

# “On a Scale of 1-10, It’s an 11” - Wall Street Reacts to China’s Retaliation

By [Zero Hedge](#)

Global Research, August 07, 2019


[Zero Hedge](#) 5 August 2019

Region: [Asia](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#)

*One day after China finally snapped, and demonstratively refused to intervene and keep the CNH above 7.00 vs the dollar, escalating the trade war into a currency war, stocks are tumbling and Wall Street analysts - all of whom had been bullish until now - are scrambling to adjust their narrative.*

With the S&P dropping more than 2%, bringing its slide from the all time highs just two weeks ago to more than 5%, semiconductors which are most directly exposed to Chinese trade, and banks stocks, which are sensitive to interest rates, are among the hardest hit sectors.




As widely expected, President Trump himself joined the fray and on Monday morning tweeted about China and the Fed saying:

“China dropped the price of their currency to an almost a historic low. It’s called ‘currency manipulation.’ Are you listening Federal Reserve? This is a major violation which will greatly weaken China over time!”

In doing so, he once again confirmed that he is using trade war as leverage to get Powell to cut rates further, as BofA showed in the following simple schematic:

**Chart 1: Adverse feedback loop between the Fed and trade policy**



Source: BofA Merrill Lynch Global Research

But while Trump's reaction was expected, what was more interesting is how sellside analysts – until recently predicting that the S&P will enjoy smooth sailing well into the 3,000, are adjusting their trading recos now that the worst case scenario in the trade war with China has materialized. So, courtesy of Bloomberg, here are some samples of the latest sellside commentary:

### **Cowen, Chris Krueger**

**Krueger called China's retaliation "massive," adding that "on a scale of 1-10, it's an 11."** He cited the Chinese government calling on state buyers to halt U.S. agricultural purchases, while there's "increased anecdotal evidence that the Chinese government is tightening its overview of foreign firms."

"While there were measures that could have been chosen with larger direct effects on supply chains, the announcements from Beijing represent a direct shot at the White House and seem designed for maximum political impact," Krueger said. "We expect a quick (and possibly intemperate) response from the White House, and consequently expect a more rapid escalation of trade tensions."

"There now will be increased expectations that the Fed will cut again in September to offset the drag caused by this escalation in the trade war," he added. "Such moves will only be a partial, lagged offset to the recessionary headwinds a cycle of retaliation would cause."

### **BMO, Ian Lyngen**

**"The wait is over for those wondering how Beijing would respond to Trump's recent tariff announcement.** The result: the yuan was allowed to depreciate well beyond 7.0."

Instructing state-owned Chinese firms to halt U.S. crop purchases triggered

“the obligatory flight-to-quality,” which pushed 10-year yields to 1.74%, with two-year yields keeping pace. That was **“an impressive move that suggests August will not experience the traditional summer doldrums. Who needs vacation anyway?”**

“The most significant unknown at this moment,” Lyngen added, “is how much further the yuan will be allowed to fall given that it’s already the weakest since 2008.”

### **Morgan Stanley, Betsy Graseck**

Bank investors’ eyes were “glued to the yield curve last week,” with Trump’s tariff tweet on Thursday, Graseck wrote in a note. **They’re now asking about Morgan Stanley’s net interest margin (NIM), outlook.**

Graseck didn’t change her NIM assumptions yet. “We bake one additional cut of 25 basis points in 2019 in-line with our economist, and bake in the 10-year at 1.75% by mid 2020,” she wrote. She’ll update NIM and earnings per share estimates **“if it looks like these trade tariffs are going through as September approaches.”**

### **Morgan Stanley, Michael Zexas**

“The dynamics of U.S.-China negotiation and macro conditions mean the next round of tariffs will likely be enacted, **and investors are likely to behave as if further escalation will follow in 2019 until markets price in impacts,**” Zexas wrote. **“This supports our core view of weaker growth and skews the Fed dovish.”**

Zexas sees incentives for the U.S. to escalate quickly. If the administration “understands the Fed’s trade policy reaction function, then it may also perceive that a more rapid escalation could deliver one or more of three beneficial points ahead of the 2020 election: 1) A quicker, potentially more aggressive Fed stimulus response that could help the economy heading into the election; 2) More time to re-frame the potential economic downside; and 3) A major concession by China (not our base case, but it is, of course, a possibility).”

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is [Zero Hedge](#)  
Copyright © [Zero Hedge](#), [Zero Hedge](#), 2019

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **Zero Hedge**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)