

Senior U.S. Diplomats, Journalists, Academics and Secretaries of Defense Say: The U.S. Provoked Russia in Ukraine

By [Donald Smith](#)

Global Research, March 25, 2024
[Progressive Memes](#)

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Global Research Fundraising: Stop the Pentagon's Ides of March](#)

It took some years for Americans to realize they'd been lied to about the war in Vietnam.

Thanks to the publication of the Pentagon Papers, and thanks to the antiwar movement, Americans eventually learned about the injustices and failures of that war.

Likewise, it took several years after the starts of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan for Americans to realize they'd been lied to about those wars as well.

Americans are just now starting to realize that they've been lied to about the war in Ukraine. (The propaganda effort has been quite effective, with the New York Times, in particular, acting as a mouthpiece for the government's position.) More and more mainstream publications are exposing the lies, and [a majority of Americans](#) now oppose further arming of Ukraine.

This essay is a summary of what the U.S. government has been hiding about the war in Ukraine, with links to sources for further information.

According to Brown University's [Costs of War](#) project, U.S. military actions since 9/11 directly killed over 900,000 people, with an additional 3.5 million people dying from indirect effects. The wars cost Americans at least \$8 trillion and displaced over 38 million people from their homes. The U.S. spends [over a trillion dollars a year on its military](#), if you count all expenditures.

If we go back to the 1960s, the number killed by U.S. wars includes the several million killed in the Vietnam war, the [approximately 1 million killed](#) by U.S. support for Indonesian military's attacks on left wing groups, and the hundreds of thousands, at least, killed in proxy wars and government overthrows in Latin America.

The wars, overthrows, and associated sanctions caused mass migrations worldwide — particularly in Europe and at the southern U.S. border — and destabilized politics. Yet almost nobody (except for whistleblowers) was held accountable for these disasters; indeed, many of the same people are in Congress or work for the government or the weapons industry.

Moreover, the U.S. government lied about almost all the wars — in particular, about the wars in Vietnam, Iraq, and Afghanistan, but also about the war in Yugoslavia, as documented in [this](#) essay in Harper's Magazine. (In short, the Kosovo Liberation Army that the U.S. supported was, basically, a terrorist organization, and U.S. propaganda greatly overstated the nobility of the U.S. intervention.)

So, it should come as no surprise that our government is lying now about the war in Ukraine. Specifically, claims by President Biden and others that the Russian invasion was "unprovoked" are greatly exaggerated.

	<p>"I think it [NATO expansion] is a tragic mistake. There was no reason for this whatsoever. No one was threatening anybody else. This expansion would make the founding fathers of this country turn over in their graves."</p> <p>George Kennan, American diplomat and historian</p>		<p>"In denying that Russia has a 'right' to oppose extension of a hostile military alliance to its national borders, the United States ignores its own history of enforcing a sphere of influence."</p> <p>Jack Matlock, former US Ambassador to the USSR</p>
	<p>"Many have pointed to the expansion of NATO in the mid-1990s as a critical provocation ... [T]he first step in finding a solution [to the war in Ukraine] is acknowledging the problem and recognizing that our actions have contributed to that hostility."</p> <p>William J. Perry, former U.S. Secretary of Defense</p>		<p>"Trying to bring Georgia and Ukraine into NATO was truly overreaching"</p> <p>Robert Gates, former U.S. Secretary of Defense</p>
	<p>"With respect to Ukraine, we have not sat on the sidelines. We have been very much involved. I think it was our role ... that forced, in part, Yanukovich from office."</p> <p>U.S. Senator Chris Murphy appearing on C-SPAN</p>		<p>"[T]o insist that the invasion was entirely unprovoked is misleading. ... [T]he invasion of Ukraine is taking place in a historical and geopolitical context in which the United States has played and still plays the principal role, and Americans must grapple with this fact."</p> <p>Neoconservative Robert Kagan in May 2022 Foreign Affairs</p>
	<p>"Before the war, far right Ukrainian nationalist groups like the Azov Brigade were soundly condemned by the U.S. Congress. Kiev's determined campaign against the Russian language is analogous to the Canadian government trying to ban French in Quebec. Ukrainian shells have killed hundreds of civilians in the Donbas."</p> <p>U.S. Ambassador Michael Gfoeller and diplomat David H. Rundell</p>		<p>"We are at the edge of war with Russia and China on issues which we partly created, without any concept of how this is going to end or what it's supposed to lead to."</p> <p>Henry Kissinger</p>
	<p>"The de facto alliance of Ukrainian westemizing liberals and the fascist Ukrainian far-right which together drove the so-called Revolution of Dignity in 2013-14 ignored their obligation to respect the democratic process."</p> <p>James Carden, former adviser to the US-Russia Bilateral Presidential Commission at the U.S. Department of State</p>		<p>"We warned him that Mr. Putin would view steps to bring Ukraine and Georgia closer to NATO as a provocative move that would likely provoke pre-emptive Russian military action. But ultimately, our warnings weren't heeded."</p> <p>Fiona Hill, advisor to George W. Bush</p>
	<p>"In 2014 the United States backed an uprising ... against the legitimately elected Ukrainian government of Viktor Yanukovich, which was pro-Russian."</p> <p>Christopher Caldwell, journalist with the NY Times, Wall Street Journal, & WA Post</p>		<p>"The war in Ukraine did not start on 24 February 2022. The civilian population of the Donbas has endured continued shelling from Ukrainian forces since 2014. These attacks on Lugansk and Donetsk significantly increased in January-February 2022, as reported by the OSCE Special Monitoring Mission to Ukraine."</p> <p>Alfred de Zayas, senior lawyer with the Office of the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights</p>
	<p>The real "scandal" of Putin's war is "NATO barking at Russia's door."</p> <p>Pope Francis</p>		<p>"One can readily imagine how Americans would react if Russia.. admitted countries from Central America and the Caribbean to a security alliance that it led—and then sought to add Canada as an official or de facto military ally."</p> <p>Ted Galen Carpenter of the Cato Institute In "The U.S. and NATO Helped Trigger the Ukraine War. It's Not 'Siding With Putin' to Admit It."</p>
	<p>"This war would have been far less likely if the United States had adopted a strategy of foreign-policy restraint.... The Biden Administration and its predecessors are far from blameless."</p> <p>Stephen M. Walt, professor at Harvard University Kennedy School</p>		<p>"America and NATO aren't innocent bystanders."</p> <p>Thomas Friedman, NY Times journalist</p>

<http://progressivememes.org>

Read in detail what these diplomats, secretaries of Defense, journalists, academics, and politicians have to say:

Former U.S. Ambassador to the USSR Jack Matlock says in [Ukraine: Tragedy of a Nation Divided](#):

"Interference by the United States and its NATO allies in Ukraine's civil struggle has exacerbated the crisis within Ukraine, undermined the possibility of bringing the two easternmost provinces back under Kyiv's control, and raised the specter of possible

conflict between nuclear-armed powers. Furthermore, in denying that Russia has a “right” to oppose extension of a hostile military alliance to its national borders, the United States ignores its own history of declaring and enforcing for two centuries a sphere of influence in the Western hemisphere.”

Diplomat and historian George Kennan, quoted in Thomas Friedman’s [This Is Putin’s War. But America and NATO Aren’t Innocent Bystanders](#), discussing NATO expansion:

“I think it is the beginning of a new cold war. I think the Russians will gradually react quite adversely and it will affect their policies. I think it is a tragic mistake. There was no reason for this whatsoever. No one was threatening anybody else. This expansion would make the founding fathers of this country turn over in their graves.”

William J. Perry, Secretary of Defense under President Bill Clinton, wrote [How the US Lost Russia – and How We Can Restore Relations](#) in Sept. of 2022:

“Many have pointed to the expansion of NATO in the mid-1990s as a critical provocation. At the time, I opposed that expansion, in part for fear of the effect on Russian-U.S. relations....Still, the first step in finding a solution [to the war in Ukraine] is acknowledging the problem and recognizing that our actions have contributed to that hostility.”

Robert Gates, Secretary of Defense under George W. Bush, in [We Always Knew the Dangers of NATO Expansion](#):

“[T]rying to bring Georgia and Ukraine into NATO was truly overreaching, ... recklessly ignoring what the Russians considered their own vital national interests.”

Ambassador Michael Gfoeller and David H. Rundell: in *Newsweek*’s [Lessons From the US Civil War Show Why Ukraine Can’t Win](#):

“Before the war, far right Ukrainian nationalist groups like the Azov Brigade were soundly condemned by the US Congress. Kiev’s determined campaign against the Russian language is analogous to the Canadian government trying to ban French in Quebec. Ukrainian shells have killed hundreds of civilians in the Donbas and there are emerging reports of Ukrainian war crimes. The truly moral course of action would be to end this war with negotiations rather than prolong the suffering of the Ukrainian people in a conflict they are unlikely to win without risking American lives.”

Christopher Caldwell: in the *New York Times*’ [The War in Ukraine May Be Impossible to Stop. And the US Deserves Much of the Blame](#):

“In 2014 the United States backed an uprising – in its final stages a violent uprising – against the legitimately elected Ukrainian government of Viktor Yanukovich, which was pro-Russian.”

Chas W. Freeman, former U.S. Ambassador to Saudi Arabia and a Lifetime Director of the Atlantic Council, says in [The Many Lessons of the War in Ukraine](#):

“Less than a day after the US-engineered coup that installed an anti-Russian regime in Kyiv in 2014, Washington formally recognized the new regime... The United States and NATO began a multi-billion-dollar effort to reorganize, retrain, and re-equip Kyiv’s

armed forces. The avowed purpose was to enable Kyiv to reconquer the Donbas and eventually Crimea.... Crimea was Russian-speaking and had several times voted not to be part of Ukraine.” And: “From 2014 to 2022, the civil war in Donbas took nearly 15,000 lives.” Freeman says that the U.S. undermined several possible peace deals. “Ukraine is being eviscerated on the altar of Russophobia” but Russia has not, after all, been weakened.

Thomas Friedman: in the *New York Times*' [This Is Putin's War. But America and NATO Aren't Innocent Bystanders](#):

“The mystery was why the US – which throughout the Cold War dreamed that Russia might one day have a democratic revolution and a leader who, however haltingly, would try to make Russia into a democracy and join the West – would choose to quickly push NATO into Russia's face when it was weak. A very small group of officials and policy wonks at that time, myself included, asked that same question, but we were drowned out.”America and NATO Aren't Innocent Bystanders [from the title]

U.S. Senator Chris Murphy said [in an interview in 2014](#):

“With respect to Ukraine, we have not sat on the sidelines. We have been very much involved. Members of the Senate have been there, members of the State Department who have been on the square I really think that the clear position of the United States has been in part what has helped lead to this change in regime.... I think it was our role, including sanctions and threats of sanctions, that forced, in part, Yanukovich from office.”

Henry Kissinger in an [interview with The Wall Street Journal](#):

“We are at the edge of war with Russia and China on issues which we partly created, without any concept of how this is going to end or what it's supposed to lead to.”

Neoconservative Robert Kagan writes in an otherwise hawkish Foreign Affairs essay from May, 2022, [The Price of Hegemony: Can America Learn to Use its Power?](#):

“Although it is obscene to blame the United States for Putin' inhumane attack on Ukraine, to insist that the invasion was entirely unprovoked is misleading. the invasion of Ukraine is taking place in a historical and geopolitical context in which the United States has played and still plays the principal role, and Americans must grapple with this fact.”

Fiona Hill, former official at the U.S. National Security Council during the administration of George W. Bush, in the New York Times' [Putin has the U.S. right where he wants it](#):

“At the time, I was the national intelligence officer for Russia and Eurasia, part of a team briefing Mr. Bush. We warned him that Mr. Putin would view steps to bring Ukraine and Georgia closer to NATO as a provocative move that would likely provoke pre-emptive Russian military action. But ultimately, our warnings weren't heeded.”

Pope Francis in Yahoo News' [Pope Francis Says NATO Started War in Ukraine by "Barking at Putin's Door"](#):

The real “scandal” of Putin’s war is NATO “barking at Putin’s door.”

James W. Carden, journalist and former adviser to the US-Russia Bilateral Presidential Commission at the U.S. Department of State, in Simone Weil Center’s [America’ Crisis of Reality and Realism: A Symposium \(Part I\)](#):

“The de facto alliance of Ukrainian westernizing liberals and the fascist Ukrainian far-Right which together drove the so-called Revolution of Dignity in 2013-14 ignored their obligation to respect the democratic process.”

Former Ambassador Thomas Graham, who served under six U.S. presidents and is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations, wrote in [Was the Collapse of US-Russia Relations Inevitable?](#):

“US hubris and Russian paranoia undermined partnership.” After the collapse of the Soviet Union, a weakened Russia sought closer ties to the West and even helped George W. Bush fight the war on terror. But instead of helping Russia fight Chechen rebels, which Russia considered to be terrorists, the U.S. lent support to those rebels. The U.S. pressed its advantage, aggressively expanding NATO, instigating regime change operations in countries friendly to Russia, and undermining Russian energy exports.

Finally, in light of the growing problems with Russia in the former Soviet bloc, the US push in 2008 to bring Georgia and Ukraine into NATO was ill-advised at best. It tied together the two strands of the Bush administration’s hedging policy—NATO expansion and Eurasian geopolitical pluralism—in a way guaranteed to provoke a powerful Russian backlash. Key allies, notably France and Germany, were adamantly opposed. Bush’s own ambassador in Moscow warned that extending an invitation to Ukraine would cross the “brightest of red lines” and elicit sharp condemnation across the political spectrum.

NATO Secretary Jens Stoltenberg, in [Opening remarks](#) at the joint meeting of the European Parliament’s Committee on Foreign Affairs (AFET) and the Subcommittee on Security and Defence (SEDE):

Putin “went to war to prevent NATO, more NATO, close to his borders.”

Stephen M. Walt, professor at Harvard University’s Kennedy School, in an [essay in Foreign Policy](#):

“This war would have been far less likely if the United States had adopted a strategy of foreign-policy restraint.... The Biden Administration and its predecessors are far from blameless.”

Michael Brenner, professor at University of Pittsburgh, in [How to Think about the Ukraine War after 18 Months](#):

“[T]he provocations as you enumerated them were very great. And whether there was any alternative for Russia other than this recourse to a military solution, is a difficult question.”

Richard Sakwa, Professor at Univ. of Kent and author of multiple books on Russia and Ukraine in [Book Talk: The Lost Peace](#):

“The argument that the invasion was unprovoked is completely false.”

“The global north, once again, it’s got this obsession, obsessive tendency to fall into war, endlessly. So the global north clearly is shooting itself in the foot. Blowback is going to be massive.”

Ted Galen Carpenter of the Cato Institute in [The US and NATO Helped Trigger the Ukraine War. It’s Not ‘Siding With Putin’ to Admit It:](#)

“One can readily imagine how Americans would react if Russia, China, India, or another peer competitor admitted countries from Central America and the Caribbean to a security alliance that it led – and then sought to add Canada as an official or de facto military ally. It is highly probable that the United States would have responded by going to war years ago. Yet even though Ukraine has an importance to Russia comparable to Canada’s importance to the United States, our leaders expected Moscow to respond passively to the growing encroachment. They have been proven disastrously wrong, and thanks to their ineptitude, the world is now a far more dangerous place.”

Alfred de Zayas, a former senior lawyer with the Office of the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, says in [The Ukraine War in the Light of the UN Charter:](#)

“The war in Ukraine did not start on 24 February 2022, but already in February 2014. The civilian population of the Donbas has endured continued shelling from Ukrainian forces since 2014, notwithstanding the Minsk Agreements. These attacks on Lugansk and Donetsk significantly increased in January-February 2022, as reported by the OSCE Special Monitoring Mission to Ukraine.”

*

The 2019 RAND Corporation study [Overextending and Unbalancing Russia](#) “examines nonviolent, cost-imposing options that the United States and its allies could pursue across economic, political, and military areas to stress – overextend and unbalance – Russia’s economy and armed forces and the regime’s political standing at home and abroad.” It includes the paragraph:

“Providing lethal aid to Ukraine would exploit Russia’s greatest point of external vulnerability. But any increase in US military arms and advice to Ukraine would need to be carefully calibrated to increase the costs to Russia of sustaining its existing commitment **without provoking a much wider conflict** in which Russia, by reason of proximity, would have significant advantages.”

The highlighted words indicate that the authors were quite aware that US provocations would cause Russia to respond militarily.

The New Yorker’s [Is the F.B.I. Truly Biased Against Trump?](#) contains a telling paragraph on the U.S. government’s efforts to suppress information about exactly what happened in Ukraine:

According to [FBI agent] Buma’s statement, shortly after Russia invaded Ukraine, on February 24, 2022, he was told to terminate relations with one of his most valuable sources in that field, Dynamo. The order came from both his supervisors and the F.B.I.’s Foreign Influence Task Force, and, per Buma, superiors told him that the shutdown of

Dynamo was based on “highly classified information from the National Security Agency” which he could not access. They also said that it was part of a broader effort, around the time of the invasion, to close off many “sources related to Russia/Ukraine matters.”

After the collapse of the USSR, Russia wanted desperately to be integrated into the West and, up to the end of 2021, [pleaded with D.C. to come to an equitable peace in Ukraine](#), but the U.S. wanted to weaken Russia, and NATO needed an enemy to justify its existence. The expansion of NATO provoked the war that is now touted as showing the need for NATO.

According to the LA Times’s [Russia feels threatened by NATO. There’s history behind that](#), “some of Russia’s security concerns are real. Offering to discuss them doesn’t qualify as appeasement; Thirty years ago, Russia had a buffer zone of satellite states to its west. Now it has only the unimpressive presence of Belarus.” The essay quotes Steven Pifer, a former U.S. ambassador to Ukraine: “There are some concerns on the Russian side that are legitimate.”

A New York Times exposé [The Spy War: How the C.I.A. Secretly Helps Ukraine Fight Putin](#), dated February 25, 2024, revealed that the CIA had been coordinating with the Ukrainian intelligence since at least 2014 and that the Ukrainians had been launching assassinations and other kinetic actions in Crimea and Russia. As Mark Episkopos writes in Responsible Statecraft, [CIA in Ukraine: Why is this not seen as provocation?](#): “An explosive new NYT report shows how Washington needlessly fed into Russia’s worst fears and precipitated the invasion, justified or not.”

From 2018, in Medium’s [American Lethal Weapons Could Already Be on the Ukrainian Front Line](#): “Two weeks ago, the Trump administration announced it will allow the sale of some lethal weapons to Ukraine, including the Javelin anti-tank missile...Butusov identified the [Nazi] Azov Battalion as a recipient of the PSRL-1 [grenade launcher] systems.”

The U.S. repeatedly squashed peace deals that could have prevented or [ended](#) the war. After 2014, the U.S. shipped arms to Ukraine, encouraging it not to implement the Minsk Agreements meant to keep the peace in Ukraine. Reuters [reported](#): “In an interview published in Germany’s Zeit magazine on Wednesday, former German chancellor Angela Merkel said that the Minsk agreements had been an attempt to ‘give Ukraine time’ to build up its defences.” Zelensky apparently [admitted the same thing](#). In December of 2021, the Biden administration refused to negotiate concerning Ukrainian entry to NATO. And the U.S. prevented a peace deal even after Russia’s invasion, as reported [here](#): “Former Israeli Prime Minister Naftali Bennett said in an interview posted to his YouTube channel on Saturday that the US and its Western allies ‘blocked’ his efforts of mediating between Russia and Ukraine to bring an end to the war in its early days.”

Likewise, the American Conservative published [Why Peace Talks, But No Peace?](#) (*The U.S. has prevented earnest negotiations and prolonged the war in Ukraine.*):

Three separate times in the early weeks of the war, negotiations produced the real possibility of peace. The third even yielded a tentative agreement that was, according to Putin, signed. Both sides made “huge concessions,” including Ukraine promising each time not to join NATO. But each time, the U.S. put a stop to the promise of a diplomatic solution and peace, allowing the war to go on and to escalate, seemingly in the pursuit of U.S., not Ukrainian, interests.

In fact, according to [Ukrainian Official Confirms Russia Was Ready to End War in March 2022 If Kyiv Agreed to Neutrality](#):

David Arakhamia, a high-ranking member of Volodymyr Zelensky's Servant of the People political party, said that Kyiv could have ended the war with Russia after a month if it [agreed](#) not to join NATO. The official said that Moscow was not concerned about other issues, such as "denazification," but only wanted Kyiv to agree to neutrality.

In an interview with TV channel 1+1, a Ukrainian network, Arakhamia confirmed previous reporting that Moscow and Kyiv had nearly agreed to end the war in March 2022. Still, Ukraine's Western backers pushed it to try to win the war against Russia

The Washington Post recently [exposed](#) that "Since 2015, the CIA has spent tens of millions of dollars to transform Ukraine's Soviet-formed services into potent allies against Moscow, officials said.... The extent of the CIA's involvement with Ukraine's security services has not previously been disclosed."

An WSJ/NORC [poll](#) taken in June of 2022 showed that 58% of Ukrainians polled thought that the U.S. bears a great deal or some responsibility for the war. They knew what the U.S. did.

As Dennis Kucinich [said](#), the U.S. government used "the good, courageous people of Ukraine as pawns in a vicious and deadly geo-political chess game which began well before the illegal Russian invasion. And it is now planning to do for the people of Taiwan what it has done for the people of Ukraine, portraying China as the aggressor while surrounding China with about 200 military bases."

Douglas MacKinnon, a former writer in the White House for Presidents Ronald Reagan and George H.W. Bush and special assistant for policy and communications at the Pentagon, says a similar thing in [Raise your privileged hand if you're willing to 'fight to the last Ukrainian'](#):

If the rationale by our government, the United Kingdom and others in Europe (along with much of the media, academia and various defense contractors) was to use Ukraine and its people as cheap disposable pawns to be sacrificed in a proxy war against Vladimir Putin and Russia, then they are succeeding at a certain macabre level.

However, if the idea of supporting the Ukraine war with Russia was to "save the people of Ukraine" and the country's infrastructure, then those who advocated for that course of action have failed miserably.

See Harper's [Why are We in Ukraine?](#) for a mainstream accounting of U.S. provocations in Ukraine and elsewhere. Jeffrey Sachs' [The War in Ukraine Was Provoked—and Why That Matters to Achieve Peace](#) is another excellent essay on the issue, as is Chris Hedges' [They Lied About Afghanistan. They Lied About Iraq. And They Are Lying About Ukraine.](#) Sachs also wrote the useful [The Biden-Schumer Plan to Kill More Ukrainians](#).

['Now or Never': The Immediate Origins of Putin's Preventative War on Ukraine](#) in the Journal of Military and Strategic Studies outlines the reasons for Russia's invasions, as expressed by Vladimir Putin: NATO expansion and the threat Russians felt that posed to their security. It reports increased attacks by Ukrainian troops against separatists in the Donbas right before the invasion.

For copious detail about U.S. provocations see [How the U.S. provoked Russia in Ukraine: A Compendium](#).

The propagandists who continue to push for arming Ukraine say that the people of Ukraine were eager to join the West and that the Maidan Revolution was a grassroots expression of pro-Western sentiment. Instead, there is evidence that the revolution was largely the creation of U.S. regime change meddling, aided by the so-called National Endowment for Democracy (a CIA offshoot); see the Compendium above for documentation. Certainly, most of the people in Eastern Ukraine and Crimea did not want closer ties with the West. (Carnegie Endowment for Peace and Foreign Affairs documented that a majority of the people of Crimea welcomed Russia's annexation of their territory in 2014: Denis Volkov and Andrei Kolesnikov's [My Country, Right or Wrong: Russian Public Opinion on Ukraine](#) (Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, September 7, 2022); John O'Loughlin, Gerard Toal and Kristin M. Bakke's [To Russia With Love: A Majority of Crimeans are Still Glad for Their Annexation](#) (Foreign Affairs, April 3, 2020).) Likewise, in Afghanistan, [Yugoslavia](#), [Syria](#), Libya, [Chechnya](#) and elsewhere, the U.S. instigated military and interference operations to bring down pro-Russian governments.

So, the U.S. intervened to aid "liberation" movements against Russian allies in Afghanistan, Yugoslavia, Libya, and Syria — allying with Muslim extremists to do so — but the U.S. condemns Russia for intervening to aid Russian-speaking people along Russia's own borders, in a conflict against Nazi militias supported by the U.S. and driven by aggressive NATO expansion.

Moreover, [the U.S. occupies one third of the sovereign nation of Syria](#), with help from its proxy army, the Syrian Defense Forces. Likewise, U.S. troops remain in Iraq, despite the [opposition of the Iraqi government](#). So, it's quite hypocritical for the U.S. to reject a ceasefire which allows Russia to occupy Russian-speaking areas of Ukraine which [voted overwhelmingly](#) for closer ties with Russia.

*

These facts and opinions do not justify Russia's invasion, but they certainly give the lie to statements by President Biden and others that the invasion was "unprovoked." Even the Russian occupation of Crimea in 2014 was provoked: it occurred *after*, and partially in response to, the U.S.-backed overthrow of the pro-Russian government of Ukraine.

And the facts expose amazing hypocrisy. The U.S. launched numerous unjustified wars and proxy wars; surrounded Russia and China with pro-US allies and military bases (about 800 worldwide); exited multiple arms treaties; and increased military spending to about \$1 trillion a year despite \$33 trillion in debt and dire domestic needs. Yet we accuse Russia and China of being the aggressors.

Both sides can be at fault in a conflict. The U.S. too has blood on its hands.

Finally, the facts are strong reasons why the U.S. should not be arming Ukraine to the teeth and pushing it to fight to the last Ukrainian and risking a nuclear war. Instead, it should push for a negotiated end to the war.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The original source of this article is [Progressive Memes](#)
Copyright © [Donald Smith](#), [Progressive Memes](#), 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Donald Smith](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca