

# Russia Still Opposes the Swiss Peace Talks on Ukraine. Putin and Xi Agree on China's Peace Process Conference in Beijing

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, June 14, 2024

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Give Truth a Chance. Secure Your Access to Unchained News, Donate to Global Research.](#)

\*\*\*

*From Russia's perspective, the success of any Chinese-facilitated talks on Ukraine ahead of and at November's G20 Summit in Rio is dependent on the Swiss ones' failure, hence its total opposition to this weekend's event and anger at all those of its partners like India who send even low-level representation.*

[RT](#) earlier reported on a Japanese broadcaster's claim that the draft joint statement which was prepared for this weekend's Swiss talks on [Ukraine](#) won't call for the withdrawal of Russian forces from all the territories claimed by Kiev and will also likely omit any mention of restoring Ukraine's territorial integrity. It'll instead allegedly only focus on "the safety of nuclear power plants, food security, the release of prisoners and the return of children evacuated by Russia from the conflict zone."

Russia still opposes these talks despite their reportedly scale-backed agenda as proven by what Deputy Foreign Minister Alexander Grushko [told](#) Izvestia:

"They are trying to lure representatives of the Global South there by such rather primitive means, putting not Zelensky's formula, which is actually in the organizers' plan, to the fore, but secondary issues. You are for food security, for the safety of nuclear power plants, you support the exchange of prisoners, right? All right, then come and let's talk."

He also said that

"These are absolutely ridiculous underhand games, they can be seen with the naked

eye. It is already clear that this is a waste of time, a kind of action whose value is zero, but which is being used to the maximum to maintain the anti-Russian psychosis.”

Grushko’s harsh rhetoric mirrors former President and incumbent Deputy Chair of the Security Council Dmitry Medvedev’s, who [tweeted](#) late last month that all the countries which attend those talks are essentially taking Kiev’s side over Moscow’s.

It’s unimportant to Russia that the agenda has reportedly been scaled back since all that matters is that these talks are being held without its participation in the first place. Previous rounds of discussions on this conflict in Saudi Arabia [didn’t elicit](#) such a strong reaction, however, even though Russia also wasn’t there either. This observation makes one wonder what’s really driving Russia’s angry response to these latest ones since there isn’t any precedent for what its top representatives are saying about them.

It can’t be known for sure, but it might be that Presidents Putin and Xi agreed to promote a [parallel non-Western peace process](#) on Ukraine during the Russian leader’s trip to China last month. That would explain why China [decided not to attend](#) the Swiss talks, for which it was [praised](#) by Russia, while also unveiling a [joint six-point consensus](#) with this year’s G20 host Brazil. This sequence of events unfolded after President Putin’s visit, thus prompting speculation that it was coordinated with him.

From Russia’s perspective, the success of any Chinese-facilitated talks on Ukraine ahead of and at November’s G20 Summit in Rio is dependent on the Swiss ones’ failure, hence its total opposition to this weekend’s event and anger at all those of its partners [like India](#) who send even low-level representation. The decision has apparently been made to capitalize upon their failure to give momentum to the talks that China and Brazil might soon jointly organize with Russia’s endorsement.

The fewer Global South countries that participate in the Swiss talks and the more they participate in the potential Sino-Brazilian ones will discredit the former while lending greater legitimacy to the latter. Some of them that earlier committed to attending the Swiss talks are [reportedly backing out](#) at the last minute while Chinese Foreign Minister Wang Yi [confirmed](#) that more Global South states are endorsing his country’s joint peace consensus with Brazil. The writing is thus on the wall for what’ll likely come next.

With this in mind, Russia’s continued opposition to the Swiss talks in spite of their reportedly scaled-back agenda makes sense, though it would have been better had Medvedev and Grushko not used such harsh language in their statements about them. Their sharp words risk inadvertently offending those of Russia’s close Global South partners like India that’ll still attend this weekend’s event. Hopefully those countries won’t be excluded from the potentially forthcoming Sino-Brazilian peace talks as punishment.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*This article was originally published on the author’s Substack, [Andrew Korybko’s Newsletter](#).*

*Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China’s One Belt One Road global*

vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from the author

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2024

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

### About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)