

Vladimir Putin's Statement on Western Long-Range Precision Weapons and NATO Involvement in Ukraine

By Prof. Ruel F. Pepa

Global Research, September 13, 2024

Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Russia and FSU</u>

Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Militarization and</u> WMD, US NATO War Agenda

In-depth Report: **UKRAINE REPORT**

Vladimir Putin, the President of the Russian Federation, has made several statements during the ongoing conflict between Russia and Ukraine, particularly concerning the use of Western-supplied long-range precision weapons. His remarks suggest that if NATO provides Ukraine with high-precision, long-range weapons and assists in their operation, it would amount to direct participation in military operations against Russia.

According to Putin, this would fundamentally change the nature of the conflict, elevating it from a regional war between Russia and Ukraine to a direct confrontation between NATO and Russia.

This article delves into Putin's rationale behind these claims, the technological and geopolitical factors at play, and the broader implications for NATO-Russia relations and global security.

The Context: Ukraine's Use of Western Weapons

Since the start of Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine in February 2022, the West has increasingly supplied Ukraine with military aid, including advanced weapons systems, in response to Ukraine's requests for support in defending itself. The types of military aid have evolved from anti-tank weapons and small arms to more sophisticated systems such as High Mobility Artillery Rocket Systems (HIMARS), long-range artillery, and drones.

However, one of the most sensitive aspects of this aid has been the potential delivery of long-range precision weapons, such as missile systems that could strike targets deep within Russian territory. Putin's assertion that Ukraine lacks the indigenous capability to operate such weapons without direct NATO involvement centers around two key points:

- **1.** Access to High-Precision Targeting Data: High-precision, long-range missile strikes typically require advanced satellite data for targeting. Putin has claimed that Ukraine does not have its own satellite reconnaissance infrastructure that is sophisticated enough to carry out precision strikes with long-range Western weapons. He suggests that NATO would have to provide Ukraine with real-time intelligence, including satellite imagery, in order to guide these strikes effectively.
- 2. Operational Expertise: Putin also argues that the complexity of using these advanced

weapons, particularly in terms of setting flight paths and inputting targeting data, would necessitate NATO military personnel's involvement. According to him, Ukrainian forces alone may not possess the capability to independently operate such advanced systems without NATO assistance.

NATO's Involvement: An Escalation in Putin's View

Putin's statements underscore the Russian perspective that any direct assistance by NATO in terms of operational control, intelligence support, or targeting input crosses a critical threshold. If NATO becomes directly involved in conducting or facilitating military operations via these high-precision strikes, it would effectively mean that NATO forces are waging war against Russia, according to Putin. This would mark a significant escalation in the conflict, transforming it from a proxy war to a direct conflict between NATO and Russia, with farreaching consequences.

In Putin's words, if NATO helps Ukraine carry out such strikes, "it will change the very essence, the nature of the conflict, and it will mean that NATO countries are at war with Russia." This claim taps into long-standing concerns about the expansion of the Ukraine conflict into a broader war that could potentially involve nuclear-armed NATO members and Russia.

NATO's Stance and Western Military Aid

NATO has been careful to stress that its support to Ukraine is defensive in nature and designed to help Ukraine protect its territorial integrity. Western countries, particularly the United States, the United Kingdom, and several EU member states, have provided substantial amounts of military aid, but they have also emphasized that they are not directly involved in the war. Western leaders have generally framed their involvement as military assistance rather than direct participation in hostilities.

For example, U.S. and European officials have maintained that their military support is designed to help Ukraine defend itself against aggression, not to strike Russian territory. The Biden administration has been particularly cautious in limiting the types of weapons sent to Ukraine, often declining to supply systems that could easily strike deep into Russia, although this position has gradually evolved as the war has progressed.

However, many Western military systems, such as the HIMARS and long-range artillery, have been pivotal in allowing Ukraine to hit Russian military positions in occupied Ukrainian territories. Some of these strikes have targeted Russian logistics hubs, ammunition depots, and command centers far behind the frontlines, often using Western-provided intelligence.

Satellite Data and Intelligence Sharing

One of the more complex elements of Putin's argument revolves around the issue of satellite data. It is well known that **Western nations**, **particularly the United States**, **have provided Ukraine with satellite imagery and real-time intelligence to aid in their military operations**. This has included tracking the movements of Russian troops and equipment, as well as identifying high-value targets for strikes.

Putin's claim that Ukraine lacks the capacity to carry out precision strikes without NATO satellite data is a recognition of the role that Western intelligence plays in the conflict. Although Ukraine does not have its own satellite network capable of the level of surveillance

that NATO's assets can provide, Western nations have given Ukraine access to data from commercial and military satellites, as well as reconnaissance drones. While these intelligence-sharing activities stop short of direct involvement in military operations, they blur the lines between mere support and active participation.

Legal and Geopolitical Implications

Putin's characterization of NATO's involvement as "direct participation" is not just rhetoric. Under international law, direct military involvement by a state in another state's conflict can trigger mutual defense obligations or escalate the conflict into a wider war. Article 5 of NATO's founding treaty states that an armed attack against one member is considered an attack against all members. If Russia were to interpret NATO's involvement in the war as direct participation, it could theoretically respond by targeting NATO assets, risking a broader conflict.

For NATO, avoiding a direct war with Russia has been a key objective. While NATO members want to ensure that Ukraine is capable of defending itself, they also wish to avoid provoking Russia into a military response that could escalate into a direct confrontation between nuclear-armed powers. This has resulted in a delicate balancing act, with NATO providing substantial support to Ukraine while refraining from actions that could be interpreted as direct engagement in the war.

Conclusion

Vladimir Putin's warnings about NATO's involvement in Ukraine, specifically regarding the use of long-range precision weapons, highlight the delicate and dangerous nature of the conflict. While NATO has taken steps to support Ukraine, including the provision of advanced weaponry and intelligence, it has been careful to frame this assistance as indirect, defensive support rather than direct participation in the conflict.

Putin's statements reflect both a recognition of NATO's significant role in shaping the battlefield and an attempt to deter further escalation by signaling that any deeper involvement by NATO would change the conflict's nature. His rhetoric also serves as a warning that Russia could respond forcefully if it believes NATO is moving beyond providing support to Ukraine and engaging in direct military operations against Russia.

As the conflict continues, the risk of miscalculation remains high, particularly as both sides escalate their rhetoric and military actions. The stakes are enormous, and any shift toward direct NATO involvement, even if unintended, could have profound consequences for European and global security.

*

Click the share button below to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Get Your Free Copy of "Towards a World War III Scenario: The Dangers of Nuclear War"!

Prof. Ruel F. Pepa is a Filipino philosopher based in Madrid, Spain. A retired academic (Associate Professor IV), he taught Philosophy and Social Sciences for more than fifteen

years at Trinity University of Asia, an Anglican university in the Philippines. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Sources

Putin's Statements on NATO and Ukraine Conflict: "Putin warns Ukraine use of long-range arms will put NATO at war with Russia" (See this)

Western Military Support for Ukraine: "Western military aid to Ukraine – statistics & facts" (See this)

NATO's Article 5 and Military Strategy: "Collective defence and Article 5" (See this)

Featured image is from The Cradle

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Prof. Ruel F. Pepa, Global Research, 2024

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Prof. Ruel F.**

Pepa

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca