

# Stay Calm and Censor On: Elon Musk Summoned to Parliament to Answer for His Pledge to Restore Free Speech

By [Jonathan Turley](#)

Global Research, May 09, 2022

[Jonathan Turley](#) 5 May 2022

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Police State](#)  
& [Civil Rights](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on [Instagram](#), [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*I previously wrote about [Hillary Clinton’s call on European countries to pass censorship laws to force social media companies like Twitter to regulate speech even after \*\*Elon Musk’s\*\* pledge to restore free speech to Twitter](#). Now the Parliament has called on Musk to testify and to explain his alarming pledge to restore free speech.*

The Biden Administration’s Disinformation Governance Board head, [Nina Jankowisz](#), [previously called upon Great Britain](#) to impose state censorship rules. That call has grown since Musk’s purchase. Until now, a unified front of corporate censors was able to maintain an extensive system of censorship with the encouragement of politicians and pundits, including [Joe Biden](#) and [Democratic members](#).

The head of the Digital, Culture, Media and Sport Committee in the House of Commons, Conservative **MP Julian Knight** has assured her countrymen that they can stay calm and censor on. She [issued a letter](#) for Musk to appear before the committee to answer for his terrifying suggestion of free speech:

“At a time when social media companies face the prospect of tighter regulations around

the world, we're keen to learn more about how Mr Musk will balance his clear commitment to free speech with new obligations to protect Twitter's users from online harms."

We've invited [@ElonMusk](#) to discuss the future of [@Twitter](#) in Parliament.

Chair [@JulianKnight15](#): "Appearing before the Committee will give Mr Musk an ideal opportunity to set out his proposals in more depth and we would look forward to welcoming him."

📷: <https://t.co/HpYduMAcZY> [pic.twitter.com/UTU2wu1jnV](https://pic.twitter.com/UTU2wu1jnV)

— Digital, Culture, Media and Sport Committee (@CommonsDCMS) [May 4, 2022](#)

Like the EU's censorship plans under the Digital Services Act, the proposed [Online Safety Bill](#) would introduce state censorship through the purview of Ofcom (The Office of Communications), the broadcasting regulator in Britain. It would allow the company to fine firms up to [ten percent](#) of their global revenue should they violate ill-defined "harm" standards.

If passed, Clinton and others hope that the Europeans can replace corporate censorship with good old-fashioned state censorship. This includes confiscatory fines for anything deemed "[grossly offensive](#)." The bill would allow countries like Great Britain to impose censorship on the rest of the world.

The decline of free speech in the United Kingdom has long been a concern for free speech advocates ([here](#) and [here](#) and [here](#) and [here](#) and [here](#) and [here](#) and [here](#) and [here](#)). Once you start as a government to criminalize speech, you end up on a slippery slope of censorship. What constitutes hate speech or "[malicious communications](#)" remains a highly subjective matter and we have seen a steady expansion of prohibited terms and words and gestures. [Even having "toxic ideologies" is now a crime.](#)

Great Britain would now make censorship one of its greatest exports. To do so, they first have to stomp out advocates for free speech like Musk by threatening to bankrupt his company if it tries to restore free speech to the Internet.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, Twitter and Facebook. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*Featured image is from the author*

The original source of this article is [Jonathan Turley](#)  
Copyright © [Jonathan Turley](#), [Jonathan Turley](#), 2022

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: **Jonathan Turley**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)