

# Rasmussen Poll: A Stunning 42% of Americans Would Likely Join a Class Action Lawsuit Against COVID Vax Makers If It Were Permitted by Law

By Steve Kirsch

Region: <u>USA</u>

Global Research, November 04, 2023

Theme: Science and Medicine

Steve Kirsch's Newsletter 2 November 2023

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

## **Executive Summary**

<u>Rasmussen Reports</u> has just released yet another <u>devastating survey about the COVID</u> vaccine.

Their <u>latest survey</u>, released at 10:30am EST on November 2, confirms (yet again) that the COVID vaccine is a train wreck, the biggest healthcare disaster in our lifetime.

#### The key conclusions:

- 1. 47% personally know someone who was killed by the COVID virus
- 2. **24%** personally know someone who was killed by the COVID vaccine.
- 3. **42**% said it was somewhat likely or very likely they would **join a major classaction lawsuit against pharmaceutical companies for vaccine side effects**. That would make it the largest class action in US history by a long shot.

## The implications are stunning:

- 1. **56% of people who got vaccinated are or were vaccine injured in some way**. This is because 42% want to sue but only 75% were vaccinated. So 42/75=56%. That is is unprecedented; a complete train wreck for a vaccine that was touted as "safe and effective."
- If you believe 1M people died from COVID, then this poll suggests that 500K people died from the COVID vaccine (computed as 24/47×1.1M killed from COVID virus using the two numbers above from the survey).

When the <u>911 terrorist attacks killed almost 3,000 people</u>, it was major news. When the US government kills 500,000 Americans, there are no headlines and no investigations. This is the biggest story in America today.

Bottom line: Heads should roll. Now. Let the finger-pointing begin!

### Introduction

Earlier this year, I suggested to Rasmussen that it might be interesting to take a second look at COVID vaccine injuries.

They did.

Why? Because they are one of the few honest polling firms in the US. Nobody else wants to know about vaccine injuries and deaths. Fact check that one.


And the results are stunning as you'll see below.

## **Survey Result Data**


The full results (<u>Excel spreadsheet</u> which includes cross-tabs)

Note how the answers are very consistent regardless of demographic (scroll horizontally).


#### **Charts**



Nearly half the people surveyed knew someone who died from the COVID virus



24% knew someone who died from the vaccine. Vax deaths are more often reported by unvaccinated people (only about 30% of vaccinated people are able to recognize a "vaccine death"). So if everyone was equally aware of these deaths, the numbers would be over 50% which is comparable to the number of deaths from COVID.



This is stunning. 42% were likely to join a class action lawsuit. This is a train wreck. This would be the biggest class action in US history. How can this happen with a vaccine that people were brainwashed into believing was safe and effective?

# **Implication to Pfizer and Moderna Stock**

I think this is more likely than not to eventually cause Moderna stock to crater.

The #1 reason: 42% want to sue the drug companies if they could. It would be the largest class action in US history. But Congress, whose job it is to protect the drug companies, forbids it. But Aaron Siri believes he can unravel the protection and has filed a lawsuit to make that happen. Siri doesn't waste his time on cases he cannot win.

Here's is a summary of the reasons:



Class action lawsuit is a stronger influencer than trust



This is likely much closer to the truth



36% of people who got a shot were injured! This aligns closely with the 42% who would join an injury lawsuit in the Rasmussen poll.

And apparently I am not alone in thinking that Moderna stock is headed downward:



X follower opinion on where Moderna is heading

Therefore, I have established a large short position on Moderna stock because I believe in putting my money where my mouth is, i.e., where I lose if I got it wrong.

And I dare anyone to invest a large sum in Moderna now. Who would with 42% of your customers livid about the product.

Financial markets have a way of exposing the truth, don't they? All you have to do is look at Moderna's stock price to determine whether the Rasmussen poll is reflecting the truth or not.

The very sad reality is much worse than Rasmussen's poll indicates because around half the respondents couldn't see a vaccine injury even if it hit them in their own family just like it

did to Congressman Sean Casten whose 17 year old daughter Gwen tragically died unexpectedly in a manner 100% consistent with a vaccine death. See this article and this article. Did they ever rule out the COVID vaccine in Gwen's death. No. They didn't even test for it. They didn't want to know. Why don't they want to know? They urged their daughter to take the vaccine that killed her. They wouldn't be able to live with themselves if they learned the truth. **So they didn't look.** 

Even worse, I called Sean Casten's office to find out the date Gwen was last vaccinated in order to expose the truth so the speculation would be over.

**They said it was "Confidential."** Really? Why is that??? If she wanted to go to a restaurant, she'd have to show her vaccine card.

Why is it suddenly confidential now after her death??

## **The Last Survey**

This is from Rasmussen's earlier survey which was nearly 1 year ago. Do you see how the results match up?

A good scientific study produces repeatable results. And that's exactly what we have here. A repeatable result.


The inescapable conclusion is of course that the vaccine killed at least half as many people as COVID did.

Which means the COVID vaccines have killed at least 500,000 Americans if you accept the 1M dead from COVID. Meanwhile the CDC still thinks only 9 people or so have been killed by the mRNA vaccines.

But the alternate math is that over half actually know someone who died from the vaccine, but only around half know how to spot a vaccine death (and it is near 0 if you are a member of the press writing about a death). So that means that vaccine deaths are at least comparable to COVID deaths. But COVID deaths were inflated by at least 2X (because hospitals got paid when they reported a COVID death because the government was trying to encourage hospitals to kill people so they labeled everything they could a COVID death to maximize revenue). So we're realistically looking at around 500,000 deaths caused by the vaccine if we put on our red pill glasses.

If that is true, how can these results be so consistent one year later with a completely different population sample?

Someone is lying to you. But the mainstream media and medical community do not care to know who is telling the truth. They basically follow whatever the CDC says, even though it is disconnected from reality and even though the CDC refuses to allow anyone to challenge them. When I tried, I got ghosted very quickly.



This is from almost a year ago. Compare with the current results. They are very close. It means that around 500,000 people were killed by the vaccine.

## **Limitations of the Survey**

75% of the public is vaccinated. Vaccinated people are relatively poor in assessing vaccine deaths. The 25% figure is thus under-reported and it is more likely that the vaccines have killed at least as many people as COVID if not more.

## **How Credible Is the Study?**

Very. The 25% matches the 28% polled nearly 1 year ago. So the results are repeatable.

The poll is subject to the ability of people to assess a vaccine injury or death which means it undercounts vaccine injury.


Click here to read the full article.

Here is the link to the Rasmussen Report.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from **COVID Intel** 



## The Worldwide Corona Crisis, Global Coup d'Etat

## **Against Humanity**

## by Michel Chossudovsky

Michel Chossudovsky reviews in detail how this insidious project "destroys people's lives". He provides a comprehensive analysis of everything you need to know about the "pandemic" — from the medical dimensions to the economic and social repercussions, political underpinnings, and mental and psychological impacts.

"My objective as an author is to inform people worldwide and refute the official narrative which has been used as a justification to destabilize the economic and social fabric of entire countries, followed by the imposition of the "deadly" COVID-19 "vaccine". This crisis affects humanity in its entirety: almost 8 billion people. We stand in solidarity with our fellow human beings and our children worldwide. Truth is a powerful instrument."

## **Reviews**

This is an in-depth resource of great interest if it is the wider perspective you are motivated to understand a little better, the author is very knowledgeable about geopolitics and this comes out in the way Covid is contextualized. —**Dr. Mike Yeadon** 

In this war against humanity in which we find ourselves, in this singular, irregular and massive assault against liberty and the goodness of people, Chossudovsky's book is a rock upon which to sustain our fight. -**Dr. Emanuel Garcia** 

In fifteen concise science-based chapters, Michel traces the false covid pandemic, explaining how a PCR test, producing up to 97% proven false positives, combined with a relentless 24/7 fear campaign, was able to create a worldwide panic-laden "plandemic"; that this plandemic would never have been possible without the infamous DNA-modifying Polymerase Chain Reaction test – which to this day is being pushed on a majority of innocent people who have no clue. His conclusions are evidenced by renown scientists.

## —Peter Koenig

Professor Chossudovsky exposes the truth that "there is no causal relationship between the virus and economic variables." In other words, it was not COVID-19 but, rather, the deliberate implementation of the illogical, scientifically baseless lockdowns that caused the shutdown of the global economy. -David Skripac

A reading of Chossudovsky's book provides a comprehensive lesson in how there is a global coup d'état under way called "The Great Reset" that if not resisted and defeated by freedom

loving people everywhere will result in a dystopian future not yet imagined. Pass on this free gift from Professor Chossudovsky before it's too late. You will not find so much valuable information and analysis in one place. -**Edward Curtin** 

ISBN: 978-0-9879389-3-0, Year: 2022, PDF Ebook, Pages: 164, 15 Chapters

Price: \$11.50 FREE COPY! Click here (docsend) and download.

We encourage you to support the eBook project by making a donation through Global Research's **DonorBox "Worldwide Corona Crisis" Campaign Page.** 

The original source of this article is <u>Steve Kirsch's Newsletter</u> Copyright © <u>Steve Kirsch</u>, <u>Steve Kirsch's Newsletter</u>, 2023

#### **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

#### **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Steve Kirsch

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>