

# Secret Meeting in London: Plotting to Wage War on Syria without UN Authorization

Britain's "Duplicity, treachery and infidelity."

By [Felicity Arbuthnot](#)

Global Research, December 11, 2012

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

*Somewhere between being awarded the Nobel Peace Prize and the consolidating farce of the presentation in Oslo yesterday, recipients were plotting another freedom and democracy bringing "humanitarian intervention", illegal regime change and the destruction of a country in order to save it.*

Remember Libya was "not going to be another Iraq" disaster, "lessons have been learned"? Libya's ruined towns and social structure of course, chillingly resemble Iraq. Now, it is Syria's turn, but Syria will "not be another Libya", yes mistakes were made, but lessons have yet again been learned.

Today's (London) Independent cover story reports the: "plan for (the) international coalition to provide air and naval support, plus military training for the opposition", commenting that: "Western intervention is now deemed necessary as civil war has reached 'tipping point' " and of course: "Britain, France and US agree 'no boots on the ground.' "

This is the outcome of a secret meeting in London recently, hosted by Sir David Richards, who heads Britain's armed forces. Participants in the scheming were: "the military chiefs of France, Turkey, Jordan, Qatar, the UAE and" (surprise) "a three star American General." The paper records: "Strategy was discussed at length", with other UK government Ministries "and their counterparts in allied states" also holding meetings - read plotting another overthrow of massive illegality, of a sovereign leader and government.

"L'Angleterre, ah, la perfide Angleterre ..." is an expression regarding Britain believed to go back as far as the 13<sup>th</sup> century relating to acts of "duplicity, treachery and infidelity" in relations with other nation states, states Wiki neatly.

Prime Minister Cameron is determined to involve Britain in more bloodshed, having learned nothing from his disastrous involvement in Libya - sorry, he is: " ... determined that more should be done by Britain to bring an end to the strife ..." That would be a first, and of course Foreign Secretary Hague ("I have been a Conservative Friend of Israel since I was sixteen") has long been stating that Britain must arm the insurgents and pledging considerable sums to do so.

Seemingly it was blithely decided at the meeting that since "boots on the ground" ie., another illegal invasion is to be excluded (we'll see) "training camps can be set up in Turkey" for the terrorists.

The use of air power and maritime forces, it was thought would be likely to lead to “charges that, as in Libya, the West is carrying out regime change by force.” Quite.

“Mission creep” is already writ large.

Further, a “senior Whitehall official” is quoted as saying: “If this is worth doing , then it is worth doing professionally; training the Free Syrian Army and providing them with air and maritime support.”

The conclusion is that another murderous intervention is: “now inevitable.” French military advisers have apparently met insurgent groups in Lebanon and: “The US is said to have stockpiled weapons retrieved in Libya for future supply” to the insurgents.

The action, as Iraq, is planned to go ahead without UN authorization, since that would in near certainty be blocked by China and Russia on the Security Council.

One multi-million dollar question remains, will Russia and China sit quietly on the sidelines and allow another bloodbath and overthrow, having been so comprehensively duped over Libya?

Oh, and will there be another hapless Ambassador Christopher Stevens type, landing with a contingency of US-armed thugs, from a cargo ship laden with weapons and equipment, or have America’s “diplomats” been overcome with a derring-do deficit after the terrible events of Benghazi on 11<sup>th</sup> September?

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Felicity Arbuthnot](#), Global Research, 2012

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Felicity Arbuthnot](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)