

U.S Public Furious at 'Our' Government's Intended Invasion of Syria

By Eric Zuesse

Global Research, April 13, 2018

Region: Middle East & North Africa

Theme: Militarization and WMD, US NATO

War Agenda

In-depth Report: SYRIA

The American public — even the strongest supporters of Donald Trump — is outraged that Trump of America, and Macron of France, and May of UK, intend a military invasion of Syria, in order to 'punish Assad for' a chemical attack that he might not even have done, and which might not even have happened at all as the invaders allege it to have happened.

Risking nuclear war against Russia, Syria's ally, on such flimsy basis, is considered, it seems, treasonous, even by Trump's voting-base.

For example, the Breitbart news-site is associated with Trump's campaign since the very start of Trump's efforts to win the U.S. Presidency, and its articles typically receive thousands or even tens of thousands of reader-comments. One of its lead stories on April 11th was "May Readies UK for Syria Strikes, Defies Strong Public Opposition" and it reports the polled two-to-one opposition by Brits against Prime Minister May's decision to join the U.S. invasion; and if one clicks "Sort by Best" the top reader-comment — the one that received the highest net number of up-votes — is:

EmilyEnso

May Readies UK for Syria Strikes, Defies Strong Public Opposition

I have just rung up my MP's office to express my opposition and outrage at what will certainly be a war criime should Britain go ahead with bombing the Syrians and killing yet more innocent people.

It is a criminal and unjustifiable act using a completely bogus sham as an excuse.

I hope everyone else will do the same, alternatively E mail and urgently..

My MP, incidently, is a Tory.

So, an overwhelmingly U.S. readership is most-strongly liking an anti-Trump, anti-May, comment.

Another strongly pro-Trump readership is at the infowars site, and a main story there on April 11th was "REPORT: U.S. SET TO TARGET 70 DIFFERENT SITES IN SYRIA: Including ones

at which Russian troops are stationed," and the top reader-comment, and responses to it there, are even more informative about the passionate repudiation of Trump — and now of the U.S. Government itself — because of this:

JG687 • a day ago

This is a total disaster. i would have voted for Hillary Clinton if I wanted this foreign policy. All due to what was almost certainly a false flag gas attack. Trump, the war advocates have played you like a fiddle.

• 11

LOL123 JG687 • a day ago

I wouldn't have voted for Hillary under any circumstances, but I get it. "The City" in London has spoken to which our federal reserve and petro dollars are connected to.... War is what they need for profits and status quo. China is installing permanent bases in Pakistan... Add that to the mix.

Billy Brown LOL123 • 19 hours ago

the right was never more united and ready to act with her getting in on the table. was good times

Big-D LOL123 • a day ago

& China is now in talks with Vanuatu close to Australia to establish a "Permanent Military Presents".

333888999 JG687 • a day ago

No participant in the Tyranny that goes back at least to Elder Bush, through Clinton/Bush? Obama has been arrested. Now we know why!!

• 2

Mark Right JG687 • a day ago

Really, whats the point in voting?
• 1

Mark Right JG687 • a day ago

Clinton was going to War with Russia as soon as she got it, she said multiple times! So we lived a year and a bit longer!!! Nice talking to you ,next time we either will be dying or dead and if a afterlife, well I am screwed again!!

• 1

And these are core pro-Trump sites. Of course, anti-Trump sites are likely to be even more opposed to the U.S. Government than the pro-Trump ones are.

Therefore: it is now clear that the U.S. — like its allied Governments (such as UK) — is a dictatorship, which ignores even the most passionate of the public's priorities (in this case avoiding World War III — especially avoiding the precipitation of it on dubious or even bogus pretenses).

If, somehow, history continues much beyond this moment in time, then perhaps the main question will be: How did The West come to be controlled by fascists who are obsessed to conquer not only all of Russia's allies but also Russia itself? Did the spirit of Hitler and Mussolini become ultimately triumphant after FDR died, and how did this gradual takeover

by fascism — this defeat of the spirit of FDR, and of <u>the U.N. that he created</u> — actually happen?

*

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of <u>They're Not Even Close:</u> <u>The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010</u>, and of <u>CHRIST'S</u> <u>VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity</u>. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.



The Globalization of War: America's "Long War" against Humanity

Michel Chossudovsky

The "globalization of war" is a hegemonic project. Major military and covert intelligence operations are being undertaken simultaneously in the Middle East, Eastern Europe, sub-Saharan Africa, Central Asia and the Far East. The U.S. military agenda combines both major theater operations as well as covert actions geared towards destabilizing sovereign states.

ISBN Number: 978-0-9737147-6-0

Year: 2015

Pages: 240 Pages

List Price: \$22.95

Special Price: \$15.00

Click here to order.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Eric Zuesse, Global Research, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Eric Zuesse

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010, and of CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca