

Terrorists May Be Coming Soon To A Mall Near You

By [Kurt Nimmo](#)

Global Research, January 18, 2005

<http://www.kurtnimmo.com/blog/> 19 January
2005

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Terrorism](#)

In-depth Report: [IRAN: THE NEXT WAR?](#)

If you live near a military base or installation, as I do here in New Mexico, you shouldn't be surprised if terrorists attack, drive a suicide truck through the front gates and kill a whole lot of people.

Fair is fair, as they say, in love and war, especially war.

It shouldn't come as a surprise because the Pentagon is now attacking the "military infrastructure" in Iran, as crack investigative journalist Seymour Hersh reports. Of course, when the Pentagon says "military infrastructure," it means not only missile sites, ammo depots, etc., but also humans, the largest and most crucial part of any "military infrastructure."

"The civilians in the Pentagon want to go into Iran and destroy as much of the military infrastructure as possible," Hersh quotes a "government consultant with close ties to the Pentagon" as saying, according to Reuters. "This is a war against terrorism, and Iraq is just one campaign," a former high-level intelligence official told Hersh and the New Yorker. "The Bush administration is looking at this as a huge war zone. Next, we're going to have the Iranian campaign." In other words, the Straussons—who are, after all, the "civilians in the Pentagon," put there by Bush and Cheney—are softening up Iran, blowing things up and killing people in preparation for another invasion, or at least an extensive bombing campaign since most of Bush's bullet-stoppers are busy in Iraq.

So, if "terrorists" strike the mall down the street from where you live, you shouldn't be surprised, and you shouldn't be angry with the "terrorists," or at least not the terrorists in Iran, but rather those in Washington, the "civilians in the Pentagon" who have killed more people in the Iraq over the last two years—100,000 and counting—than all "terrorists" combined have killed since terrorism became an excuse for the United States to invade countries and steal natural resources (we can begin with the Philippines, Puerto Rico, and Cuba, circa 1898). Of course, this does not take into account the 1.5 million or so Iraqis killed since Dubya's old man invaded back in 1991.

"We obviously have a concern about Iran. The whole world has a concern about Iran," Dan Bartlett, a top Bush aide, told CNN after Hersh's article appeared. Isn't it nice for Bartlett to speak for the "whole world," a world mostly in opposition to Bush and afraid for the future, especially those who live in and around the Middle East? In reality, only Bush, the Straussons, and the Israelis are concerned about Iran, that is to say they want to take out its government and re-install the Shah, or his son anyway (who lives in America), and do a re-run of what Bush did in Iraq. Problem with this, of course, is there are three times as many Iranians as Iraqis, they fully expect the United States, at the behest of Israel, to attack

and have spent years preparing themselves. Iran will be, to say the least, a tough nut to crack, but with the civilian nuts in the Pentagon calling the shots this is apparently not much of a consideration, same way it wasn't in the lead-up to the invasion of Iraq.

Let's be objective for a second. If the Iranians were "conducting secret reconnaissance missions" in America, that is to say they were blowing up military sites and killing military personnel, wouldn't you feel inclined to respond in some way, wouldn't you demand your government bomb the heck out of them? Of course you would. So why do we expect the Iranians-or the Iraqis for that matter-to react any differently? Since Iran does not have the capability to sit off New York Harbor with aircraft carriers or march on Washington with tanks and Humvees-the way Bush marched from Kuwait into Baghdad-they very well may do the next best thing to their way of thinking: attack America through asymmetrical warfare, i.e., "terrorism."

Of course, they will not do this. It would be stupid and counterproductive. It would give Bush an excuse to flatten Tehran, Shiraz, Isfahan, Ahwaz, and other Iranian cities like he flattened Fallujah in Iraq. Of course, he may do this anyway.

But if terrorists did strike New York, Chicago, Los Angeles, or numerous smaller cities in the United States, wouldn't it make perfect sense? How would you feel if you were in the shoes of the average Iranian-looking west toward Iraq and taking note of the destroyed water purification facilities, the wrecked electrical grid, the deliberately targeted hospitals, sewage running in the streets, knowing that you may be killed at any moment because your government is run by people the Israelis want to rub out? I bet you wouldn't take kindly to it. I bet you might talk about revenge.

Naturally, far too many Americans believe their country was attacked by Muslims-brother Muslims to those in Iran-on September 11, 2001, even though there is no evidence of this, even though it is absolutely illogical and stupid to believe a handful of cave dwelling Muslims in Afghanistan managed to pull off a terrorist attack only a well-organized government intelligence agency-or any number of the 26 intelligence services with a budget exceeding \$30 billion in the United States (not to mention those in Israel as well)-would have the resources and expertise to accomplish. 9/11 "was technically and organizationally a master achievement," explains Andreas Von Buelow, a former German Defense Minister. "To hijack four huge airplanes within a few minutes and within one hour, to drive them into their targets, with complicated flight maneuvers! This is unthinkable, without years-long support from secret apparatuses of the state and industry." But never mind. 9/11 was mission accomplished, millions of Americans believe Osama and Saddam planned the whole thing, and Iran is the next target, or one of several targets, including Syria and, eventually, Saudi Arabia.

As Hersh tells us, it's not simply Iran, but almost anywhere Muslims live. Bush "signed a series of top-secret findings and executive orders authorizing secret commando groups and other Special Forces units to conduct covert operations against suspected terrorist targets in as many as 10 nations in the Middle East and South Asia." Now that Bush has his "political capital," thanks to the Deliverance states and Diebold, he will spend it killing Muslims all around the world, wherever he and the Strausscons find them. It's a dream come true for the Israelis, who have plotted against Arabs and Muslims since the first Zionists trekked to Palestine, determined to steal as much land as possible and make life a living hell for millions of Arabs.

It's going to blow up in our faces. Obviously, the Strausscons are criminally insane, and if America allows them to continue this madness unchecked it will result in catastrophe, not only in the Middle East but here in America as well. Our economy, thanks in large part to Bush's invasions and the pilfering of government tax coffers by rich people and multinational corporations, is about ready to crash and burn, maybe this year. No doubt the Strausscons are aware of this and that's why "covert operations against suspected terrorist targets," i.e., Muslims, are underway, full steam ahead. Islam has to be eviscerated before the Sugar Daddy America runs out of money. However, there are too many targets, limited resources, and a smoldering resentment on the part of many Americans. It's simply a too aggressive and accelerated a plan for the Strausscons to pull off, not to say they won't do a terrible amount of damage before the bottom falls out.

Even so, don't be surprised if "terrorists" from "as many as 10 nations in the Middle East and South Asia" decide they've had enough and attack America. Of course, this will be entirely ineffectual because America is a huge country with an easily rallied public-polls now indicate increasing numbers of Americans, especially in the Deliverance states, want to intern American Muslims in concentration camps-and brainwashing directed against Arabs, Persians, and Muslims in general runs deep, thanks to the likes of Fox News and hate radio. Strausscons, of course, with a lot of help from the "New Pearl Harbor" of September 11, planned it this way. Terrorism is a good thing-as Binyamin Netanyahu said after the attacks on New York and the Pentagon-and rest assured the Strausscons don't give a whit about the murder of average citizens who, as the Strausscon Lawrence Kaplan says, don't have the "stomach" for World War IV. As dead Israelis killed in terrorist attacks serve the purposes of Ariel Sharon and the Likudites, so do dead Americans serve the Strausscons.

Thanks to Bush and the Strausscons, the possibility terrorists will drive a truck packed with explosives into the neighborhood mall has gone up exponentially.

No amount of duct tape and plastic sheeting will make a difference.

1

The original source of this article is <http://www.kurtnimmo.com/blog/>
Copyright © [Kurt Nimmo](http://www.kurtnimmo.com/blog/), <http://www.kurtnimmo.com/blog/>, 2005

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Kurt Nimmo](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance

a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca