

Spent Matters: The AUKUS Nuclear Waste Problem

By Dr. Binoy Kampmark

Global Research, March 20, 2023

Region: <u>Oceania</u> Theme: Environment

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name (desktop version)

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

When Australia – vassal be thy name – assumed responsibilities for not only throwing money at both US and British shipbuilders, lending up territory and naval facilities for war like a gambling drunk, and essentially asking its officials to commit seppuku for the Imperium, another task was given. While the ditzy and dunderheaded wonders in Canberra would be acquiring submarines with nuclear propulsion technology, there would be that rather problematic issue of what to do with the waste. "Yes," said the obliging Australians, "we will deal with it."

The Australian Defence Department has published a <u>fact sheet</u> on the matter, which, as all such fact sheets go, fudges the facts and sports a degree of misplaced optimism. It promises a "sophisticated security and safety architecture" around the nuclear-powered submarine program, "building on our 70-year unblemished track record of operating nuclear facilities and conducting nuclear science activities."

This record, which is rather more blemished than officials would care to admit, does not extend to the specific issues arising from maintaining a nuclear-powered submarine fleet and the high-level waste that would require shielding and cooling. In the context of such a vessel, this would entail pulling out and disposing of the reactor once the submarine is decommissioned.

Australia's experience, to date, <u>only extends</u> to the storage of low-level waste and intermediate-level waste arising from nuclear medicine and laboratory research, with the low-level variant being stored at over a hundred sites in the country. That situation has been regarded as unsustainable and politically contentious.

The department admits that the storage and disposal of such waste and spent fuel will require necessary facilities and trained personnel, appropriate transport, interim and permanent storage facilities and "social license earned and sustained with local and regional communities." But it also notes that the UK and the US "will assist Australia in developing this capability, leveraging Australia's decades of safely and securely managing radioactive waste domestically".

That's mighty good of them to do so, given that both countries have failed to move beyond the problem of temporary storage. In the UK, the issue of disposing waste from decommissioned nuclear submarines <u>remains stuck</u> in community consultation. In the US, no option has emerged after the Obama administration <u>killed off</u> a repository program to store waste underneath Nevada's Yucca Mountain. The reasons for doing so, sulked Republicans at the time, were political rather than technical.

Where, then, will the facilities to store and dispose of such waste be located? "Defence – working with relevant agencies including the Australian Radioactive Waste Agency – will undertake a review in 2023 to identify locations in the current or future Defence estate that could be suitable to store and dispose of intermediate-level waste and high-level waste, including spent fuel."

The various state premiers are already suggesting that finding a site will be problematic. Both Victoria and Western Australia are pointing fingers at South Australia as the logical option, while Queensland has declared that "under no circumstances" would it permit nuclear waste to be stored. "I think the waste can go where all the jobs are going," remarked Victorian **Premier Daniel Andrews**. "I don't think that's unreasonable, is it?"

Western Australia's Mark McGowan, in furious agreement, <u>suggested</u> that a site "somewhere remote, somewhere with very good long-term geological structure that doesn't change or move and somewhere that is defence lands" narrowed down the options. "[T]hat's why Woomera springs to mind.

South Australia's Premier, **Peter Malinauskas**, <u>insists</u> that the waste should go "where it is in the nation's interest to put it" and not be a matter of "some domestic political tit-for-tat, or some state-based parochial thing."

When it comes to storing nuclear waste, parochialism is all but guaranteed. The Australian government is already facing a legal challenge from traditional owners regarding a 2021 decision to locate a nuclear waste site at Kimba in South Australia. The effort to find a site for the National Radioactive Waste Management Facility intended for low and intermediate radioactive waste produced by the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation at Lucas Heights, New South Wales, took three decades.

According to members of the First Nations group opposing the decision, the proposed facility risks interfering with a sacred site for women. Dawn Taylor, a Barngarla woman and Kimba resident, <u>told</u> the ABC that, "The Seven Sisters is through that area." She feared that the waste facility would end up "destroying" the stories associated with the dreaming.

The federal resources minister, **Madeleine King**, has <u>stated</u> with little conviction that a cultural heritage management plan "informed by the research of the Barngarla people" is in place. "There are strict protocols around the work that is going on right now to make sure there is no disturbance of cultural heritage."

Local farmers, including the consistently vocal Peter Woolford, <u>are also opposed</u> to the project. "We just can't understand why you would expose this great agricultural industry we have here in grain production to any potential risk at all by having a nuclear waste dump here."

The Australian security establishment may well be glorifying in the moment of AUKUS, itself

an insensibly parochial gesture of provocation and regional destabilisation, but agitated residents and irate state politicians are promising a good deal of sensible mischief.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Dr. Binoy Kampmark was a Commonwealth Scholar at Selwyn College, Cambridge. He currently lectures at RMIT University. He is a regular contributor to Global Research and Asia-Pacific Research. Email: bkampmark@gmail.com

Featured image is from The Millennium Report

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Dr. Binoy Kampmark, Global Research, 2023

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Dr. Binoy**

Kampmark

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca