

Robert F. Kennedy Jr., Outspoken Critic of U.S. Foreign Policy, Endorses the Zionist War in Palestine

By [Renee Parsons](#)

Global Research, December 06, 2023

Region: [Middle East & North Africa, USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Law and Justice](#)

In-depth Report: [PALESTINE](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

As the world crumbles around the edges with accelerated attacks in Gaza, military skirmishes in Syria and Iraq, a broken southern border and a US financial system threatening imminent disintegration, a majority of Americans are operating under the assumption that the 2024 election will solve all its problems.

As the conflict in Gaza escalates with increased Palestinian deaths, prominent national political leaders have spoken in support of the Zionist regime as all presidential candidates also support the Zionist campaign to eliminate the indigenous Palestinian people.

Democrat Robert F. Kennedy Jr. of the distinguished political family began his quest for the Presidency as an outspoken critic of US foreign policy. In early May, I wrote perhaps the first analysis of his candidacy as he [courageously](#) articulated the collapse of US foreign policy with his intent to close eight hundred US bases around the world.

Some months later, well before the October 6th event in Gaza, Kennedy inexplicably flipped. Quite suddenly he was enunciating a policy toward Israel that contradicted almost every foreign policy objective he had previously supported including an unprecedented genocide campaign.

He has now gone full-bore in the role as a first-class champion for the diabolical Zionist regime when, in recognition of Elie Wiesel's death, Kennedy *'affirmed the commitment he stood for 'never again'* which may further lock Kennedy in to a military response in the Middle East.

An opponent of the Ukraine war once his son, who served as an international volunteer was home safe, Kennedy has failed to equate the Zionist slaughter of thousands of Palestinian

children with the children represented by the [Children's Defense Fund](#) of which he founded and participated as Chair for many years – as *“unwavering in defense against any attack on children.”*

So now we know he meant only American children – and that presumably he had not yet learned that **all God's children** are blessed and sacred.

Nor has Kennedy stepped up to offer his insights with critical commentary or focus on the foreign policy implications of the conflict as it might impact an expanded war with Iran, spreading to the Islamic world until ultimately blossoming as WW III – nor has Kennedy taken the opportunity to propose an alternative to war in the Middle East.

From a Navy family, Kennedy seems totally unfamiliar with Israel's attack on the [USS Liberty](#) in 1967, its history of terrorism as it blew up the King David Hotel or Israel's devolution into a sadistic tyrannical state with an exceptional lack of humanity. More recently, Kennedy appeared to absolve Israel of any responsibility regarding Gaza fatalities, questioning whether the number of [civilian casualties](#) is accurate.

Skirting direct comments on the Palestinians and its role in the conflict, Kennedy remains focused on Israel, as if Zionists making gardens in the desert is what matter while the real question is [where do his loyalties](#) lie? When Kennedy, in explanation for his participation in the Israel Day March [waving a Zionist flag](#) said *“my family has a long relationship with Israel.”* Exactly what does he mean?

*

It was an [interview with Rabbi Shumley](#) Boteach where RFK fully revealed his level of secular conviction yet confirmed he was grossly [uninformed](#) about basic truths of life in Israel: daily conquest, harassment and provocation of the population, IDF's reputation for brutal abuse of Palestinian children and, as an illegal occupied force, theft of Palestinian land during the 1948 war and again during the 1976 war.

There is an alarming trend in the Democratic Party to describe Israel as an apartheid state. This rhetoric is dangerous and inaccurate. [@RepDWStweets](#). It's time to set the record straight.

Thank you [@RabbiShmuley](#) for the opportunity. pic.twitter.com/hgDfOvHIBv

— Robert F. Kennedy Jr (@RobertKennedyJr) [July 28, 2023](#)

From there his comments spun common deceptions about Israel as *‘free to practice any religion you want’* as the *“only place open to criticism of the government”* as he promised to make the *“moral case of Israel”* which now, 60 days into the Gaza conflict would appear to be not only futile but entirely disingenuous.

Citing *‘freedom of expression for all citizens,’* RFK continues to resist any assertion of Israel as an apartheid state or that oppression of Palestinian as an indigenous population is a regular occurrence.

In sync with Israeli policy, Kennedy supports a no development of nuclear weapons for Iran

while Israel has an unconfirmed number of perhaps two hundred nukes. Without ever signing onto the [Nuclear Proliferation Treaty](#), Israel is one of a handful of nations with nuclear weapons that has refused to sign onto the NPT.

Did RFK really mean to defend Knesset members [like Ben Gvir](#) and [Netanyahu](#) who 'cooperated' in the [assassination](#) of former Prime Minister Yitzak Rabin for enacting the Oslo Accords or excuse the Zionist war criminals or that the Israeli judiciary is the "*most humane judiciary in the world*"? As his campaign continues to define '[apartheid](#)' as '*absurd*', there is a noticeable decline in its forward-thinking agenda and he may no longer be counted on to speak truth to power.

*

Since the Kennedy family suffered so tragically by political assassinations and that the Biden Administration has irrationally refused to provide him with secret service protection, the Israel government's public announcement that they intend [to pursue political assassinations](#) outside of Gaza should raise more attention as it resonates more personally. Are the Zionists assuming the role of revolutionary assassins at the same time they declare themselves to be a functioning democracy?

In the 1950s, the [Eisenhower administration](#) repeatedly insisted that the American Zionist Council (AZC) register as "agents of a foreign government" which they never did.

In November 1962, Attorney General Robert Kennedy's Department of Justice ordered AZC to register as a foreign agent because of [FARA](#) violations, alleging that it was being funded by the Jewish Agency for Israel. They never did register.

Again, in 1963, AIPAC was given 72 hours to register and complete the task. Coincidentally during that time, JFK was assassinated and AIPAC never, to this day, has ever registered as a FARA.

In addition, President John F. Kennedy supported [UN Resolution 194](#) that mandated the displaced Palestinians the "Right of Return" to Palestinian lands that had been taken by the Zionists in 1948.

Presumably, both JFK and RFK may have visited Palestine together. Here is an undated photo of RFK in the streets of Palestine and another in front of the King David Hotel which was blown up by Irgun extremists in July, 1946. Also an undated portion of a [letter from JFK](#) to his father, former Ambassador Joseph P. Kennedy sometime in the last 1940's describing Palestine-Zionist negotiations that was found in the Kennedy library.



Kennedy outside of the King David Hotel striking a military pose. (Source: [Jerusalem Center for Public Affairs](#))



Kennedy on King David Street in 1948. Behind him is a British military checkpoint at the intersection of what is today Agron Street. (Source: [Jerusalem Center for Public Affairs](#))

-2-

not put through. In 1939 a conference was held in London in which the two groups tried to reconcile the differences. As this was impossible the British government stated that it felt free now to present its own solution. This they did in the White Paper which was rejected by both sides. The Jews were particularly angry as they saw all hope of their Zion's state disappearing and their indignation was increased by the fact that the problem had a religious as well as an economic background. They felt that the Jews were now fated to be a minority and that now that the immigration was going to be limited they felt that the Zion's movement might collapse and that the funds which were pouring in any support of this would be stopped, bringing economic disaster, for these funds are the only method by which Palestine can come anywhere near balancing her exports and imports.

The Arab's objections to the White Paper are: (1) the objection to the indefiniteness of the period that the British government will hold control, as the formation of an independent state depends on Jewish cooperation, something they believe impossible; and (2) they say that there is no provision for an elected assembly under their own leadership which has been the first step in Iraq towards the formation of an independent state. They also want all immigration stopped; they feel that the Jewish problem is not their problem. They also fear that while there are 450,000 Jews in Palestine, only 250,000 of them have become citizens. Why, therefore, should they be entitled to be counted as members of the state?

However, while these are for purposes of publication the chief objections of the two groups, there are fundamental objections which, while they are not stated publicly, are nevertheless far more important.

On the Jewish side there is the desire for complete domination, with Jerusalem as the capital of their new land of milk and honey, with the right to colonize in Trans-Jordan. They feel that given sufficient opportunity they can cultivate the land and develop it as they have done in the Western portion. The Arab answer to this is incidentally, that the Jews have had the benefit of capital, which had the Arabs possessed, equal miracles could have been performed by them. Though this is partly true, the economic set up of Arabic agricultural progress with its absentee landlords and primitive methods of cultivation, could not under any circumstances probably have competed with the Jews. However, this very fact lies in the background of the Arabic objection

The shocking bottom line seems obvious that RFK, Jr. once a strong advocate for peace and children, has lost his fervor with no emotional energy to care about Palestinian suffering or the fate of 16,000 civilian fatalities, 70% of them women and children.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter

and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Renee Parsons served on the ACLU's Florida State Board of Directors and as president of the ACLU Treasure Coast Chapter. She has been an elected public official in Colorado, staff in the Office of the Colorado State Public Defender, an environmental lobbyist for Friends of the Earth and a staff member of the US House of Representatives in Washington DC.

She is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image [source](#)

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Renee Parsons](#), Global Research, 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Renee Parsons](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca