

Secretive Vaccine Court Exposed: Designed to Shield Manufacturers from Liability

By [Ethan A. Huff](#)

Global Research, November 19, 2014
[Natural News](#)

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

For years, the corporate media was reluctant to admit that it even existed. But the special court system designed to handle vaccine injury cases — and ultimately sweep them under the rug as quickly as possible — has hit the mainstream news for its failure to adequately and propitiously compensate families of vaccine-injured children.

An Associated Press (AP) investigation has revealed that many cases evaluated through the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (NVICP) leave families hanging, sometimes for years or even decades. During this time, injured parties suffer without the support and financial assistance that they need to survive, and that they deserve under the program.

After conducting hundreds of interviews and analyzing nearly 15,000 vaccine injury cases, AP investigators determined that the vaccine court system is overloaded with cases that aren't being paid out in a timely manner. And less than 5 percent of these cases are being resolved within the 240-day resolution period.

“A system intended to speed help to vaccine-injured Americans has instead heaped additional suffering on thousands of families,” explains the AP report. “Most non-autism cases take at least two and a half years, with the average case length more than three years, not including cases unresolved at the end of 2012. Hundreds have surpassed the decade mark. Several people died before getting any money.”

Vaccine attorneys get paid even if their cases lose

Part of the problem is that, unlike typical civil court cases, vaccine court cases do not have to rule in favor of the plaintiffs for vaccine attorneys to get paid. Because of this, the [vaccine court](#) system is clogged with cases, some of which lack even basic evidence of harm caused by vaccines — who cares when you're getting paid, right?

Some vaccine attorneys also intentionally over-bill for their time since they receive payments directly from the government, a.k.a. taxpayers, rather than plaintiffs. This is necessary since, technically speaking, the [vaccine](#) injury court is an illegal kangaroo court designed to shield vaccine manufacturers from liability. But it also leaves wide open the potential for fraud.

Government stacks defense with pro-jab shills to avoid public skepticism of vaccines

Another problem is who the government brings in to defend vaccine injury cases. According to the AP, federal “doctors” are concerned about one thing and one thing only: promoting a

positive public perception of vaccines, and avoiding any admissions that might cast doubt about their safety.

This is obviously a huge conflict of interest when navigating vaccine injury [cases](#), as the government is more prone to declare a vaccine safe, and thus keep the vaccination rate high, rather than admit that a vaccine caused an injury and risk seeing vaccination rates decrease. As a result, many vaccine [injury](#) cases end up being settled, effectively neutralizing liability for the government and the vaccine manufacturers it represents.

“The system is not working,” said Richard Topping, a former U.S. Department of Justice (DoJ) attorney who used to handle vaccine injury claims but has since resigned citing perpetual disinterest among his superiors to try to fix any of these problems. “People who need help aren’t getting it.”

The very existence of the vaccine court, which the AP admits was created to shield vaccine companies from liability and jury verdicts, proves that vaccines injure and kill children. The AP even uses the phrase “vaccine-injured Americans” to describe those for whom the court exists. And yet the basic premise is still that vaccines are safe and necessary, and thus the system operates in defense of this, at the expense of public health.

Sources:

<http://hosted.ap.org>

<http://abcnews.go.com>

<http://www.ageofautism.com>

The original source of this article is [Natural News](#)
Copyright © [Ethan A. Huff](#), [Natural News](#), 2014

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Ethan A. Huff](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca