

Russia is determined to bring NATO's Expansion Eastward to a Halt

The ghost of Stalingrad

By Eric Walberg

Global Research, September 16, 2008

Al Ahram Weekly 16 September 2008

Region: Russia and FSU

NATO's metamorphosis from Cold War Euro-policeman into the unabashed global military arm of the United States over the past 18 years has left a trail of debris from the Balkans to Afghanistan that will take decades to clear. It is a flagrant violation of the agreement James Baker III made with Soviet president Mikhail Gorbachev that the US would not extend the borders of NATO eastwards in return for Moscow allowing a united Germany to be a member of NATO. Russia was still in disarray and in no position to protest when the Eastern European countries and the Baltics joined, but as this policy of expansion turned into a blatant encirclement of Russia and a conquest of the Middle East, a furious, now self-confident Russia has finally drawn the line, at least in its immediate neighbourhood, with Georgia and Ukraine the last straws.

In a provocative analogy, Russian President Dmitri Medvedev called Georgia's 8 August attack on Ossetia Russia 's 9/11, and said Russia would react the same even if Georgia is accepted as a prospective member of NATO. He announced to the Russian Information Agency 31 August "Five Points of Russian foreign policy" already dubbed the Medvedev Doctrine, as a response to what we might call the Bush I/ Clinton / Bush II Doctrine, i.e., the dismemberment of the USSR / Russia to ensure a US-dominated unipolar world. They include:

- -a commitment to the principles of international law,
- -a statement that "the world should be multipolar",
- -the wish to have peaceful friendly relations with all nations,
- -the intent to protect its citizens "wherever they may be", and
- -the decisive fifth point: "as is the case of other countries, there are regions in which Russia has privileged interests. These regions are home to countries with which we share special historical relations and are bound together as friends and good neighbours. We will pay particular attention to our work in these regions and build friendly ties with these countries, our close neighbours."

The crisis in Georgia will be seen by future historians as the beginning of the end for the

grandiose plans of the US to bring its version of a New World Order in Eurasia to fruition, if not "Russia's 9/11". Instead of a seemingly inexorable march towards the Volga and the dismantling of the Russian Federation — recall this was Hitler's goal — we are now witnessing war preparations at full tilt across the globe, with little Georgia as the catalyst.

The spider's web of intrigue surrounding Georgia is thick indeed. It even reaches as far as Iran , which Israel appeared to be preparing to attack using nearby Georgian bases as a launching pad. This plan has been thwarted for the moment, though Iran proceeded last week with its war games to test its defences in anticipation of a US/Israeli attack from farther afield.

As Georgia welcomes a permanent US military presence to help restore its battered army, Russia is expanding its military presence at Tajikstan's Gissar Airport. As the US positions missiles in Russia's neighbours Poland and the Czech Republic, Russia is preparing to hold joint naval drills with US neighbour Venezuela (10-14 November) and station long-range anti-submarine patrol aircraft there "temporarily".

The Russian navy has resumed its (or rather its predecessor's) presence in different regions of the world's oceans. A naval task force from Russia's Northern Fleet conducted a two-month tour of duty in the Mediterranean Sea and North Atlantic from December 2007 to February 2008.

Russia's Foreign Ministry spokesman Andrei Nesterenko insisted that Russia's decision to send its armed forces to Venezuela was made before Russia's war with Georgia. "This deployment had been planned in advance, and it's unrelated to the current political situation and the developments in the Caucasus." But the announcement was made just a week after Prime Minister Vladimir Putin warned that Russia would mount an unspecified response to recent US aid shipments to Georgia.

Thankfully, the war is still at the level of hot air. "Go ahead and squeal, Yankees," Venezuelan President Hugo Chavez said in a national broadcast in which he announced the exercises. The US mocked the announcement. State Department spokesman Sean McCormack poked fun at Russia's navy, expressing surprise that "they found a few ships that can make it that far." Just in case Venezuela is too far from US shores for the outmoded Russian vessels, Russia has signaled it is keen to restore military and intelligence ties with Cuba. There are rumours it is seeking a naval base in Vietnam.

Not to be left out of the increasingly complex maritime equation, in June the US Navy announced it was reestablishing the Fourth Fleet, disbanded in 1950, which would direct naval operations in the Caribbean and Latin America. It is also negotiating with Georgia and Turkey to establish a naval base at the Georgian port of Poti. One of the responsibilities of US Special Forces in the region is to ensure the security of an oil pipeline passing through Georgia.

As US "aid" flows to the Black Sea in US warships, Russian military hardware flows to the Caribbean, as Venezuela recently bought 24 Russian Sukhoi fighter jets, as well as submarines and missiles. Chavez has said that he would allow Venezuela to be a strategic base for Russian bombers should it be required. "In Venezuela they will always have a green light, they will be welcome, because Russia is an ally of Venezuela," said Chavez. He proceeded to expel the US ambassador last week until after the November presidential elections.

Sergei Markov, a United Russia Duma member, sees this as posturing rather than the prelude to setting up a permanent base in the Americas. "We need bases on the territory of Iran and Syria where our strategic interests lie." While it indeed looks like Russia will re-establish a permanent presence in the Mediterranean using a Sovietera base in Tartus, Syria, this talk of bases in Iran is a new development. It is rumoured that Russia may set up bases there and supply Tehran with the cutting edge S-300 missile system to help protect its nuclear facilities from airstrikes.

But apart from Venezuela, the main posturing is going on in Tbilisi, where President Mikhail Saakashvili insisted the West would help his country regain control of South Ossetia and Abkhazia, the separatist regions of Georgia recognised as independent nations by Russia and a trickle of other countries, including Nicaragua and Belarus. "Our territorial integrity will be restored, I am more convinced of this than ever," Saakashvili said in a televised appearance. "This will not be an easy process, but now this is a process between an irate Russia and the rest of

the world."

The hot air and military strutting by this collection of antagonists is beginning to look like the calm before the storm. If it is true that US military were part of the invasion of South Ossetia, if only as advisors, this could mean that Russian soldiers might have been killed by Americans, something that never happened even during the height of the Cold War. During the Cold War, "the sides were very careful of each other. They were careful not to come too close," said Alexander Pikayev. "The risk of direct military clashes is much higher. This situation is much riskier than the Cold War." Both US presidential candidates are talking tough, and vice presidential hopeful Sarah Palin said, "We will not repeat a Cold War", presumably meaning she preferred a hot one.

In such a hair-trigger atmosphere, Ukraine and Georgia can kiss any dream of joining the ersatz Western "defence" alliance do svidania.

Nevertheless, last week Vice President Dick Cheney toured ex-Soviet countries the US considers threatened by Russia, including Ukraine, Georgia and Azerbaijan, promising Georgia \$1 billion (where do these nice round sums come from?), vowing the US will continue to back the country's NATO application and saying that Moscow's intervention "cast grave doubt on Russia's intentions and on its reliability as an international partner." In Ukraine, he spoke of the "threat of tyranny, economic blackmail and military invasion or intimidation" from Russia . That is an interesting slant on the Medvedev Doctrine. The reader can easily conjure up appropriate words that Medvedev might use to describe the Bush I/ Clinton/ Bush II Doctrine.

Ukraine is now embroiled in a mud-slinging match, with the collapse of the coalition government 3 September, when President Viktor Yushchenko withdrew his support over the refusal of Prime Minister Yulia Tymoshenko to back the president in his support for Georgia and condemnation of Russia. Yushchenko accused Tymoshenko of "treason and political corruption", over her failure to back a pro-US stand, and of seeking Moscow's support of her likely presidential bid. Ukraine's pro-Russian former prime minister Viktor Yanukovich, who heads the Party of Regions, did not rule out the possibility of forming a parliamentary majority with the Yulia Tymoshenko bloc. Such a move would remove from the discussion the entire issue of a Ukrainian application to join NATO. Tymoshenko could well pull off a metaphorical coup by campaigning in the upcoming presidential elections on a sober platform of peace with Russia, which would very likely hand her the presidency with the support of the large Russian population of Ukraine as well as astute Ukrainians.

Another such scandal is brewing in Georgia itself, with the arrest of former president Zviad Gamsakhurdia's son Tsotne as a Russian spy smack in the middle of Cheney's visit to Georgia . He was charged in late 2007 with an attempted coup and links with Russian security services after opposition protests against Saakashvili. The voices of sensible Georgians, fed up with President Mikheil Saakashvili's reckless chauvinism, are clearly being cut in the bud, as he consolidates a very nasty dictatorship backed by the Americans and Israelis. Of course, all Western media coverage of Georgia slavishly supports this loose cannon, but Medvedev's description of him as "a political corpse" probably is closer to the truth.

It is hard not to sympathise with the Russians. The Black Sea, once the domain of the Soviet

navy, now is the home of three NATO members — Turkey, Bulgaria and Romania — and two applicants, Georgia and Ukraine . If the two applicants join the alliance, Russia 's Black Sea coastline would be surrounded by NATO. The volatile Caucasus would then be the playground of the US .

"Now it looks like there is a certain red line that exists in the heads of Russian leadership and they are willing to do anything to stop it from being crossed," said Nikolai Petrov, at the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. "And this red line is Ukraine and Georgia joining NATO."

Russia 's success in thwarting the Georgian attempt to wrest back Ossetia has shown its resolution. Russian warships have been sent to the coast of nearby Abkhazia. In the relatively close proximity in which the Russian and American ships operate there and elsewhere in the Black Sea , one misunderstanding could create an international incident. "We remember very well the Tonkin Gulf incident" in which untrue reports of North Vietnamese ships firing on US ships started the Vietnam War, said Markov. This was seconded by Republican California Congressman Dana Rohrabacher in a sharp criticism of US support for the Georgian attack.

Aleksandr Dugin, whose ideas about America's weakening geopolitical standing are popular with many Russian leaders, said Russia was challenging US dominance and that confrontation may be unavoidable. Russia's move into Georgia was "an irreversible decision that will mean in the future a serious, profound, irreversible confrontation with the United States. The stakes are so high that Moscow has placed all its chips on the table."

It is not surprising that the Shanghai Cooperation Organisation, which includes Russia, China and the former Soviet Asian republics Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan, are supporting Moscow for "assisting peace and cooperation in this region." Nor that Armenia and Belarus also support Russia, and the non-Yushchenko forces in the Ukraine are backing away from the flirtation with NATO. It is clear now that the US has insufficient power to cope with the occupations of Iraq and Afghanistan. Both were to have been an essential part of a US policy to militarily control Eurasian rivals, especially Russia and China.

If the Russians hold firm, and it is worth remembering their spectacular defeat of the Nazis at Stalingrad in this regard, this crisis will defuse with or without fireworks, US hawks will find their feathers clipped, and the world will adjust to a "post-America" multilateral sanity.

The tide has already turned. The latter-day Dr Strangelove was pointedly ignored on his cheerleading tour of countries supposedly threatened by Russia, except by his pal Saakashvili, and the European Union disregarded the US veepee's bluster, hammering out an agreement with Russia to replace Russian troops with EU observers in undisputed Georgian territory by 1 October.

The bottom line here is a very mundane one: the EU is Russia's neighbour and dependent on it for gas, whether her politicians like it or not. It is one thing for the US to wage wars far from its shores, as it is doing in Afghanistan and Iraq, or to play war games in other people's backyards, as it is doing in Poland and Georgia, but it is quite another thing to expect a warweary Europe to sign up and prepare to freeze in the dark.

Eric Walberg writes for Al-Ahram Weekly. You can reach him at www.geocities.com/walberg2002/

The original source of this article is Al Ahram Weekly Copyright © Eric Walberg, Al Ahram Weekly, 2008

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Eric Walberg

About the author:

Canadian Eric Walberg is known worldwide as a journalist specializing in the Middle East, Central Asia and Russia. A graduate of University of Toronto and Cambridge in economics, he has been writing on East-West relations since the 1980s. He has lived in both the Soviet Union and Russia, and then Uzbekistan, as a UN adviser, writer, translator and lecturer. Presently a writer for the foremost Cairo newspaper, Al Ahram, he is also a regular contributor to Counterpunch, Dissident Voice, Global Research, Al-Jazeerah and Turkish Weekly, and is a commentator on Voice of the Cape radio. Eric Walberg was a moderator and speaker at the Leaders for Change Summit in Istanbul in 2011.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca