

# The “Autocracy” Narrative: “Rules Based Order” vs. “Democracy” : There Is Something Troublesome About the Western Governments, Post-COVID, Rules-Based Order

By [Sundance](#)

Global Research, April 08, 2022

[The Last Refuge](#) 7 April 2022

Region: [Canada](#), [Europe](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on [Instagram](#), [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*While the western media quickly jumped from the COVID crisis to the Ukraine crisis, in the background of current events there is a lot of activity amid western government that does not look very democratic.*

The word ‘autocracy’, with all its variants, has been used a lot frequently by western government politicians as they attack the ideology of Russia, China and essentially every national leader who does not join their “rules-based order” club. There is so much linguistic repetition from the same western leadership, it’s impossible not to see this autocracy narrative as some form of talking point that stemmed from some G7 or NATO collaboration meeting.



It does not seem coincidental the new catch phrases of “autocracy” vs “rules-based order”

surfaced at the tail end of the COVID crisis, when **Build Back Better** shifted from a talking point into an actual set of western legislative constructs perhaps intended to codify the emergency powers those same officials deployed.

What kind of democracy, or rules-based order mindset, was the European Commission carrying when they decried the overwhelming national election in Hungary? Surely if the EU wanted to celebrate democracy, they would cheer for the high voter turnout that reelected **Prime Minister Viktor Orban**, yet they did exactly the opposite. Apparently, some democracies are more valued than others.

At the same time the EU is clutching pearls over the results in Hungary, another western ally, Canada, is codifying the government's emergency act power to seize property without due process. As we are directed to be distracted by everything Zelenskyy, it might be worth noting that Ontario Bill 100 is about to permanently change the rules of permitted political protest. You can read about [Bill 100 here](#) and watch the [economic debate here](#).

Essentially, Bill 100 gives the Canadian government the power to seize your home, finances, bank accounts and assets if you take part in any form of protest that would create economic harm to any loosely defined entity. Protest at the border, lose your house; at least that's the threat they are about to make into a law.

It seems rather incredulous to me that securing a corporate financial interest would override the concerning possibility of forever losing liberty and freedom for the individual citizen. However, in this new post-COVID 'rules-based order', that's the official justification from the Canadian government. Slippery slope and all that accepted, this Ontario Bill 100 is a few slides beyond the slippery.

Similar actions are taking place in New Zealand and Australia, where codifying the emergency powers of public health officials post-COVID rules, is at the forefront of their legislative and constitutional reform efforts.

Creating new era 'rules-based democracy' stuff is filling up the business end of western government attention in Europe, North America and the land down under.

But it's not just Europe, Canada, New Zealand and Australia doing this. In the United States we have a combination of new restrictions on liberty and freedom around emergency health issues in combination with J6 "threat to democracy" outcomes being created. The J6 committee is looking at Trump supporters the way the EU commission is looking at Hungarian citizens.

Add it all up, and this new version of western democracy doesn't look like it is based on the same principles the older version, the *non-rules-based* version, was using.

These new "rules of democracy" are also being overlaid by new rules for discussing democracy as found in the guidelines from the tech overlords.

Google [just recently announced](#), in the era post-COVID, they will no longer tolerate content that "*makes claims that are demonstrably false and could significantly undermine participation or trust in an electoral or democratic process.*"

So, if you are to speak against the new "rules of democracy", or the legislation that is going

through the “*democratic process*” in the EU, NZ, AU, Canada or the United States, you can be targeted by the online Google wrong-think police. Isn’t that convenient considering the effort to codify the totalitarian tendencies of the new “western democracies.”

If you speak against the newer version of the ‘*rules-based order*’, the leaders of western democracies will enjoy protection from the internet police. A rebellious sort, as defined by a person who still thinks independently, might say that sounds almost *autocratic* or something.

There was always a concern that any political leader who granted themselves extreme powers, under the pretense of the pandemic threat, would never want to relinquish that scale of control over their citizens. Indeed, it can be argued we are seeing those concerns come to fruition with supportive action from ruling elites in the various parliamentary and congressional offices.

A wise fellow of steward-minded disposition stated today that if U.S. government officials were genuinely concerned about the welfare of Americans, they would immediately be holding legislative sessions to ramp up energy production, cut through regulatory hurdles, lower gasoline prices, seal our borders and prepare our national food stocks for a concerning global food shortage.

Perhaps, just perhaps, if a legislative body was truly representative of the citizens, they would be using a few billion taxpayer dollars to purchase or offset fertilizer costs, help farmers with diesel fuel prices and work earnestly to insure full harvests this year.

Alas, the only nation worthy of such financial consideration is a tenuously manipulated -by them- country called Ukraine.

These new western democracy rules certainly appear to be rules of priority for the few, and not rules that would be a priority for the many. Perhaps that’s the whole point. Maybe that’s the bigger picture.

As it appears by action and consequence, this new western, post-COVID, ‘rules-based order’ is focused exclusively on providing benefit for the self-described *elites*.

**I wonder what will happen if *the many* just don’t comply?...**

**... Or have the autocrats thought about that with the open border rules coming May 23rd?**

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, Twitter and Facebook. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The original source of this article is [The Last Refuge](#)  
Copyright © [Sundance](#), [The Last Refuge](#), 2022

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: **Sundance**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)