

# “The U.S. Empire is the Largest Empire The World has Ever Known”: The Russia-China-Iran Alliance

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, November 19, 2021

[Scoop](#) 18 November 2021

Region: [Asia](#), [Middle East & North Africa](#),  
[Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [History](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg\\_globalresearch](#).

\*\*\*

*NATO, the U.S. Government, and all other “neoconservatives” (adherents to [Cecil Rhodes’s 1877 plan for a global U.S. empire that would be run, behind the scenes, by the UK’s aristocracy](#)) have been treating Russia, China, and Iran, as being their enemies. In consequence of this: Russia, China, and Iran, have increasingly been coordinating their international policies, so as to assist each other in withstanding (defending themselves against) the neoconservative efforts that are designed to conquer them, and to add them to [the existing U.S. empire](#).*

**The U.S. empire is the largest empire that the world has ever known**, and has [approximately 800 military bases in foreign countries, all over the planet](#). This is historically unprecedented. But it is — like all historical phenomena — only temporary. However, its many propagandists — not only in the news-media but also in [academia and NGOs](#) (and Rhodesists predominate in *all* of those categories) — allege the U.S. (or UK-U.S.) empire to be [permanent, or else to be necessary to become permanent](#). Many suppose that “the rise and fall of the great powers” won’t necessarily relate to the United States (i.e., that America will *never* fall from being the world’s dominant power); and, so, they believe that the “American Century” (which has experienced so many disastrous wars, and so many unnecessary wars) will — and even *should* — last indefinitely, into the future. That viewpoint is the permanent-warfare-for-permanent-peace lie: it asserts that a world in which America’s billionaires, [who control the U.S. Government](#) (and [the American public now have no influence over their Government whatsoever](#)), should *continue* their ‘rules-based international order’, in which these billionaires determine what ‘rules’ will be enforced, and what ‘rules’ won’t be enforced; and in which ‘rules-based international order’ international laws (coming from the United Nations) will be enforced **ONLY** if and when America’s billionaires *want* them to be enforced. The ideal, to them, is an all-encompassing global dictatorship, by U.S. (& UK) billionaires.

In other words: Russia, China, Iran, and also any nation (such as Syria, Belarus, and Venezuela) whose current government relies upon any of those three for international

support, don't want to become part of the U.S. empire. They don't want to be occupied by U.S. troops. They don't want their national security to depend upon serving the interests of America's billionaires. Basically, they want the U.N. to possess the powers that its inventor, FDR, had intended it to have, which were that it would serve as the one-and-only international democratic republic of nation-states; and, as such, would have the exclusive ultimate control over all nuclear and other strategic weapons and military forces, so that there will be no World War III. Whereas Rhodes wanted a global dictatorship by a unified U.S./UK aristocracy, their 'enemies' want a global democracy of nations ([FDR named it "the United Nations"](#)), ruling over all international relations, and being settled in U.N.-authorized courts, having jurisdiction over all international-relations issues.

In other words: they don't want an invasion such as the U.S. and its allies (vassal nations) did against Iraq in 2003 — an invasion without an okay from the U.N Security Council and from the General Assembly — to be able to be perpetrated, ever again, against ANY nation. They want aggressive wars (which U.S.-and-allied aristocracies 'justify' as being necessary to impose 'democracy' and 'humanitarian values' on *other* nations) to be treated as being the international war-crimes that they actually are.

However, under the prevailing reality — that international law is whatever the U.S. regime says it is — a U.N.-controlled international order doesn't exist, and maybe never will exist; and, so, the U.S. regime's declared (or anointed, or appointed) 'enemies' (because none of them actually *is* their enemy — none *wants* to be in conflict against the U.S.) propose instead a "multilateral order" to replace "the American [hegemony](#)" or global dictatorship by the U.S. regime. They want, instead, an international democracy, like FDR had hoped for, but they are willing to settle merely for international pluralism — and this is (and always has been) called "an international balance of powers." They recognize that this (balance of powers) had produced WW I, and WW II, but — ever since the moment when [Harry S. Truman, on 25 July 1945, finally ditched FDR's intentions for the U.N., and replaced that by the Cold War for the U.S. to conquer the whole world](#) (and then formed NATO, which FDR would have opposed doing) — they want to go back (at least temporarily) to the *pre*-WW-I balance-of-powers system, instead of to *capitulate* to the international hegemon (America's billionaires, [the controller of the U.S. empire](#)).

So: the Russia-China-Iran alliance isn't against the U.S. regime, but is merely doing whatever they can to avoid being conquered by it. They want to retain their national sovereignty, and ultimately to become nation-states within a replacement-U.N. which will be designed to fit FDR's pattern, instead of Truman's pattern (the current, powerless, talking-forum U.N.).

Take, as an example of what they fear, not only the case of the Rhodesists' 2003 invasion of Iraq, but the case of America's [coup](#) against Ukraine, which Obama [had started planning by no later than 2011](#), and which by 2013 entailed [his scheme to grab Russia's top naval base, in Crimea](#) (which had been part of Russia from 1783 to 1954 when the Soviet dictator transferred Crimea to Ukraine). [Obama installed nazis to run his Ukrainian regime](#), and he hoped ultimately for Ukraine to be accepted into NATO so that U.S. missiles could be installed there on Russia's border only a five-minute missile-flight away from Moscow. Alexander Mercouris at The Duran headlined on 4 July 2021, "[Ukraine's Black Sea NATO dilemma](#)", and he clearly explained the coordinated U.S.-and-allied aggression that was involved in the U.S.-and-allied maneuvering. U.S.-and-allied 'news'-media hid it. Also that day, Mercouris bannered "[In Joint Statement Russia-China Agree Deeper Alliance, Balancing](#)

[US And NATO](#)”, and he reported a historic agreement between those two countries, to coordinate together to create the very EurAsian superpower that Rhodesists have always dreaded. It’s [exactly the opposite of what the U.S.-and-allied regimes had been aiming for](#). But it was the response to the Rhodesists’ insatiable imperialism.

To drive both Russia and China into a corner was to drive them together. They went into the same corner, not different corners. [They were coming together, not coming apart](#). And [Iran made it a threesome](#).

So: that’s how the U.S. regime’s appointed ‘enemies’ have come to join together into a virtual counterpart to America’s NATO alliance of pro-imperialist nations. It’s a defensive alliance, against an aggressive alliance — an anti-imperialist alliance, against a pro-imperialist alliance. America’s insatiably imperialistic foreign policies have, essentially, *forced* its ‘enemies’ to form their own alliance. It’s the only way for them to survive as independent nations, given Truman’s abortion of FDR’s plan for the U.N. — the replacement, by Truman of that, by the U.N. that became created, after FDR died on 12 April 1945.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg\_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Investigative historian **Eric Zuesse** is the author of [They’re Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST’S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

The original source of this article is [Scoop](#)  
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), [Scoop](#), 2021

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

### About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of *They’re Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010*, and of *CHRIST’S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity*.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)