

# Rejecting Claim of Presidential Immunity, Judge Allows Lawsuit Against Trump Foundation to Proceed

By [Jake Johnson](#)

Global Research, November 25, 2018

[Common Dreams](#) 24 November 2018

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

*Rejecting the immunity defense put forth by **President Donald Trump**'s lawyers, a New York state judge on Friday ruled that a lawsuit accusing Trump and members of his family of using their "charitable" foundation as nothing more than a personal "[piggy bank](#)" can proceed.*

[Filed in June](#) by New York **Attorney General Barbara Underwood**, the suit alleges that Trump engaged in "persistently illegal conduct" by using the Trump Foundation as a vehicle to advance his political and business aims. The lawsuit seeks to dissolve the Trump Foundation entirely.

"The Trump Foundation functioned as little more than a checkbook to serve Mr. Trump's business and political interests. There are rules that govern private foundations, and we intend to enforce them—no matter who runs the foundation," Underwood wrote in a tweet on Friday.

[#BREAKING](#): The New York State Supreme Court just denied the motion to dismiss our lawsuit against the Trump Foundation and its directors: [@realDonaldTrump](#), Donald J. Trump Jr., Ivanka Trump, and Eric Trump. <https://t.co/rYj2I76d22> [pic.twitter.com/8wjvy17Gsx](https://pic.twitter.com/8wjvy17Gsx)

— NY AG Underwood (@NewYorkStateAG) [November 23, 2018](#)

The Trump Foundation functioned as little more than a checkbook to serve Mr. Trump's business and political interests. There are rules that govern private foundations, and we intend to enforce them—no matter who runs the foundation.

— NY AG Underwood (@NewYorkStateAG) [November 23, 2018](#)

New York's lawsuit is the product of a two-year investigation into the Trump Foundation, which allegedly uncovered widespread and "knowing" violations of campaign finance laws and other regulations.

Though Trump's lawyers argued that a "[sitting president may not be sued](#)," but New York

state Supreme Court Justice Saliann Scarpulla ruled on Friday that the president's legal team "failed to cite a single case" supporting its defense.

\*

*This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0 License*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is [Common Dreams](#)

Copyright © [Jake Johnson](#), [Common Dreams](#), 2018

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: **[Jake Johnson](#)**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)