be deeply disturbed." Precisely!

Quoted in the New York Times of the same day, Stephen Daggett, a writer for the Congressional Research Service, said, "You think of war as not being the usual state." That may well be true of many a republic, but it is not true of an empire, particularly the American Empire. According to the historian Edward Gibbon, the Roman emperor Aurelian, embroiled in numerous wars, complained in a private letter, "Surely, the gods have decreed that my life should be a perpetual warfare." Of course, it need not have been thus except that Aurelian felt obliged to keep Rome's subject countries in line. It need not be thus for America, either.

**Sherwood Ross** worked in the U.S. civil rights movement and reported for major dailies and wire services. He currently runs a public relations firm for good causes. Reach him at <a href="mailto:sherwoodross10@gmail.com">sherwoodross10@gmail.com</a>

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Sherwood Ross, Global Research, 2010

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: **Sherwood Ross** 

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>