

Why Did Trump, Rogan Refuse to Touch COVID Vaxx in Three-Hour Interview?

By [Ben Bartee](#)

Global Research, October 29, 2024

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#)

In any other context, Joe Rogan — and I appreciate this about him — won't shut up about the COVID-19 scam.

Nary a show passes by that it doesn't come up at some point, even with guests who don't appear very interested in the topic or have nothing to do with it apart from having been a human on planet Earth in 2020.

Yet, strangely enough — or not strangely at all, perhaps — the topic never came up at any point during his three-hour sit-down with the former president.

In fact, when Big Pharma came up, Rogan very carefully danced around the topic of the so-called “vaccines” that Trump so graciously rushed to market in record time.

Not only did the vaxx elephant in the room remain ignored, but they didn't touch [the recent DoD directive explicitly allowing the U.S. military to kill American citizens under so-called emergency conditions](#), nor the transhumanist, exterminationist ideology of the psychopathic multinational elites;

Nor the CIA trafficking opium out of Afghanistan (at the time of the invasion, the Taliban had virtually eradicated the opium trade in the country;

by the time the U.S. military had taken over, a few years later, the global share of the opium trade soared to 90+%; production collapsed once again after the withdrawal) — just to name a small handful of issues they could have broached which Rogan, who is well-versed in them, had a golden opportunity to pose to one of the only men on Earth who might be willing and able to offer uncommon insight, given that he occupied the top executive position for four years.

Instead, we got a lot of fluff like “tell me what it felt like to be president,” etc.

These omissions were, in my view, pre-ordained. What I wouldn't give to have been a fly on the wall in the negotiations between Rogan and the Trump team leading up to the appearance.

So, no points to Rogan for this massively disappointing performance.

Regarding the former president and his inability or unwillingness to tackle real issues head-on, the reality is that Trump is a notorious germophobe who bought in long ago to the

biomedical industry propaganda that men in white coats and Fortune 500 pharmaceutical corporations love humanity and want us all to live our best lives.

The proper takeaway from the Rogan interview, in my view, is that he's not bringing the kind of hard-nosed, confrontational, insurgent energy we desperately need in a leader to combat the industry and the deathgrip it has on every relevant institution.

And if he's not willing do to execute the much-needed war on these institutions, his feckless, corrupt counterparts in Congress certainly aren't.

Via [Biospace](#) (emphasis added):

"The nonprofit transparency group Open Secrets tracks spending by **pharmaceutical and health product political action committees** (PACs), which **have spent \$12,009,986 on campaign contributions in 2023 and 2024**. The 111 pharmaceutical PACs have given **over \$5.2 million to Democrats, while around \$6.6 million has gone to Republicans** thus far in 2023 and 2024.

The top lobbying client in the pharma manufacturing sector is the Pharmaceutical Research & Manufacturers of America (PhRMA), which **has a lobbying budget of over \$16.9 million**. Pfizer is second with \$6 million available, followed by Merck, Novartis and Eli Lilly with \$5.2 million, \$4.6 million, and \$4.4 million in 2023 and 2024, respectively."

Whither do we turn for our champion but to ourselves?

Salvation doesn't lie with any politician, and surely not with any of the current crop in permanent Washington.

*

Click the share button below to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Birds Not Bombs: Let's Fight for a World of Peace, Not War](#)

Ben Bartee is an independent Bangkok-based American journalist with opposable thumbs. Follow his stuff via [Substack](#) where [this article](#) was originally published. Also, keep tabs via [Twitter](#). He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is a screenshot from the interview video

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Ben Bartee](#), Global Research, 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **Ben Bartee**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca