

Remember Obama's 2008 Climate Promises?

We recall an American presidential hopeful apparently willing to take on Koch and big oil.

By Brendan Montague

Global Research, November 07, 2018

Theme: History, Law and Justice, Oil and

Energy

Region: USA

The Ecologist

In-depth Report: Climate Change

Barack Obama's decision to give Shell permission to drill for potential oil reserves in the Arctic undermined his legacy as the American president who took climate change seriously.

The public outcry as he comes to the end of his second and final term in the White House was hoarse with disappointment because of the audacious promises he made when first running for office: that he would challenge coal, oil and gas monopolies and deliver international climate deals.

Obama did warn during his first presidential address that it would be an uphill struggle and, over the coming weeks, the American electorate will have to assess how far they have really come. Had ExxonMobil and the Koch oil billionaires successfully tamed the man who was supposed to be the most powerful in the world?

Oil Money

The future president was a virtual unknown when he announced his election campaign in February 2007 in Springfield, Illinois. The Hawaii-born Harvard Law School graduate had been a community organiser in Chicago and a civil rights attorney.

The Senator was also among the few American legislators who had not (yet) received millions in funding from coal, oil and gas companies trying to spread their bets by funding both Democrat and Republican politicians who might get in the way of their drilling and refining.

"I don't take money from oil companies," Obama boasted at the beginning of 2008.

This was technically true, but oil money did bleed into his campaign.

According to FactCheck.org he received \$213,000 from oil and gas workers and their spouses. This included \$66,000 from employees of the most well-known oil majors, including ExxonMobil, Shell, and BP.

In fact, the company formally known as British Petroleum and its employees had donated \$77,051 to Obama during his time at the Senate and as a presidential hopeful. The total given to federal candidates exceeded \$3.5 million in the two decades before his election.

By the end of the race, \$916,162 was piped to Obama by the oil and gas industry, according to the *OpenSecrets* website. His Republican rival, John McCain, was awash with oil money – raising \$2,666,842 from the industry.

Epochal Threat

During the campaign, Obama raised \$745 million and spent \$730 million, so the oil money was a drop in the ocean and seemingly not enough to buy his silence.

Writing in *Foreign Affairs*, the future president described climate change as the "epochal, manmade threat to the planet." Later he alerted Americans to the potential of "a world where the oceans rise and famine spreads and <u>terrible storms devastate our lands</u>".

In October 2007, he supported a climate change bill and was calling for a graduated cap on carbon dioxide emissions and an auction to sell 'pollution credits'.

"No business will be allowed to <u>emit any greenhouse gas for free</u>," he asserted boldly.

A campaign advert, run in March 2008 in Pennsylvania and Indiana, signalled war against the monopoly of the American oil companies. Obama warned about over-reliance on foreign imports and championed energy independence, <u>praising alternative fuels</u>.

He said:

"Since the gas lines of the 70s, Democrats and Republicans have talked about energy independence, but nothing's changed – except now Exxon's making \$40 billion a year, and we're paying \$3.50 for gas.

"I'm Barack Obama. I don't take money from oil companies or Washington lobbyists, and I won't let them block change anymore. They'll pay a penalty on windfall profits. We'll invest in alternative energy, create jobs and free ourselves from foreign oil."

ExxonMobil Candidate

Steve Coll, in his brilliant biography of an oil behemoth, *Private Empire: ExxonMobil and American Power*, provides a dramatic account of how Obama attacked oil while out on the stump, challenging the most powerful vested interests in the world. Coll notes that Obama "spoke most pointedly about ExxonMobil..."

Obama said at an early primary debate:

"It's not going to be easy to have a sensible energy policy in this country. ExxonMobil made \$11billion last quarter. They're not going to give up those profits easily."

And he told a rally in North Carolina:

"Think about that: at a time when we're fighting two wars, when millions of Americans can't afford their medical bills or their tuition bills, when we're paying more than four dollars for a gallon of gas, the man who rails against government spending wants to spend \$1.2billion on a tax break for ExxonMobil. That isn't just irresponsible. It's outrageous!"

As voters went to the polls his attack on the energy industry began to crescendo. In August 2008, he announced at the Austintown-Fitch High School:

"For the sake of our economy, our security, and the future of our planet, we must end the age of oil in our time."

He attacked **George W. Bush** for his reliance on oil, and the fact that gas prices for drivers had exploded.

"They had a plan," he said. "Problem was it was the oil company plan. It was the gas company plan. We need a people plan! And that's why I'm running for president.

Yes we can

"The oil companies have placed their bet on Senator McCain, and if he wins, they will continue to cash in while our families and our economy suffer and our future is put in jeopardy."

And he was not joking. At the same time, he was promising that the US would produce enough clean energy to end Middle East oil imports within a decade.

The oil companies certainly took the threat very seriously. One senior ExxonMobil executive told Coll: "We were like a candidate. Both parties were mentioning us by name... we were a candidate and we clearly knew that we were not electable."

Now a decade has passed, with emissions still rising, will Obama supporters be able look back on the hope and the mania and say, "Yes, We Did"?

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Brendan Montague is editor of The Ecologist, founder of Request Initiative and co-author of Impact of Market Forces on Addictive Substances and Behaviours: <u>The web of influence</u> of addictive industries (Oxford University Press). He tweets at @EcoMontague. This article first appeared at <u>Desmog.uk</u>.

Featured image is from The Ecologist.

The original source of this article is The Ecologist

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Brendan**

Montague

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca