

The Reality Check: Deprogramming Failed Narratives. “The Quasi-religious Followers of Secular Scientism”

By [Pietrocelli Ciccione-Jimenez](#)

Theme: [History](#)

Global Research, March 30, 2022

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch_crg](#) and Twitter at [@crglobalization](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

***It’s thirty seconds to midnight on the Doomsday clock.** Whenever this scenario was envisioned, the assumption was that everybody would be on roughly the same page, ready to unite and act as one people for the sake of humanity. However, something is terribly wrong in the USA. We can’t seem to even agree on the level of danger that we’re obviously in, let alone how to fix it. Why does one third of the country seem to be living on another planet, impervious to the evidence right before our eyes?*

During the depths of the Bush Jr. regime there was a similar problem. As horrific war crimes were committed in Iraq, one third of the populace always seemed to ardently support him, no matter how foolish, corrupt, and sinister his administration.

But today our position is all the more precarious. The country has deteriorated in so many ways since then, and the crisis we’re facing has become dire in the extreme. This time around, it isn’t religious fundamentalists that are stubbornly compliant and conformist to the diktats of a government wildly out of control, working hand and glove with giant corporations and special interests.

However, the recalcitrants are said to be **quasi-religious followers of secular scientism**. **“Follow the science,” is their mantra, but they don’t seem able to understand the basic science that’s been coming out for the past two years across the independent media.**

When **Dr. Robert Malone** and **Dr. Peter McCullough** each went onto the Joe Rogan podcast to breakdown the necessity of early treatment, the effectiveness of ivermectin, the inherently low mortality of Covid, and the fallacious nature of the PCR test, their explanations were lucid and compelling.

Immediately, Joe Rogan was vilified, and the vaccine rollout continued. When investment analyst Edward Dowd boiled down the voluminous data proving that year-over-year all cause mortality couldn't be caused by anything other than the mandated shots, Pfizer and Moderna's stock did not crash overnight- rather, they've just been approved to deliver another booster without FDA review! This, despite the fact that Pfizer's own internal documents, which they were compelled to release by court order, show conclusively that the shot is twelve times as deadly as Covid itself, with nine pages of listed side effects by their own reckoning. While people quibble over masks and Fauci threatens the return of lockdowns, a democide is still unfolding in every country that permits or mandates the shots.

The founders of the UN, the architects of Agenda 2030, everyone at the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, GAVI, and the Wellcome Trust have all been preaching the depopulation agenda for the entirety of their existence.

What can explain the so-called cognitive dissonance, or more accurately, this mass formation psychosis of the depop denialists? All of the disastrous calamities leading up to the 2030 deadline were detailed in a Pentagon planning document leaked in 2004,^[1] around the same time the DTRA started building BS3 & BS4 biolabs around the world and organizing regular pandemic wargame exercises. One thing is clear: to get past this impasse, we need to reach a higher level of national consensus, because like it or not we are in this together. Since the platitudinous distinction between 'left' and 'right' has been nearly drained of all meaning in the USA, it would behoove us to look at things from a fresh angle, as we need to get beyond the surface diatribes to achieve this greater consensus. To that end, I will employ the more accurate terms of party affiliation, Democrat and Republican. Likewise, 'liberal' hereon refers to the term from political science, not debased political theater.

To get well below the surface, let us reframe the socio-political landscape with a little bit of help from that scion of the 1970's 'New Left,' **Michel Foucault**. His relentlessly innovative view on the world reached a peak of insight during the 1970's, when the Left-Right distinction was far closer to historical and global notions of the terms. For clarity's sake let us simply call the analysis post-structuralist, and see if we can untangle some of the mess that we're in. The goal is to get beneath the terms of debate that we take for granted, to try to dislodge ourselves from this endless morasse of political inaction built into the structures of our interactions; built into the tropes of our lifeworld.

Why can barely half of the country seem to understand that we are under the boot of a tyrannical regime, and that time is of the essence?

In Foucault's analysis, tyranny and despotism were part of the Ancien Régime, ruled by the sovereign under the guiding rationale of *l'état, c'est moi*- "I am the State." Sound familiar? The reign of the sovereign harks back to the days of maniacal kings and queens in pre-revolutionary France. In a very rough summary of his schematic, we could say that the sovereign of the ancient regime gave way to the mercantilist state, guided by *Raison d'État*, an interventionist state fighting trade wars within the Westphalian system. From there the West proceeded to liberal governments in the classical sense, such as what we would recognize as revolutionary and Colonial America. Since then we have evolved into neoliberalism,^[2] an outgrowth of The Chicago School which became popularly known when Pinochet forced austerity on Chile after seizing power in 1973,^[3] and when Rockefeller forced austerity on a bankrupt New York City in 1975. Neoliberalism's fame came to dominate our

national political class with the Reagan and Thatcher Revolution.^[4] From that milieu came the totalizing financialization of our economy and rampant globalization, which has brought us to the brink of total global economic collapse following the endless Wall Street bailouts since 2008.

People in the humanities studying liberal arts are always looking for the next new thing, trying for progress in the world of ideas. It hasn't been an easy task since the theory of post-modernity, which is one reason identity politics and Trump derangement syndrome have become convenient islands for intellectual nomads to flock to. **However, what we're living through right now is a reality check; the Reality Check.** And what that means is that we are at the inflection point of a major, catastrophic regress of world-historical proportions. What Catherine Austin-Fitts called the neoliberal, global tapeworm of globalization has morphed into an all-encompassing black hole, best represented by BlackRock, Vanguard, et al.

We are on the cusp of returning to a feudal condition whereby the sovereign not only threatens death against our person, but sustains a system where the peasant's lifespan is nasty, brutish, and short. The hallmark of the Ancien Régime was that the king's subjects were often brutally tortured; every criminal act taken as a personal affront. In the age of classical liberalism, brutality transformed into discipline, a plethora of practices to discipline the body and corral the mind without wasting resources. Foucault's careful archeology of knowledge unearthed these loci of power: the clinic, the hospital, the asylum, the reform school, and the military barracks, to name a few. Not coincidentally, these are the very same loci of power where lockdowns and mandates are enforcing a discipline that is in fact a cover for the ruthless depopulation agenda: the worst of both worlds.

Foucault's turn to analyzing neoliberalism as the next morphology of power entailed a new critical ensemble that was post-Marxist. In his lens, this meant that every man had become an Enterprise unto himself, rather than a mere purveyor of labor power. As so-called entrepreneurs, we were meant to invest in ourselves as a unit of human Capital, ever eager to be more productive in our self-exploitation. This is what drove the new modalities of power from the mid 20th century onward. Because of each worker's passion for producing income upon the merger of self and Capital, Marx's foretold proletarian revolution never materialized in most countries. Rather than proletarian class-consciousness, the modern Western subject identifies as homo oeconomicus, i.e., economic man. The fundamental schism between the forces of production and the relations of production became a dynamic frisson continually re-invested and re-distributed as we pioneered the digital age. The crisis we now face puts us on the borderline between the digital age and unbridled transhumanism.^[5] The violence of power has evolved once again, but this time its central core is devolving backward in an unnatural spasm that rhymes with the Ancien Régime. Klaus Schwab, head of the World Economic Forum, proclaims, "You will own nothing and be happy." Could you ask for a more in-your-face Bond villain caricature with pretensions of absolute monarchy??

Relatedly, Homo sacer is Giorgio Agamben's concept of man as a creature who has no higher ambition than clinging to bare life, never rising above the bottom layer of Maslow's pyramid.^[6] Within the discourse of health in today's biosecurity state, homo sacer must take risks. That is because homo oeconomicus has been forced to merge with homo sacer due to the desperate times he finds himself in. This is my primary argument for why Democrats

refuse to stand up to the ongoing democide and impending economic collapse and/or major war. Just as investing Capital entails risk, now the enterprising entrepreneur must take on an increased level of market risk and volatility as a unit of human Capital. In the neoliberal paradigm, the pandemic amounts to a temporary downturn in the capital markets of homo sacer's longevity. We have all been programmed to ride out several bubbles and recessions since Black Friday, 1989.

It is the continuity bias of a permanent growth dogma that electrifies the neoliberal paradigm regardless of the postures one takes in civil society. Denial of our economic roots and shared fortunes obscures our impending devolution into neo-feudalism for some people. They cling to the idea that the risks of vaccine induced injury and world war are a safer bet than risk admitting that their idea of progress may have been completely erroneous for the past three decades, ever since the Democratic Leadership Council hijacked the party. These die-hard Clintonistas would rather drag us all down to hell than change course. The fundamental contradiction of the present moment is no longer between the forces of production and the relations of production. Rather, it is between the eternal narcissism of virtue signaling while ensconced in the status quo, versus the historical tragedy that we have signed a social contract that stipulates national suicide.

This essay is situated in a counter-narrative from the margins that exposes the return of the sovereign despot in a neo-feudal counter-revolution. In a blatant display of coercion, this counter-narrative is relentlessly censored and suppressed by the establishment. At the height of irony, RFK Jr.'s book, 'The Real Anthony Fauci,'^[17] can reach top rank on [amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com), and yet the reality of the crimes exposed is ruthlessly censored and suppressed in the corporate media and the Big Tech platforms online. The book has been relegated to an economic-cultural ghetto, barred from entering into the legal sphere. For the public, the officially sanctioned discourse has long been health, productivity, and connectivity.

Foucault's successor, Byung-Chul Han, has added the media and the Internet to our post-modern loci of power, places where the structure of discourse shapes our assumptions of reality.^[8] It is because economic man's drive to be productive entered into the digital realm that it became decoupled from physical reality and the limitations of the industrial age. He must now ascend an infinite series of yield curves through constant upgrades. For the plutocrats, the revealed text of the initiate has been a story of hyper-centralization and pushing the limits of technology into the techno-fascist realm. We face the prospect of a transhumanist future where the Panopticon has gone subcutaneous and the productive pleasure principle extends to the seamless merger of incepted dreams and Metaverse Matrix. Our total enslavement is the condition of their deification.^[9]

What is to be done? Since the establishment of inalienable rights was what tamed the sovereign last time, it is at this point a juridical intervention that's once again vital to reasserting our rights over and against the despotic, brutal figure of the rapacious tyrant. It is a tyrannical regime that hides in the Swiss chalets of Davos, in the offices of Edelman, in the crypto-Masonic temple on Epstein Island. We need a grass-roots renaissance of the rights and rule of law that came to curb the sovereign in the first place over the course of the 18th century. We are here again for the first time. Spiraling over the same vista on the currents of history, we need the rule of law to proscribe all egregious powers appropriated by the plutocrats and their police state. RFK Jr.'s Children's Health Defense offers one

exemplary list of demands,^[10] just in the field of medicine alone.

This intervention, a reassertion of our basic rights marks a return to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. Life must return front and center, reversing the tide of lethality as something that can be settled with a fine in civil court. To liberalism, an acceptable level of criminality is built into the economy.^[11]

In neoliberalism, and more so in neo-feudalism, corporate criminality translates directly into the peoples' mortality, with little consequence but a payoff to the magistrate. We must reassert our rights, and we must also place corporate persons under the purview of criminal law. Under equality of the law, they too must be subject to justice by the same logic. Re-interpreting classical liberalism, the punishment for causing the deaths of a thousand people should be a multiple times harsher than killing one. By every example we have seen since the hijacking of the fourteenth amendment, granting corporate persons indemnity has produced an unstable monopolist economy antithetical to human life, freedom, and principle. Every possible sign of psychopathy must be taken seriously in adjudicating justice upon monopoly capitalism and dictatorial government. The fact that Fauci, Gates, their corporate officers, minions, and cutouts walk free is both astounding and criminally insane. Their criminal behavior has been absolutely pathological.

In a concordant development to the resurrection of the king, we are likewise seeing the resurrection of epistemology. Part of the Reality Check means discerning truth from fiction has come back to center stage. This greatly disturbs the corrupt elite and feverish progressives, because they assumed post-modernism had dispensed with Truth once and for all.

To the layman, this was due to the structure of the metanarrative rather than having a grasp of the globalists' ambitions. The proof of Russia-gate, of Hunter Biden's laptop, the Azov Nazis in Ukraine, the exposure of BLM leaders' embezzlement, alongside the nomination of pedo apologist Katanji Jackson-Brown, serve to rapidly deconstruct the vaunted moral high-ground of the superficial progressive narrative espoused by Soros, the Democrats, and their apparachiks. As the emotional stakes of the dominant narrative were continually amped up during Obama's reign, a labyrinthine maze of ideology, propaganda, and Big Tech stagecraft was conscripted to hide the ugly truth. Consequently, the depop deniers fear to enter the labyrinth of Truth. They fear an encounter with the Minotaur at the dark center. Others of us have encountered that Minotaur simply by paying attention: he is Klaus Schwab, the anti-Christ. The appellation fits because he is the resurrected king of the Ancien Régime posing as a false messiah of the NWO, himself the son of a Nazi.^[12] The anti-Christ trifecta includes the Bill Gates beast system, Schwab the anti-Christ, and possibly Elon Musk, the most likely candidate for false prophet.

Biblical metaphors aside, what is incumbent upon the American voter is to drop the dead-end identity politics from critical race theory. Instead, we must pivot from actual critical theory back into basic epistemology and acknowledge the Reality Check. It is no coincidence that academia and the Mockingbird media are penetrated by the same Deep State that was behind the Russia-gate hoax, Event 201, the arming of Ukraine, and Hunter Biden's laptop cover-up,^[13] the same Deep State that has a public-private partnership with Big Tech under PRISM.^[14] The interplay of each party accusing the other of either fascism or communism is collapsing into de facto totalitarianism, as evidenced by the so-called 'Left' support for

Ukrainian Nazis committing war crimes. Add to that their ardent and continued support for restrictions and mandates in spite of the copious volumes of evidence showing their inefficacy and deadly effects in excess of the virus itself.^[15] For many of those denied effective early treatment, our hospitals were akin to gas chambers, incentivised to murder by the CMS.^[16]

Proving Foucault's analysis, the merger of homo oeconomicus with homo sacer has produced a subject that is so dedicated to reflexive discipline and self-improvement so as to have become utterly alienated from the saner political discourse available in the counter-narrative. They fail to notice that the only people dropping dead in bed or at athletic events are the ones with vaccine induced heart attacks, stroke, myocarditis, and blood clots. They crave legal over-representation and economic security, but cannot connect with the wider community.

There is a parallax, or short circuit, between neoliberalism and the looming neo-feudalism that is tied up with climate eugenics. Let us try to situate them in Foucault's triffecta wherein he united economic man with legalistic man inside the shared space of civil society. Obviously, neoliberalism falls into the economic sphere. We can place the maniacal political power grab of hyper-progressives as an overflowing abundance in the sphere of those legal rights that marked the reforms of classical liberalism. That leaves climate eugenics, which we would have to locate in the naturalized sphere of civil society, where communal relations are experienced a priori to formal institutions. In fact, it is precisely in that reified space of political economy, of tribal loyalty, that we come to understand our problematic quite lucidly. What more brilliant stalking horse could the globalists have chosen than the climate, that literal space we all collectively inhabit everyday, from birth to death? There's an irreducible bond between our lifeworld and the climate, right down to how many parts-per-million the atoms of carbon we exhale with every breath. This tactic itself marks an intensification of the mercantilist and liberal government's police state biopolitics, also resurfacing with a vengeance. While Fin de siècle neoliberalism may be the domain of psychopolitics, the climate agenda is the apotheosis of biopolitics. Transhumanism marks the death of politics, because it is total slavery.

The Kabuki theater of Democrat versus Republican has been well funded and well orchestrated. The budget of the production has risen geometrically with every election cycle, necessitating numerous acts of deregulation in collusion with monopoly capital. It is a gimmick as old as party machine politics itself. We can mark the solidification of the two-party mirage descending into tyranny beginning with Iran-Contra, the Bush-Clinton alliance, and the formation of the Democratic Leadership Council in 1985. As the two parties came to agreement on Reagan's neoliberal agenda, their social issue differences became more exaggerated in a display closer to pro-wrestling than theater. Party antagonism was pushed to its limits during the Obama and Trump years, funded generously by Soros, top donors like Harvey Weinstein, and their phalanx of super-PACs. Right on time, amidst the rapid fire succession of the BLM riots, plandemic, Ukraine, and hyperinflation, came a most useful mass formation psychosis. A decade of digitized identity politics agitation culminated in a politics of resentment so vitriolic that this new-New Left made their psychotic break with reality. Their naturally received notions of climate, health, and human rights all congealed into a crushing momentum that no amount of proven excess mortality or evidence-based medicine could shake apart. This gave the left wing of the war party carte blanche to dive into the Great Reset at full speed. Those whose entire ego identity hinges on receiving constant virus updates from the Microsoft-Media Complex have become NPCs.

Unpacking UN Agenda 2030, as well as carbon net zero, all of the source documents^[17] show beyond a shadow of a doubt that this is nothing short of climate eugenics. And as if on cue, the blind fury and rage of the progressives is reaching with unimaginable fervor to grasp and behold new levels of technocratic slavery and self-inflicted democide. The dominant narrative of the past three decades has programmed them to imagine that rational climate policy and everything else within the metanarrative of infinite progress is being blocked unfairly, illogically by the Republicans, made painfully out of reach. The point of the Reality Check is that the excess mortality inflicted upon us by the medical Mafia should be an undeniable wake-up call that climate eugenics is coming to destroy society right on the exact same schedule proposed in Agenda '21, Agenda 2030, The Great Reset, etc. The salience of The Great Reset is that anti-Christ Schwab is openly describing a process that will be brutal, chaotic, and radically post-human. Only within the cognitive dissonance carefully cultivated within Foucault's tripartite modern subject could one be so foolhardy cheering on goals of 'sustainability' that entail nothing short of mass death and destruction on a global scale. Not to be forgotten, it is the same failed mRNA gene therapy wreaking havoc on millions that Biden proposed to deploy against "ten other diseases," via the newly created "Health DARPA."^[18] Very fitting, considering that plain old DARPA was instrumental to executing the plandemic.

Of course we have seen similar disasters throughout history, localized to specific theaters of operation. In Germany it was the Holocaust, in China it was Mao ZeDong's Great leap Forward. And we can see today, the bait and switch; the short circuit. While busy playing the parties off against each other, those bi-color masks of tribe and clan, we have reached the crossroads of World War 3, famine, climate eugenics, and the plandemic democide that is well underway. Dizzied by these virtuoso dancing discourses, we suddenly find ourselves two and a half years into an era where depopulation is being normalized and accelerated at precisely the same rate that the vaccine side effects come to fruition.

The aggressive-progressive juridical subject continues to be a party to mass murder due to long conditioning, the economic myopia of enjoying world reserve currency status, and lingering resentment from their displacement in civil society. It is ironically their support for the Ukraine action that is bringing us to the brink of collapsing the petro-dollar system, and thus our entire way of life. This is the next stage of The Great Reset's freefall descent into medieval 'sustainability.'

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

***Pietrocelli** has been a student of the world, living, teaching, and working in various parts of the US and East Asia. He has followed the work on Global Research for the past 20 years, ever since a rude political awakening on 9/11. He can be contacted via Twitter at https://twitter.com/Neutral_Netizen.*

Notes

[1] https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2004/feb/22/usnews.theobserver1?CMP=share_btn_link

- [2] <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/3297693-the-birth-of-biopolitics>
- [3] https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/1237300.The_Shock_Doctrine
- [4] https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/183033.A_Brief_History_of_Neoliberalism
- [5] <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/23692271-sapiens>
- [6] https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/85826.Homo_Sacer
- [7] <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/58063409-the-real-anthony-fauci>
- [8] <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/37133029-psychopolitics>
- [9] https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/1187845.The_Next_Million_Years
- [10] <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/politics-corrupted-evidence-based-medicine/>
- [11] https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/80369.Discipline_and_Punish
- [12] <https://unlimitedhangout.com/2021/02/investigative-reports/schwab-family-values/>
- [13] https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/1224206.The_Cultural_Cold_War
- [14] <https://www.theblackvault.com/documentarchive/nsa-surveillance-program-prism/>
- [15] Ex., <https://twitter.com/DowdEdward> , <https://thehighwire.com/>
- [16] <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/billions-covid-stimulus-hospitals-treatments-killed-patients/>
- [17] Ex., <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/34706552-transforming-our-world> , <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/54498122-covid-19>
- [18] <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/ARPA-H-Concept-Paper.pdf>

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Pietrocelli Ciccione-Jimenez](#), Global Research, 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Pietrocelli
Ciccione-Jimenez](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca