

Refugees as Weapon - and Germany shifting Alliances?

By [Peter Koenig](#)

Global Research, September 17, 2015

Region: [Europe, Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights, US NATO War Agenda](#)

Turkey has eagerly opened her gates to two million refugees to house them in refugee camps which were funded with up to 6 billion US dollars - not for reasons of altruism, but to use them jointly with the US, NATO and the EU as a geopolitical weapon. - The German konjunktion.info network.

This is a plausible scenario. Turkey practiced since many years a suspicious 'open door' policy towards refugees, amassing hundreds of thousands in fully financed and well organized refugee camps. During a recent visit to Bodrum, Turkey (Turkish transit city to Kos, Greece), I was told, to better control the flow of refugees, i.e. the exit from Turkey, Erdogan's Government has eliminated most refugee camps along the Turkish border, shifting them to huge camps near Istanbul.

These camps are part of a long-term strategy to justify the creation of 'safe heavens' in northern Syria which eventually would serve the invasion of NATO and their terrorist proxies - ISIS-Daesh. Once in the country they would be able to move towards Damascus to carry out the long-planned 'regime change' - toppling the Government of democratically elected Bashar Al-Assad. On 3 June 2014 he was elected with almost 90% of the votes which was considered as "free, fair and transparent" by international observers, although Washington and its EU vassals dismissed them as illegitimate. Bashar Al-Assad's popularity is still today above 75%.



Source: ActivistPost.com

This diabolical plan was developed by the neocon Brookings Institute already in 2012. Memo # 21 of the Brookings Middle Eastern Saban Center bears the title *Assessing Options for Regime Change*. A more recent report entitled *Deconstructing Syria: Towards a regionalized strategy for a confederal country* – describes how “moderate elements establish reliable safe zones within Syria once they were able. American, as well as Saudi and Turkish and British and Jordanian and other Arab forces would act in support, not only from the air but eventually on the ground via the presence of special forces [meaning ISIS-Daesh – author’s observation] as well. The approach would benefit from Syria’s open desert terrain which could allow creation of buffer zones that could be monitored for possible signs of enemy attack through a combination of technologies, patrols, and other methods that outside special forces could help Syrian local fighters set up.”

As a parallel or backup plan, the unholy alliance between Washington, NATO and Turkey agreed to open Turkey’s flood gates for refugees, actually promoting the exodus to gain free reign in the safe heavens and to build up a mass of refugees – most of whom want to flee to Germany – which in the right moment could be let go and cause the right pressure or destabilization effects in Europe. Well, this is just happening.

Within the space of a week or so, Germany has taken some drastic and controversial actions. The first of them looks like a 180 degree U-turn vis-à-vis its refugee policy, specially towards Syrian refugees, by opening its borders to 800,000 or more refugees, mostly Syrians, until the end of 2015. This sudden welcome to refugees surprised many Germans who were demonstrating for a more humane refugee policy.

Almost simultaneously Germany re-introduced border controls – stepping over one of the key Schengen agreements of ‘open borders’, fearing infiltration of disproportionate numbers of non-Syrians and of ISIS or other jihadist cells or groupings. Such destabilizing US trained

and funded groups are known to be already lodged in Europe, if necessary to be ready to manipulate elections or organize mass demonstrations, à la Arab Spring and more recently Ukraine. One of Washington's most notorious organizations to sponsor such destabilizing groupings is the National Endowment for Democracy (NED) which receives hundreds of millions of dollars from the State Department. NED is responsible for destabilizing or attempting to destabilize, dozens of countries around the globe, including Venezuela, Ecuador, Brazil, Argentina, Sudan, Syria, Lebanon, Iran - and many more.

We easily forget or often can't believe the limitless evilness of the Washington neoliberal empire which functions with absolute impunity of lawlessness and without scruples to reach world hegemony. Even that will not be enough, since it feeds on constant wars and conflicts - a must to sustain its economy that depends on the war industry. We forget or can't believe it, because the mainstream media which it also controls - 'it' being the Zionist-Anglo-Saxon financial and media tail that moves the US war dog - brainwash us daily with lies and distorted news, impressing us of the empire's goodness, denigrating all those who are seeking a peaceful and harmonic living-together of sovereign nations.

For example, today, 16 September, the NY Times reports *"The recent deployment of Russian weapons and equipment to Syria has brought to a head a conflict that has dominated the Obama administration since Mr. Putin's return to the presidency, the choice between engaging with Russia [meeting with Putin] and trying to isolate it."* - Do you see one word on how the Obama Administration together with its Middle-Eastern proxies, Saudi Arabia, Qatar and Turkey, to just name the most important ones, have financed and armed ISIS-Daesh, destroying homes, towns, cultures and livelihoods, as well as killing thousands of Syrians, Iraqis, Afghans, Libyans, Yemenis - causing the survivors to flee their countries? - Nope. Zilch.

The article goes on claiming that many [of the US-Zionist elite - author's remark] *"worry that agreeing to meet would only play into Mr. Putin's hands and reward an international bully."* - When the only world bully spreading endless terror throughout the globe is Obama and his minions in the Americas (only a few), Europe (all of it) and Asia (a few but growing).

That's not all. The NYT offers more wisdom. *"The move by Russia to bolster the government of President Bashar al-Assad, who has resisted Mr. Obama's demand to step down for years, underscored the conflicting approaches to fighting the Islamic State terrorist organization."* - Who in heavens is Obama to request a democratically elected leader of a sovereign country to step down? - Does it ever occur to the readers of the NYT and the like to question such statements of absolute illegality? - Does it ever occur to western audiences that this type of hegemonic arrogance could be turned on its head? - Wouldn't Syria's Bashar Al-Assad, whose country has suffered Washington directed bloody atrocities for years, have the same right to ask for the western hegemonic royal, Obama, to step down? - How would such an equal presumption come across?

On 15 September, the Collective Security Treaty Organization's (CSTO) Security Council held a summit in Dushanbe, Tajikistan, with the main focus of seeking an effective response to the biggest current military and political challenges, including an upsurge in activity by terrorist and extremist groups and destabilization of the situation on the CSTO countries' borders. CSTO members include Russia, Armenia, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan.

In his speech before the CSTO Council Mr. Putin said -

“The state of affairs there [in the region including Afghanistan, Iraq and Syria] is very serious. The so-called Islamic State controls significant stretches of territory in Iraq and Syria. Terrorists are already publicly stating that they have targets set on Mecca, Medina and Jerusalem. Their plans include expanding activities to Europe, Russia, Central and Southeast Asia.

We are concerned by this; especially since militants undergoing ideological indoctrinations and military training by ISIS come from many nations around the world - including, unfortunately, European nations, the Russian Federation, and many former Soviet republics. And, of course, we are concerned by their possible return to our territories.

Basic common sense and a sense of responsibility for global and regional security require the international community to join forces against this threat. We need to set aside geopolitical ambitions, leave behind so-called double standards and the policy of direct or indirect use of individual terrorist groups to achieve one's own opportunistic goals, including changes in undesirable governments and regimes.

As you know, Russia has proposed rapidly forming a broad coalition to counteract the extremists. It must unite everyone who is prepared to make, or is already making, an input into fighting terrorism, just as Iraq and Syria's armed forces are doing today. We support the Syrian government - I want to say this - in countering terrorist aggression. We provide and will continue to provide the necessary military technology assistance and urge other nations to join in.”

Coming back to Germany's bold and controversial actions: As reported by Deutsche Wirtschafts Nachrichten (DWN) on 12 September 2015,

“In a surprise move Germany left the anti-Putin-alliance formed by the USA: Germany is now officially welcoming Moscow's readiness to act in Syria and is starting an initiative together with the Russians and the French to bring an end to the war. This is to stop the constant stream of refugees. Germany has ordered thousands of soldiers into readiness.”

<http://www.sott.net/article/301791-Germany-moves-away-from-the-US-anti-Putin-alliance-and-joins-forces-with-Russia-in-coalition-to-defeat-ISIS>

Heeding Vladimir Putin's call for joint action against the Islamic State and its affiliate terror organizations, and facing a new Washington-NATO-Turkey created weapon of destabilization - the “refugee missile” - it may not be coincidence that Germany once again over-steps her Constitution, prepared to launching war from its territory, to fight alongside Russia - NOT alongside Washington - the western created ISIL-Daesh terrorism. Germany may have finally understood the deadly two-faced hypocrisy of the White House: funding and supporting the monster with one hand - and fighting it with the other; using the ‘safe heavens’ for cursory attacks on ISIS but in reality to advance towards Damascus. Such two-sided strategies are of course not new. The self-proclaimed master of the universe has been doing this for at least 100 years, including in both world wars.

If French President Hollande, who has already declared he would send his war jets to fight the Islamic State terror is joining Germany on the side of Russia - and if other Europeans

might follow – it could mean an instrumental shift in geopolitics [which is further illustrated by the Saudis rapprochement to Russia](#), as well as by the so-called ‘nuclear deal’ with Iran. This landmark decision by the P5+1 states (China, France, Russia, the United Kingdom, and the United States; plus Germany) is widely considered by the world at large as a new Middle East opening, no matter Netanyahu’s protests and the US Congress’ possible objections. The deal is already having its impact. Despite US ‘sanctions’ still in place, new diplomatic and business prospectors are flocking to Iran from all over the world.

Germany is still host to the largest US air force base in Europe, *Ramstein*, from where most of the United States global drone programs are coordinated and executed. Tens of thousands of people are being killed from a US base in Germany – whose Constitution forbids war-type aggressions from its territory. A huge anti-Ramstein demonstration is being planned for the end September. Germans awareness is raised to the point where the neocon Zionist Washington hegemon’s killing machine is no longer tolerated.

Germany alongside Russia might indeed defend and help preserve the Assad government, together with Iran the two stabilizing factors in the Middle East. It might also signal for Europe a gradual move away from hostility and economic isolation against Russia. The shift may be marked by Europe’s distancing itself from the US-western dominated sinking ship where the fiat money system of dollars and euros is doomed. Instead it might open the doors for new relations with the East – towards the new Silk Road offered in March 2014 by Chinese President Xi Jinping to Madame Merkel. Once the threshold of this new open door has been crossed, a myriad of new economic and monetary opportunity might break the dollar-euro strangleholds.

Peter Koenig is an economist and geopolitical analyst. He is also a former World Bank staff and worked extensively around the world in the fields of environment and water resources. He writes regularly for *Global Research*, *ICH*, *RT*, *Sputnik News*, *TeleSur*, *The Vineyard of The Saker Blog*, and other internet sites. He is the author of [Implosion – An Economic Thriller about War, Environmental Destruction and Corporate Greed](#) – fiction based on facts and on 30 years of World Bank experience around the globe. He is also a co-author of [The World Order and Revolution! – Essays from the Resistance](#)

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Peter Koenig](#), Global Research, 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Peter Koenig](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca