

RussiaGate 2.0: Donald Trump Has Opted for “Real Peace” Negotiations with a “Foreign Adversary”

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)
Global Research, July 16, 2024

Region: [Russia and FSU, USA](#)
Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)
In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

Introduction

Normalization of diplomatic relations with the Russian Federation had first been proposed by Donald Trump in 2017.

Under RussiaGate (2016), President-elect **Donald Trump** had been accused of treason “after President Obama announced new sanctions [in late December 2016] against Russia and Trump praised Vladimir Putin’s response to the sanctions.” ([Daily Caller](#), December 30, 2016, emphasis added)

Former Secretary of Defense and CIA Director **Leo Panetta** had already intimated prior to the elections that **Trump was a threat to national security.**

Even prior to the inauguration of president Trump, the US media in liaison with US intelligence had launched successive waves of smears directed against President-elect Donald Trump.

The objective from the very outset was to discredit president Trump, presenting him as a **Manchurian candidate serving the interests of the Kremlin.**

[Spread the Truth, Refer a Friend to Global Research](#)

IS DONALD TRUMP A MANCHURIAN CANDIDATE?

A series of explosive reports provide new details about the Republican nominee’s relationship with Russia.

Vanity Fair November 1 2016

Trump Time Capsule #133: Modern Manchurian Candidate



Angela Lansbury and Laurence Harvey in *The Manchurian Candidate*, in 1962. That movie, and the Richard Condon novel it was drawn from, imagined foreign attempts to direct the outcome of a U.S. presidential election. Yesterday the U.S. government said that Russian hackers have been deliberately trying to change the outcome of this year's race. (Wikimedia commons)

The Atlantic October 8 2016

RussiaGate 2.0

The RussiaGate objective as formulated in 2016 was:

“to get rid of a President who intended to normalize relations with Russia, thus curtailing the budget and power of the military/security complex.” ([Paul Craig Roberts](#), emphasis added)

In January 2019, the FBI Russia investigation was quoted by the media as “evidence” that Trump was “wittingly or unwittingly” an agent of the Kremlin.

What is the stance of the FBI today in regard to the candidacy of Donald Trump? [The FBI played a key role in sustaining RussiaGate](#). (P. C Roberts). I should mention that the FBI is also responsible (coincidentally) for the investigation of the attempted assassination of Donald Trump in Pennsylvania.



Let us wake up to REALITY.

The levels of political manipulation, fraud and criminality have reached their pinnacle.

The ultimate intent of the campaign against Trump in 2016-19, led by the Neocons and the Dems Clinton faction was to destabilize the Trump presidency.

From RussiaGate 1.0 to RussiaGate 2.0

There is continuity: Under **RussiaGate 2.0 (2024)** which we are currently experiencing, various accusations of treason against Trump will once more go into high gear, ultimately with a view to sabotage the peace process as well as destabilize Trump's candidacy to the presidency of the U.S.

Trump has confirmed: ...

“that if reelected, he would swiftly bring an end to the war in Ukraine **by speaking with Putin.**”



“I will have that war settled between Putin and Zelensky as president-elect before I take office on January 20.

I'll have that war settled,” Trump said on June 27 during a debate with Biden, adding,

“I'll get it settled fast, before I take office.” (Quoted by [Newsweek](#))

Speaking with Putin is regarded as an act of treason. This courageous statement, reminiscent of **Donald Trump -the alleged Manchurian Candidate—** is unlikely to be accepted by the “Deep State”, the Military Industrial Complex and the powerful financial groups which support the Democratic Party leadership.

What will be the ultimate outcome?

Real peace negotiations are an integral part of Trump's election campaign.

Trump's national security advisory team has prepared a balanced plan: if the Kiev regime does not enter into peace talks with Moscow, the U.S. would (under a Trump presidency) immediately suspend the flow of US weapons to Ukraine:

“Under the plan drawn up by [**General Keith] Kellogg and Fred Fleitz**, who both served as chiefs of staff in Trump's National Security Council during his 2017-2021 presidency, **there would be a ceasefire based on prevailing battle lines during peace talks**, Fleitz said.

They have presented their strategy to Trump, and the Republican presidential candidate responded favorably”. (Reuters, June 23, 2024)

It is worth noting that this peace proposal — which is part of his election campaign — was formulated barely a few weeks prior **to the failed attempt to assassinate Donald**

Trump in Pennsylvania.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), Global Research, 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)

About the author:

Michel Chossudovsky is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics (emeritus) at the University of Ottawa, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), Montreal, Editor of Global Research. He has undertaken field research in Latin America, Asia, the Middle East, sub-Saharan Africa and the Pacific and has written extensively on the economies of developing countries with a focus on poverty and social inequality. He has also undertaken research in Health Economics (UN Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), UNFPA, CIDA, WHO, Government of Venezuela, John Hopkins International Journal of Health Services (1979, 1983) He is the author of 13 books including *The Globalization of Poverty and The New World Order* (2003), *America's "War on Terrorism"* (2005), *The Globalization of War, America's Long War against Humanity* (2015). He is a contributor to the Encyclopaedia Britannica. His writings have been published in more than twenty languages. In 2014, he was awarded the Gold Medal for Merit of the Republic of Serbia for his writings on NATO's war of aggression against Yugoslavia. He can be reached at crgeditor@yahoo.com

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants

permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca