

# Carefully Planned NATO-Kiev Operation: Is Russia Really “Losing in Kursk”?

By [Drago Bosnic](#)

Global Research, August 15, 2024

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

*Since last week, there's been a lot of panic among many supporters of Russia due to [the situation in the Kursk oblast \(region\)](#). I've gotten numerous questions from people who were watching the news and getting reports about Russia being “in jeopardy”, particularly from my readers who are severely exposed to the mainstream propaganda machine. Such concerns are perfectly understandable, especially if one is getting one-sided info, which is the goal of [the so-called “Big Tech”](#) and other mass media in the political West. There has also been a copious amount of [malevolent glee among high-ranking politicians in the United States](#), European Union and other [pathologically Russophobic countries](#). All of them are quite happy to see that Russia is supposedly “losing”. Which begs the obvious question – [is it really “losing”](#)?*

First of all, [it's very important to “zoom out” and see the real situation on the entire frontline](#). Only then should one make definite conclusions. Is there anything groundbreaking happening?

Will the Kiev regime take over the Kursk nuclear power plant and then blackmail Moscow to sign an [unfavorable “peace deal”](#)?

Will the Kremlin be forced to exchange territories and call it a day?

This is how far a few people were going with some of the doom and gloom questions I've got. Many in Russia also have similar concerns, to the point that [President Vladimir Putin had to spell it out](#) that nobody's signing anything or exchanging territory. He also reiterated that all the goals of the special military operation (SMO) will be accomplished. However, it seems not even this was enough for some people who are simply too worried.

First of all, it should be noted that the operation that the Neo-Nazi junta and its NATO overlords planned in the Kursk oblast was very well executed and involved the coordination of a lot of moving parts. It also suggests that the Kiev regime learned some valuable lessons after [its much-touted counteroffensive last year](#). Namely, it finally realized that it's completely senseless to pompously announce any major action weeks or months in advance and give your enemy enough warning time to prepare adequate defenses. In that regard, the preparation for the Kursk oblast incursion was nearly flawless from the perspective of media coverage, as there was none. Not even OSINT (open source intelligence) outlets could predict this operation. It would be simply foolish and self-defeating not to admit this.

In addition, NATO and the Neo-Nazi junta knew the exact concentration of Russian forces, mostly deployed from the Belgorod oblast all the way to the Donbass itself. [Launching a](#)

[surprise attack on any of these areas would've been patently suicidal](#). It would accomplish quite literally nothing on an operational (much less on a strategic) level. However, the Kursk oblast had very few regular units that could've offered enough resistance. The area was mostly guarded by the Rosgvardiya, specifically the "Akhmat" special forces mostly composed of personnel from Chechnya. Many soon blamed this unit for the advance of the Kiev regime forces, but it should be noted that stopping regular army troops is not what "Akhmat" specializes in. Namely, they're tasked with preventing low-level incursions across the border.

This includes the prompt elimination of saboteurs, infiltrators and special forces. And that's exactly what the unit has been doing so far, quite successfully, it should be noted, mostly in cooperation with FSB border guards. However, because the "Akhmat" are mostly Chechens, the Kiev regime tried using this as a potent propaganda weapon to [cause and/or intensify internal divisions within Russia](#). It brought mixed results, as some were disappointed with the "Akhmat's" performance, but this was quite short-lived, as it soon became apparent that the unit was faced with overwhelming enemy forces it was never equipped to handle. But ["PR victories"](#) are the only thing that the Neo-Nazi junta really cares about. Which brings us to [the next silly myth](#) - "only 1000 Ukrainian soldiers took 1000 km<sup>2</sup> of Russian territory".

Namely, it was impossible to carry out such an attack with less than 10,000-20,000 troops who are either under [the influence of narcotics](#) or are [simply suicidal enough to sacrifice themselves for yet another "PR victory"](#). In addition, these forces were [directly supported by NATO ISR \(intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance\) assets](#), providing excellent coordination and planning. There certainly might have been some errors on the Russian side, both in terms of local intelligence and tactical ISR, but realistically speaking, there were no regular units equipped to stop this incursion. In addition, there was a tacit agreement not to touch the area because of [the Yelets-Kursk-Dykanka natural gas pipeline](#). However, as per usual, the infamous Neo-Nazi junta has [proven once again that it's not to be trusted one bit](#).

This incursion [now jeopardizes gas supplies](#) to [countries such as Hungary and Slovakia](#), which will only worsen their [already tense \(to put it mildly\) relations with the Kiev regime](#).

However, it also explains why the US and NATO would support such an attack. Namely, [it's in their direct interest](#) to cut off any remaining [Russian natural gas deliveries to Europe](#), as this would force several more countries to buy the exorbitantly expensive American LNG. In addition, apart from [jeopardizing energy infrastructure](#), the Neo-Nazi junta forces also [attacked civilians, committing gruesome war crimes in the process](#). However, as soon as the Kremlin responded with regular units, [these troops were in for a nasty surprise](#). And indeed, [all hell broke loose as soon as the Russian military engaged the enemy forces](#).

[Both Soviet-era and NATO-sourced armor was obliterated from afar](#), while even foreign volunteers fighting for Moscow [took part in suppressing the Kiev regime forces](#). All this suggests that the Kursk incursion was a desperate attempt to divert attention from the Donbass, where [the second line of the Neo-Nazi junta's defense is now being overrun](#) by the Russian military, [as predicted by experts](#). The Kremlin never stopped advancing in this area, while its long-range strike systems [keep obliterating NATO-supplied air defenses](#) and [whatever's left of the Kiev regime's air force](#). Thus, this adventure in the Kursk oblast accomplished very little, especially when considering the losses of precious reserve troops that the Neo-Nazi junta will have major trouble replacing. And yet, [Ukrainians keep dying for](#)

[“PR victories”](#).

\*

Click the share button below to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[One Month Before Global Research’s Anniversary](#)

*This article was originally published on [InfoBrics](#).*

*[Drago Bosnic](#) is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.*

*Featured image: © Sputnik . Kursk Region Acting Governor Press Office*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Drago Bosnic](#), Global Research, 2024

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Drago Bosnic](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)