

# Starve Them to Death: Wall Street Journal's Solution to North Korea

By [Mike Whitney](#)

Global Research, September 19, 2017

[CounterPunch](#) 18 September 2017

Region: [Asia](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [US NATO](#)

[War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [NORTH KOREA](#)

*The editors at the Wall Street Journal have settled on a plan for ending the crisis in North Korea. Starve them to death.*

I'm not kidding. In an article titled "Options for Removing Kim Jong Un" the WSJ's editorial board suggests that the US use "all of its tools to topple the North Korean regime" including, of course, vital food imports which keep women and children from facing an agonizing death by starvation. Here's an excerpt from the article:

"The North is especially vulnerable to pressure this year because a severe drought from April to June reduced the early grain harvest by 30%. If the main harvest is also affected, Pyongyang may need to import more food while sanctions restrict its ability to earn foreign currency....

While the regime survived a severe famine in the 1990s, today the political consequences of a failed harvest would be severe. .... The army was once the most desirable career path; now soldiers are underpaid and underfed. North Koreans will not simply accept starvation as they did two decades ago.

Withholding food aid to bring down a government would normally be unethical, but North Korea is an exceptional case. Past aid proved to be a mistake as it perpetuated one of the most evil regimes in history. The U.N. says some 40% of the population is undernourished, even as the Kims continue to spend huge sums on weapons. Ending the North Korean state as quickly as possible is the most humane course."

("Options for Removing Kim Jong Un", Wall Street Journal)

"Humane"? The WSJ editors think that depriving people of enough food to stay alive is humane?

And look how cheery they sound about the fact that "40% of the population is (already) undernourished", as if they're already halfway towards their goal. Hurrah for the US embargo, still inflicting misery on innocent people some 6 decades after the war!

It's sick!

Who are these people who grow up in our midst, attend our schools and universities, live in the same neighborhoods, and go to the same churches? Where do these monsters come

from?

I'm reminded of what Harold Pinter said in his Nobel acceptance speech:

“What has happened to our moral sensibility? Did we ever have any? What do these words mean? Do they refer to a term very rarely employed these days – conscience? A conscience to do not only with our own acts but to do with our shared responsibility in the acts of others? Is all this dead?”

It's sure as hell is dead at the WSJ, that's for sure. Dead as a doornail.

And what is starvation supposed to achieve anyway? What's the ultimate objective?

Why regime change, of course, isn't that what it's always about, installing a more compliant stooge to follow Washington's diktats?

Of course it is. But how's it supposed to work, after all, depriving people of food isn't like giving them guns and training them to topple the regime, is it?

No, it's not, in fact, there's not even the remotest chance that the plan will work at all. None. But it will help to punish the Korean people for the behavior of their government. It will do that. And it will generate more suffering, unhappiness and misery. That much is certain.

Imagine if the shoe was on the other foot and North Korea had the power cut vital food supplies to people in the United States. Sure, it's far fetched, but just think about it for a minute. How would you react? Would you gather your neighbors and friends together to concoct a plan to overthrow the government?

The idea is ridiculous, isn't it? The editors at the WSJ know that. These are educated, intelligent men who understand how the world works and who know the impact of particular policies. They know that starvation isn't going to lead to revolution. That's just not going to happen.

Then why support a policy that won't work?

Good question, but that's where we have to veer into a very gray area of analysis, that is, trying to understand why some people are so morally malignant that they seem to enjoy inflicting pain on others. Why is that? Why are there so many cruel people in positions of power and authority?

It's a mystery.

*Mike Whitney lives in Washington state. He is a contributor to [Hopeless: Barack Obama and the Politics of Illusion](#) (AK Press). *Hopeless* is also available in a [Kindle edition](#). He can be reached at [fergiwhitney@msn.com](mailto:fergiwhitney@msn.com).*

*Featured image is from Jennifer Feuchter | [CC BY 2.0](#)*

The original source of this article is [CounterPunch](#)  
Copyright © [Mike Whitney](#), [CounterPunch](#), 2017

---

## [Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

## [Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Mike Whitney](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)