

Swine Flu Vaccine Linked to Paralysis

Part II: “It’s the Vaccine, Stupid!”

By [F. William Engdahl](#)

Global Research, September 08, 2009

5 September 2009

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

In-depth Report: [THE H1N1 SWINE FLU PANDEMIC](#)

It is not any alleged “Swine Flu” or H1N1 “virus” that is the danger to the lives of our loved ones, our children, our pregnant mothers. We are being literally panicked by WHO, CDC, the US Government and uncritical mass media into demanding what amounts to legalized toxins as a “guard” against a disease so far milder than a common cold.

The few details that have managed to leak out regarding the contents of the fluids that major pharmaceutical companies want to inject into our veins confirm what I have been writing since the first alleged outbreak of Swine Flu in the environs of a factory pig farm in Veracruz Mexico.

The declaration by WHO of Phase 6 “Pandemic Level” global health emergency was a political decision which had no relation to any proven “virus threat.” It had to do at the least with a multibillion dollar injection of hyper-profits into the coffers of a tiny handful of global vaccine giants—GlaxoSmithKline, Roche (Gilead Science Inc), Baxter Labs, Novartis, Sanofi Pasteur and a few elite other drug giants.

More, the current H1N1 fear campaign from WHO, the German Robert Koch Institute, the US Government’s CDC, appears on serious examination to have to do not with safeguarding the public health, but rather with a long-term agenda of political control of populations through deliberate means of making them ill, weak, partly paralyzed or otherwise too weak to focus on the increasingly obvious social crisis facing us all, the global breakdown of the dollar system. Why else would allegedly responsible governments from the United States to the Federal Republic of Germany, from Britain to France, embrace such a manifest health hoax?

The simplest research of a high school pupil using official WHO and other government sources, can demonstrate this. If you doubt this, just commission your school age children to demonstrate, using only official sources, published on the internet, that there is no sound, public health reason to declare any special measures, let alone to authorize “fast track” rollout of new vaccines, untested, for mass injection in the population. Tell them that the students who make the best case from only official sources, will get the top honors in the course.

UK report of neurological damage from vaccine

According to a confidential warning letter written on July 29, 2009, a copy of which was leaked to the British newspaper, **Daily Mail**, the UK Government’s Health Protection Agency head of Immunization Department, Prof. Elizabeth Miller, warned British neurologists that the swine flu vaccine, which was briefly used in a mass vaccination program in 1976 in the

USA until it was abruptly withdrawn because of dangerous side effects, is linked to Guillain-Barre Syndrome (GBS), a potentially deadly and nerve crippling of the central nervous system. Guillain-Barre Syndrome attacks the lining of the nerves, causing paralysis and inability to breathe, and can be fatal. It can cause paralysis of the breathing muscles that can cause death by suffocation.

The warning letter of Prof. Miller states, "The vaccines used to combat an expected swine influenza pandemic in 1976 were shown to be associated with GBS and were withdrawn from use." The US Government was forced in the 1976 Swine Flu scandal to pay out millions of dollars in damages to victims of GBS who had received the vaccine.

The UK Government plans to inject 13 million British citizens beginning October with virtually untested H1N1 vaccines. The British Neurological Surveillance Unit (BNSU), part of the British Association of Neurologists, has been asked to monitor closely any cases of GBS as the vaccine is rolled out. One senior neurologist told the press off-record, "I would not have the swine flu jab because of the GBS risk."

Miller's letter was reportedly sent to 600 British neurologists on July 29, a sign that there is concern at the highest levels that the vaccine itself could cause serious complications. The letter notes, referring to the similar swine flu vaccination process in the USA in 1976 that "more people died from the vaccination than from swine flu; some 500 cases of GBS were found; vaccines may have increased risk of GBS by 800%; the US vaccine was withdrawn after just ten weeks when Government scientists confirmed the link with GBS; the US Government then was forced to pay tens of millions of dollars to those affected. They monitored that within days, symptoms of GBS were reported among those who had been immunised and 25 people died from respiratory failure after severe paralysis. One in 80,000 people came down with the condition. In contrast, just one person died of swine flu.

Notably, the Obama Administration has issued a special ruling exempting the vaccine makers from all liability from the vaccines. Most alarming in this context is the fact that the new vaccine has not been sufficiently tested and that the effects, especially on children, are unknown. Yet small children and pregnant mothers are the first priority to be vaccinated under WHO recommendations.

However, as leading European epidemiologists confirm privately, the effects of the so-called H1N1 Influenza A or "Swine Flu" are to date extremely mild, comparable to a common cold and disappear in a few days with bed rest. The deaths, as CDC and other health agencies have had to admit, all took place in patients with previous severe respiratory problems or other severe illness, and have in no known case been definitively linked to Swine Flu. The deaths were what epidemiologists term "opportunistic" that is "coincidental" not causal.

GBS however, which is believed linked to adjuvants present in the new vaccines, can cause paralysis and death. One woman, Hilary Wilkinson, was stricken with GBS and had to be fed through a drip while needing a tracheotomy just to breathe. It took her three months in the hospital to learn how to walk and talk again. On the topic of the swine flu vaccine, she says today, "It makes me feel wary that the Government is rolling out this vaccine without any clear idea of the GBS risk, if any. I wouldn't wish it on anyone."

An 800% rise in GBS risk

Another letter with a warning about the swine flu vaccine from the Association of British

Neurologists, signed by Dr. Rustam Al-Shahi Salman and Professor Patrick Chinnery, says, "Following the 1976 program of vaccination against swine influenza in the US, a retrospective study found a possible eight-fold increase in the incidence of GBS." That is 800% increase after vaccination of 40 million Americans was done on a similar "health emergency" basis before abruptly being withdrawn. The 1976 swine flu mass vaccination campaign was abandoned after hundreds of cases of GBS were diagnosed and 25 died.

An emergency mass vaccination program was backed by President Gerald Ford that year because Center for Disease Control head, Dr. David Sencer, convinced the White House that the swine flu strain was similar to the one responsible for the 1918-19 pandemic, which he claimed had killed half a million Americans and 20 million people worldwide. Sencer was forced to resign in disgrace months later. The current CDC and WHO hysteria campaign over "H1N1 Influenza A Swine Flu" bears ominous similarities to that of 1976.

According to the British Dr Tom Jefferson, co-ordinator of the vaccines section of the influential Cochrane Collaboration, an independent British group that reviews drug research, says, "New vaccines never behave in the way you expect them to. It may be that there is a link to GBS, which is certainly not something I would wish on anybody. But it could end up being anything because one of the additives in one of the vaccines is a substance called **squalene**, and none of the studies we've extracted have any research on it at all." Squalene, a naturally occurring enzyme, could potentially cause so-far-undiscovered side effects.

In other words, what we have with the new versions of H1N1 "swine flu" vaccine is an untested, potentially dangerous cocktail of chemicals and viral fragments that could plausibly be linked to a devastating neurological condition, or worse.

The doctors and scientists are warning about the possibility of dangerous neurological side effects precisely because the government is failing to do so. Governments and pharmaceutical companies don't want people to know about risks associated with the vaccine, so they don't talk about them. Nor do they reveal the rather startling fact that the vaccine has never been tested on children or expectant mothers even though those are the two primary groups being targeted for the vaccine.

Vaccines are the bedrock of the pharmaceutical industry's profit centers. Through vaccines, the drug companies can ensure generations of future profits from diseases that have been identified in numerous studies as triggered or worsened by vaccines, including Alzheimer's, cancer, autism, Parkinson's disease, and others.

British Conservative Party Health Parliament Spokesman, Mike Penning has stated, "The last thing we want is secret letters handed around experts within the NHS. Our job is to make sure that the public knows what's going on. Why is the Government not being open about this? It's also very worrying if doctors, who will be administering the vaccine, aren't being warned."

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [F. William Engdahl](#), Global Research, 2009

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **F. William Engdahl**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca