

Report: War Looms: Hundreds of American Troops and CIA Operatives Have Entered Syria

By [Global Research News](#)

Global Research, August 24, 2013

SHTFplan.com

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

Global Research is publishing this article, while emphasizing that there are several elements of this report which require corroboration. (GReDitor)

by Mac Slavo

While the media panicked over the halting of NASDAQ stock trading due to a reported bug in the system (more on that shortly), one critical development went under-reported. In fact, it wasn't reported at all.

If the following from France's second largest newspaper [Le Figaro](#) is accurate, then we must assume that war is now a foregone conclusion.

According to our information, the regime's opponents, supervised by Jordanian, Israeli and American commandos moving towards Damascus since mid-August. This attack could explain the possible use of the Syrian president to chemical weapons.

According to information obtained by Le Figaro , **the first trained in guerrilla warfare by the Americans in Jordan Syrian troops reportedly entered into action since mid-August in southern Syria, in the region of Deraa. A first group of 300 men, probably supported by Israeli and Jordanian commandos, as well as men of the CIA, had crossed the border on August 17.** A second would have joined the 19. According to military sources, the Americans, who do not want to put troops on the Syrian soil or arming rebels in part controlled by radical Islamists form quietly for several months in a training camp set up at the border Jordanian- Syrian fighters ASL, the Free Syrian Army, handpicked.

Translation via [Zero Hedge](#)

What's equally as intriguing as boots on the ground inside of the Syrian border is the fact that these troops and intelligence officers reportedly made their way into the country on August 17th, fully four days before reports and images surfaced showing hundreds of men, women and children killed by a chemical weapons attack allegedly at the hands of Syrian President Bashar al-Assad.

The purported attack, which [some experts argue was a set-up](#), left even the "pacifist government of France" [calling for military intervention](#). Furthermore, should UN investigators, who conveniently happen to be in-country investigating possible chemical

weapons stockpiles, confirm that the attack did take place, then we can expect armed military confrontation in the middle east once again.

Of interest is also the fact the NASDAQ stock market exchange was halted today due to what NASDAQ officials call a technical glitch. Within hours of the 'glitch' cyber security experts suggested that this was no accident, but rather, a [hack attack](#) originating from the "hacking collective" known as the Cyber Fighters of Izz ad-Din al-Qassam, an Iranian backed organization.

Earlier this week President Obama met with the heads of the Federal Reserve, the SEC, the FDIC and several other agencies responsible for monitoring and implementing U.S. financial, economic and monetary policy, indicating that there could be a [serious crisis unfolding behind the scenes](#) of our financial system. This comes on the heels of the Federal Reserve claiming that they would begin to "taper" the stimulus, a move that would likely send massive shock waves through domestic and global stock markets.

This is all speculation, of course, but it's hard to ignore the fact that the US financial system is potentially on the brink of a massive re-collapse, while the Obama administration is actively engaging Syria in what we can only conclude will lead to a broader conflict in the middle east.

Throughout history governments have deflected blame for economic disasters by turning their efforts to a far off enemy and going to war. It's certainly [no accident](#) and it's a forecast that [contrarian observers have made](#) repeatedly as the global economic crisis deepened.

Is this what we're seeing play out right now?

If so, then the implications are far reaching, because anyone who thinks this is going to be another Iraq are kidding themselves. This time, the Russians and the Chinese have a stake in the game, and they too have been positioning pieces on the Grand Chessboard. Not only have the Russians already advised western nations that the Syrians will be [armed with weapons never before seen](#) in the middle east, but they have warned that any military confrontation in the region could potentially [go nuclear](#).

The question then becomes: who were the Russians talking about?

Syria doesn't have nuclear weapons. Neither does Iran (at least not for a few more months if Israeli intelligence reports are to be believed).

But the United States, Israel, Russia and China do have nukes.

Thus, one can only conclude that the Russians were talking about a nuclear engagement between them... and us.

It's a realistic possibility also put forth by survival specialist Joel Skousen in his documentary [Strategic Relocation](#) and a warning reiterated by a DHS Insider who claimed in June of 2013 that a World War was [about to break out and would lead to the deaths of millions](#).

This is getting real folks. All signs point to a serious confrontation in our near future.

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Global Research News](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca