

RACISM AND THE AMERICAN MEDIA

By [Patrick Henningsen](#)

Global Research, April 12, 2012

[Infowars.com](#) 12 April 2012

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [History](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

America has come a very long way in very short period of time, in terms of racial integration and understanding.

But that hard-fought progress is currently under threat, but not from rogue neighbourhood watchmen, as we are led to believe by our media's divisive coverage and commentary on events surrounding the tragic shooting of Florida teenager Trayvon Martin.

In both the media and political classes, there exist individuals who are seemingly addicted to crisis opportunity, and whose shallow efforts threaten to set the country back 30 or 50 years in terms of its social and racial development.

Like fast food, they will use cheap techniques like race-baiting, and tabloid sensationalism to score cheap ratings and even cheaper political points. Their reckless efforts fly in the face of every fundamental principle which the United States was founded upon, principles which each generation has fought for, in some way or another, in order to improve society over the last 250 years.

The [George Zimmerman case](#) has been seized upon by the charlatans, the political classes and the media manipulators - as their latest vehicle for *dividing Americans* into their respective camps, along the lines of ethnicity, insisting that Martin's death was caused by 'racial profiling' - when no evidence beyond anecdotal has been presented to date to support such an accusation. here we witness both the media and politicians alike, working in concert, to construct the *illusion* of a race war in America.

But this is only the beginning.

Amidst all the hype and media circus promoted by the likes of NBC, CNN, FOX and and foundation-funded talking heads like Al Sharpton, and even President Obama who injected flammable material into the conversation early on with his comment, "If I had a son, he's look like Trayvon Martin"- there exists a *dark Zeitgeist*, trend, or a wave forming, which is obviously and overwhelmingly antagonistic - and ultimately designed to 'divide and rule' the culture.

▪ A d v e r t i s e m e n t

▪ googletag.display('div-gpt-ad-1332345132608-17');

http://adclick.g.doubleclick.net/aclk?sa=L&ai=BL2k77cCGT7X10luksQfGga29DevqxJECAACgwh4QASDjgPEaOABY89SByyVg_fCpgfADsgEQd3d3LmluZm93YXJzLmNvbboBCWdmcF9pbWFnZcgcBCdoBSWh0dHA6Ly93d3cuaW5mb3dhcnMuY29tL2RIY

XltbWVkaWEtYW1lcmJYS1kb2VzbnQtd2FudC10aGlzLW5ldy1yYWNILXdhci_AAglgAgDqAiMvNzgzMTM2My9pbmZvd2Fycy9JVy1TdWJQYWdlL1pzbmUwOfgCgdllekAPgA5gDpAOoAwHgBAGgBhY&num=0&sig=AOD64_0Nf8k0b_Dy9IDLfUVW4dNq6h1iSg&client=ca-pub-1664759818055808&adurl=



TV network **NBC** took race-baiting to new depths, with its own humiliating admission that [it had in fact edited and then aired audio clips of George Zimmerman's 911 call to the police](#) in order to give the impression that the caller, Zimmerman, was racially profiling the victim Martin. In response, NBC scapegoated a producer by firing him, and the network walked away clean from the incident - *when other smaller media outlets have had their broadcast licenses revoked for lesser offenses.*

On the left, we've seen Democrat political operators circling the wagons in order to grab any cheap available air time, gaining political mileage where they can, seizing on a short-term opportunity. On the right, stands an equally bankrupt far right-wing reactionary wave. Both sides are playing along with an artificial *Left vs Right* paradigm, in a battle where all opposition is controlled by social engineers. This is only the first 'divide and rule' phase that social engineers and their political managers have in store for 21st century America.

The biggest danger of the artificial race-baiting which the media and grandstanding politicians have been fuelling is the mob. They are indirectly promoting *random racial retaliation.*

Last week, Baltimore local news aired a video (below) of a white man being beaten, stripped and robbed by a gang of black youths. The video was posted by the gang on social networks, as a mark of pride by the gang. In the wake of the Trayvon circus, however, it's highly likely that this type of incident could be racially motivated. *Watch:*

.

This was the case with 76 year-old [Dallas Watts](#) of Toledo, OH, when a mixed gang of youths beat him within an inch of his life. While Mr. Watts was down, the boys kicked him shouting, "[Get] that white [man]. This is for Trayvon... Trayvon lives, white [man]. Kill that white [man]," according to a [police report](#).

This kind of retaliation is, in fact, worse than the Trayvon Martin tragedy. *Why?* Because on its face, it is *racially motivated* - whereas Martin's shooting has not yet been proven to be so - despite the "progressive" media's insistence *that it is*. A single Dallas Watts-type incident could lead to a White Supremacist copycat event, and so on, until we have a race war on America's streets - one which will surely create more political division.

If there are retaliatory incidents occurring where people are hurt, or killed (black or white) as a result of the media hyping the Trayvon Martin vs Zimmerman case, then the media and certain left-leaning political figures such as Al Sharpton - *will have that blood on their hands.*

Unfortunately, in this emotive *left-leaning* media climate, there are no camera crews outside of the 'Baltimore Beating' young white victim's home, and it's no surprise that

America does not even want to know his name. One might freely pose the question then: if that young victim was black – and beaten, robbed and stripped by a gang of white boys, would the media be swarming around him? Would Al Sharpton be doing media spots with him? Would he spend the next month being paraded on CNN, NBC, the View etc? Would every American know *his* name? This dichotomy demonstrates the irresponsible, warped and completely divisive agenda the media has when it comes to race in America.

Still, that victim could be anybody, in any state, in any town. *There are so many victims these days...*

Whether it's white on black, or black on white violence, the crux of the matter should not be about the color of the victim's skin. The true focus should be on ***the violence***. This is something everyone can attest to, as we are all victims of violence at one point or another in our lives. Certainly, the New American Police State is the most disturbing trend in America's arrested development. Why isn't this the focus of the conversation?

Those who live in the real world know full well that when it comes to race in America, the reality is that a degree of tension will always exist – the country's own chequered history of race almost guarantees this outcome. There is no utopia, even though many of us still strive towards it. It's almost as human as it is American. Yet, America has still achieved what so many other nations around the world have failed to do, by providing upward mobility and a level of integration on a mass scale – not seen anywhere else.

One final warning for those in the media who are content to see the races divided and fighting amongst each other. You have breathed new life (luckily not too much yet) back into two irrelevant and completely backward organizations – the Neo-Nazi [National Socialist Movement](#) (NSM) and the [New Black Panther Party](#) (NBP). Both groups are gaining eyeballs, as they both call for an escalation of paramilitary tension along the lines of race. *Listen to the NBP radio interview on Florida radio this week:*

The media, and out-of-date liberal activists like Al Sharpton and Jesse Jackson can at least count the trending of real hate group the NSM and the NBP, as one of their crowning achievements in the wake of Trayvon Martin's death.

Race wars lead to class war

The next phase is even darker and more insidious. The danger with fomenting a race war in America, is that, by its shallow and artificial nature, it lends itself to a much deeper and much more enduring *class war*.

The pump has already been prime for a top-down engineered, *class war* in America, as seen through caste-oriented language adopted by protesters, the media – and everybody else, since last year's Occupy Movement succeeded in *separating the 99% from the 1%*.

As seen in the *Trayvon Martin* case, where “black vs white” has been inserted as the overwhelming theme by social influencers in both the media and politics, these same influencers have already successfully divided our once individualistic society into the pathetic representation of the “99% vs 1%”. At no time during the Occupy experiment did the movement, or the media ever successfully address *the fundamental causes* of economic disparity in the United States – caused by the Federal Government and private Central Banking Establishment, whose bad management and rigged financial system triggered one

of the worst economic meltdowns in global history.

Still though, populist slogans and sentiments will dominate this election year. People believe the system is rigged — and they're angry, but the irony is that the "99%" will continue to run crying to the Federal Government for 'solutions' to their grievances.

Not surprisingly, both the *National Socialist Movement* and its arch-nemesis, *New Black Panther Party*, are both essentially calling for "An end to Capitalism in America", and "a redistribution of wealth" - not unlike the **Occupy Movement**. Yet, were any of these groups to get a seat at the political table, they would still be clueless as to what *living* real socialism is really like.

If you want an indication of the vacant, clueless mentality lurking in the minds of the new '*Romantic American Socialist*', or more aptly, the **Neo-communist**, then watch this video shot at an Occupy Camp a few months ago:

Mob Rule

The concept of "Mob Rule" is also important in America's newly divided society. It features heavily in both the racial, and the economic conversations.

In this volatile political climate, the mob will often feel empowered - especially when led by a *partisan icon*, and this leader will tell them anything they want to hear. Partisan icons generally rule by using fear, and creating class envy.

The current US President is a perfect example of a *partisan icon*. He received the majority of his campaign money from Wall Street oligarchs and is seen to be hob-nobbing with the rich, playing golf every other day, taking countless vacations, yet still portrays himself as part of the "99%", or "a man of the people". Still though, the "99%" have been trained to hopelessly *envy* this unattainable social status, and celebrity lifestyle. This phenomenon solidifies the President's *cult of personality*.

This is the essence of class warfare, right out of radical social engineer [Saul Alinsky's](#) playbook. Imagine a leader who uses the rich to raise money, and uses the poor to crush his political enemies - by rallying the poor, or "disenfranchised" masses *against them*.

In terms of a race war, a partisan icon will tell the mob that it is *they* who know justice better than the courts, and after a criminal is sentenced, he will then somehow convince the mob that the punishment wasn't enough, and only *the mob* can properly dispense justice.

His tools for dividing society are as follows: left vs right, rich vs poor, black vs white, brown vs yellow, and most importantly... *young vs old*. "Young vs Old" is what the elites will use as a final battleground in their *culture war*.

The psyche behind class warfare is not at all alien to our brothers and sisters in Europe, and it has been remained alight in America, smouldering in our political basement since the 1950's. And it is a dangerous fire - one kept alive by radical social engineers and politicians, many of whom quietly champion the work of role models like Saul Alinsky, and indeed will employ many of the techniques which he laid out for his students in his divide and rule handbook entitled, [Rules for Radicals](#), an encyclopedia for dividing people along political,

racial and economic lines in order to achieve full social control over communities.

It is already widely known how the ambitious young Barack Obama was influenced by radical leftist teacher *Alinsky* in Chicago, and proceeded to follow the path of “community organizer”. In this same fashion, both Obama’s and Hillary Clinton’s 2008 presidential campaigns exhibited many of the characteristics of Alinsky’s teachings, and frequently employing class warfare themes as their central tenets.

“Obama learned his lesson well. I am proud to see that my father’s model for organizing is being applied successfully beyond local community organizing to affect the Democratic campaign in 2008. It is a fine tribute to Saul Alinsky as we approach his 100th birthday.”
- Letter from L. DAVID ALINSKY, son of Saul Alinsky

[Obama helped to fund an ‘Alinsky Academy’](#) through his support of *The Woods Fund*, a nonprofit on which Obama served as paid director from 1999 to December 2002, and sat on the Woods Fund board alongside [William Ayers](#), founder of the *Weather Underground* domestic terrorist organization.

Should Americans be worried about these social engineering tactics?

Alinsky tactics are being employed again by the White House and all the way down their political chain. But they cannot lead to any lasting solution to our nation’s ills as is evident after 4 years of the White House’s radical community management program, rather they are designed to create even more problems – specifically, problems which only our *Federal Government*, or our new *American Police State* is allowed to solve for us.

In the end, the radicals, left-leaning media, and the social engineers may very well achieve what they have set out to do – much to the detriment of our Founding Fathers’ vision for a free, liberty-based society. If successful, they will have created a newly divided, and fully controlled, *collectivist authoritarian empire inside of America’s borders*.

To paraphrase Johnny Cash, I ask here:

What little will be left, in an *empire of dirt*?

The original source of this article is [Infowars.com](#)
Copyright © [Patrick Henningsen](#), [Infowars.com](#), 2012

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Patrick Henningsen](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are

acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca