

Secret Service's Explanations for Security Failures Ahead of Trump Assassination Attempt Aren't Adding Up

By <u>Katelynn Richardson</u> Global Research, July 18, 2024 <u>The Daily Caller</u> 16 July 2024 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Law and Justice</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Spread the Truth, Refer a Friend to Global Research

Secret Service's explanations for the security failures surrounding the assassination attempt against former President **Donald Trump** at a rally on Saturday aren't adding up, according to security experts and former Secret Service agents.

Emerging details from official accounts and leaks to the media have raised serious questions about how a 20-year-old gunman was able to get within rifle range of Trump. Many key questions hinge on the responsibilities delegated to local police, who U.S. Secret Service Director Kimberly Cheatle <u>confirmed</u> during a Monday interview with ABC News were inside the building the shooter fired from, though nobody was stationed on the rooftop.

Cheatle <u>explained</u> a decision was made not to put anybody on top of the building because the "sloped" roof made it unsafe, but security experts and former Secret Service agents who spoke with the Daily Caller News Foundation emphasized not having someone on the roof was a "big failure" and didn't believe Cheatle's explanation was sufficient.

"Let's just say the local law enforcement officers [and] the Secret Service agree that it's just not safe to keep someone up there for a couple of hours," former Secret Service agent Anthony Cangelosi told the DCNF. "Then the question is, well, how do we maintain its integrity otherwise? It's not like you just throw your hands up and say 'can't do that.'"

Cangelosi said there is no "justifiable reason" for failing to cover the roof, suggesting they should have found solutions like putting another platform up or getting an officer on a lift.

Peter Yachmetz, retired FBI agent and principal security consultant at Yachmetz Consulting Group, pointed out that the shooter was moving around on the "unsafe" roof prior to the incident.

"The slope didn't affect him," Yachmetz told the DCNF.



JUST IN: USSS director Kim Cheatle says sn*pers weren't on the roof where Thomas Crooks shot from because it was "sloped" and unsafe.

Ironically, the sn*pers who were behind Trump during the rally were on a sloped roof.

"That building in particular has a sloped roof at its highest point."

"And so, you know, there's a safety factor that would be considered there that we wouldn't want to put somebody up on a sloped roof. And so, you know, the decision was made to secure the building, from inside."

She is bullsh*ting and getting away with it.



Law enforcement reportedly <u>spotted</u> the shooter on the roof 30 minutes before shots were fired, WPXI <u>reported</u> Monday. After the incident, a witness <u>described</u> watching a man

climbing onto the roof and trying to warn a police officer, claiming officials responded with confusion.

"The reality is, regardless of the spin, that particular roof should have been under constant surveillance and or posted," former secret service agent Tim Miller told the DCNF.



Here's video of the 20-year-old gunman, on the roof in a prone position, opening fire at the Donald Trump rally in Butler, PA. Shots ring out and panic ensues before the shooter is himself shot and killed seconds later. Video acquired by TMZ.

More: tmz.com/2024/07/13/tru...



Click here to read the tweet on X

"In this particular instance, we did share support for that particular site and that the Secret Service was responsible for the inner perimeter," Cheatle <u>told</u> ABC News Monday during an interview. "And then we sought assistance from our local counterparts for the outer perimeter. There was local police in that building — there was local police in the area that were responsible for the outer perimeter of the building."

However, a local law enforcement official <u>told</u> The New York Times Tuesday that the local forces were in an adjacent building, not the one the shooter was firing from.

The discrepancies in their accounts only add to the uncertainties surrounding who was responsible.

CBS News reported Monday that there were three snipers stationed inside the building

shooter Thomas Matthew Crooks fired from, citing a local law enforcement officer. One of the snipers saw Crooks looking through a rangefinder in the minutes before he fired and radioed command post, <u>according</u> to CBS News.


The Butler Township Police Department declined to confirm the report to the DCNF, stating that there is an ongoing investigation by the FBI.

Butler County Sheriff Michael Slupe declined to offer additional comments Tuesday, telling the DCNF he is "backing away from media requests for comment and opinions."

"There are too many questions being posed that I do not have first hand knowledge of and too many fingers being pointed," he said. "I am in charge of the Deputy Sheriffs and no other law enforcement agency. My Deputies performed their duties at their assigned areas and went above and beyond after the shooting started and ended in the their actions to help people and assist police in clearing the nearby buildings."

Slupe previously <u>confirmed</u> to CNN that an armed Butler Township officer encountered Crooks before he shot at Trump, but <u>retreated</u> down the ladder after Crooks pointed his gun at him. He <u>told</u> KDKA-TV there was a security failure, but noted "there is not just one entity responsible."

"The Secret Service plays a key role in protecting, in this case, former President Trump, but they don't act alone," he told the outlet. "The Secret Service receives support from local police departments."




Click here to read the tweet on X

Pennsylvania State Police, however, did confirm they had no members "inside the building or staging in it."

"The Pennsylvania State Police provided all resources that the United States Secret Service (USSS) requested for former President Trump's rally in Butler on Saturday, July 13th, including approximately 30 to 40 troopers to assist with securing the inside perimeter," Pennsylvania State Police Lieutenant Adam Reed told the DCNF. "Among PSP's duties at the rally, the Department was not responsible for securing the building or property at AGR International."

Reed said he could not say when an officer witnessed the shooter, as it was not a state trooper who saw him.



Click here to read the tweet on X

Former secret service agent **Jeffrey James** explained to the DCNF that protection "works in a series of concentric circles." Typically, there is an inner circle of secret service agents, a second circle that mixes both agents and local law enforcement, and an outer ring that is largely state and local partners.

If the agent in charge of the site told a local law enforcement officer on the outer perimeter that the building is his responsibility, then anything that happens is on the officer.

"But if that agent didn't find one of the local law enforcement partners and give very clear, direct directions...then it's going to be the responsibility or the fault of that agent for not delegating that," he told the DCNF.

It's unclear what instructions the Secret Service gave to local law enforcement.

Butler County District Attorney Richard Goldinger <u>told</u> The Washington Post Tuesday that "Secret Service was in charge" and that "it was their responsibility to make sure that the venue and the surrounding area was secure."

"For them to blame local law enforcement is them passing the blame when they hold the blame, in my opinion," Goldinger told The Washington Post.

However, the Secret Service released a <u>statement</u> on Tuesday pushing back against assertions that they were blaming local law enforcement for the tragedy that unfolded on Saturday. "Any news suggesting the Secret Service is blaming local law enforcement for Saturday's incident is simply not true," the statement posted to the Secret Service's X page said.

"I am having difficulty reconciling the answer the Director gave in her ABC interview with the official statement made on social media," **Patrick Yoes**, national president of the Fraternal Order of Police, <u>said</u> in a press release on Tuesday. "Our goal is to provide whatever assistance the Secret Service needs to perform their mission and to do so with mutual respect, trust, and accuracy."

A RealClearPolitics <u>report</u> suggested Sunday that resources were diverted away from Trump's rally to an event where First Lady Jill Biden was speaking. **Anthony Guglielmi,** chief of communications for the United States Secret Service, <u>denied</u> this was the case.

Questions also remain about why Crooks was not taken out sooner. Cangelosi explained to the DCNF that counter-snipers can face challenges due to their distance from the target.

"With counter snipers, you're usually so far away, it's not usually clear whether an individual is an imminent threat, " Cangelosi said. "It's harder to discern. Once they discern whether that person is a threat to life or serious bodily injury, they can take the shot."

Yachmetz questioned why drone coverage was not utilized.

"A drone strategically placed a few thousand feet above could have oversaw the entire venue," he said.

"In my opinion, a detailed, in-depth very specific investigation must be conducted of all procedures [and] this entire matter by a non-biased outside investigative group (possibly of retired agents)," Yachmetz told the DCNF, emphasizing the investigation must not be "politically motivated."

House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Chairman James Comer <u>announced</u> Monday that Cheatle would testify at a committee hearing on July 22. President Joe Biden <u>said</u> Sunday that he directed an "independent review" of the events.

The FBI told the DCNF it has "nothing additional to provide at this time beyond previously-

issued statements." The Bureau <u>said</u> Monday that it gained access to Crooks' phone and "has conducted nearly 100 interviews of law enforcement personnel, event attendees, and other witnesses."

Trump suffered a wound to his ear, and two were <u>killed</u>, including Crooks and 50-year-old ex-volunteer fire chief, Corey Comperatore. Two other attendees were also wounded in the attack.

The Secret Service did not respond to a request for comment.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Wallace White and Owen Klinsky contributed to this report.

Featured image <u>source</u>

The original source of this article is <u>The Daily Caller</u> Copyright © <u>Katelynn Richardson</u>, <u>The Daily Caller</u>, 2024

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Katelynn Richardson

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca