

Proof: Strokes Are Caused by the COVID Vaccines

By [Steve Kirsch](#)

Global Research, January 19, 2023

[Steve Kirsch's newsletter](#) 18 January 2023

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

I bet \$1M that the vax causes strokes. Any takers? They knew this at the very start of the vaccination campaign if they were paying attention to the adverse event reports. I'll show you how they knew.

Executive summary

The COVID vaccines cause strokes. There is no doubt about it.

In fact, I'm so sure of this, I will bet anyone \$1M that I got it right and the CDC got it wrong by dismissing the signal.

I'm willing to put my money where my mouth is. Are any of the drug companies willing to do that? If they don't, you should be worried. Very worried.

Surely there is someone at the CDC or FDA who will take my bet? If not, then why not admit the truth: that they have absolutely no confidence at all when they claim that the COVID vaccines don't cause strokes and there is nothing to worry about.

Will anyone in the entire world take my bet? Of course not. You have to ask yourself, why not? Don't you believe the CDC? Apparently, NOBODY IN THE ENTIRE WORLD believes them.

In this article, I'm going to prove to you I'm right.

But, hey, if you think I'm wrong, [accept my bet please!](#) Because I could always use an extra \$1M.

Introduction

Thanks to the heroic work of Dr. Naomi Wolf and Amy Kelly in investigating the Pfizer documents, it was recently brought to my attention that Pfizer knew about 300 stroke-related events that happened in the first 3 months after the vaccine was released.

Check out [this document](#) which summarizes the stroke data from the new unredacted [Pfizer 5.3.6 document](#). There were a total of 42,086 adverse events, but it was initially a secret as to how many people that represents (the denominator).

We can estimate it though because they told us that there were 611 anaphylaxis events. We know from the [Blumenthal paper](#) that there are 2.4 cases of anaphylaxis per 10,000 shots. This implies 2.5M shots were given which means 1.25M people reported events here. This is an estimate of the “denominator” that Pfizer didn’t disclose.

The reason for redacting the denominator is simple: if anyone knew how small that number was, they’d have stopped the vaccine immediately. If the denominator was large, Pfizer would be willfully supplying the denominator. The fact that they redacted the denominator is a sign that **they knew that the adverse event rate was unacceptably high**. But maybe not. This isn’t the crux of my argument.

What’s interesting is that the disclosed the recently disclosed denominator: 126,212,580 which is 63M people. OBVIOUSLY THE EVENTS WERE SEVERELY UNDERREPORTED as we can see from the anaphylaxis data. We know from the calculation above that the minimum underreporting factor (for serious events) is 50.4 (63/1.25). This is quite comparable to the minimum underreporting factor of 41X for VAERS! That’s a good sanity test.

The [Pfizer document](#) says:

1. The reporting is for a 90 day period starting Dec 1, 2020
2. Within the stroke data set, there are 275 patients with 300 different events reported; and **20% of the stroke events were fatal**.
3. Half the events happened within 2 days of the shot.

Is the temporal proximity to the shot a smoking gun? Sort of...

OK. When I read that my first reaction was, “Wow, half the events happened in 48 hours after the shot. That’s not normal at all...it should be spread out evenly over time if there is no causality.”

However, upon further investigation, many of the AEs reported by Pfizer have relatively short median time frames so there is a bias to report things that happened in close proximity to the shot and to not report things that happened a week or more after the shot.

Even with all that, a 48 hour median is short compared to the other AEs and **suggests** there might be causality here since otherwise it would be more spread out like some other AEs are.

The rate of stroke events vs COVID events is 1:4... that’s way too high

Let’s look at the rate of stroke events to COVID events reported in the trial.

We’ll limit ourselves to the first two days since that is when we get the most accurate rate.

So we have 150 stroke events in the first two days as noted above.

We have 3067 COVID events with a median of 5 days, so basically 613 events in the first

two days.

That means that during the first two days after the shot (which is the only period where we get reliable reports), you were 4 times more likely to get COVID than get a stroke.

Whoa! That's way too high for a safe vaccine that doesn't cause stroke, don't you think?

The absolute number of stroke events is 10X normal

150 stroke events in 2 days for 1.25M people reporting is a rate of stroke 21,900 strokes per million per year.

The normal rate of stroke is 800,000 strokes/yr. But there are 340M people. So the rate is 2353 strokes/M-yr.

This means that the observed rate of stroke is 10X normal after the shot.

That sounds pretty darn causal to me.

But let's do another check just to make sure.

After all, we wouldn't want to leave any stone unturned for Dr. Susan Oliver and her dog Cindy, to use to make a video criticizing these calculations. Confidentially, I'm not that worried about Dr. Oliver; it's her dog Cindy I worry about.

The VAERS data makes it OBVIOUS

Here's a simple search that took me all of 30 seconds to do. I searched ALL vaccines for ischaemic stroke and look what I found. A signal. A big signal! It jumps off the page. You can't miss it if you are looking!



Search Results

From the 1/6/2023 release of VAERS data:

Found 1,997 cases where Symptom is Ischaemic stroke and Vaccination Date from '2021-01-01' to '2021-12-31'

[Government Disclaimer on use of this data](#)

Table

↓	↑ ↓	↑ ↓
Vaccines	Count	Percent
COVID19	2,060	103.15%
FLUA3	3	0.15%
FLUA4	2	0.1%
FLUX	3	0.15%
PNC13	1	0.05%
PPV	1	0.05%
UNK	36	1.8%
VARZOS	1	0.05%
TOTAL	† 2,107	† 105.51%

† Because some cases have multiple vaccinations and symptoms, a single case can account for multiple entries in this table. This is why the Total Count is greater than 1,997 (the number of cases found), and the Total Percent is greater than 100.

INSTRUCTIONS: View the chart above. Can you spot the unsafe vaccine? Hint: It causes strokes at a much higher rate than all the other vaccines combined. Can you guess which one it is?

If you guess the COVID vaccine, you're right!

If you didn't guess the COVID vaccine, you should immediately apply for a job with the CDC in the safety monitoring department. They are looking for people with your analytical skills.

The 1,549 death reports

The [surveys of dead people](#) show (I limited the records to US only, sanity checked, and deaths from 2021 onwards):

- 10/170 unvaccinated died of neurological causes: 5.8%
- 75/666 vaccinated died of neurological causes: 11.2%
- The percentages should be the same. They aren't.
- The result is statistically significant with $p=.0455$.

However, there can be age confounding.

Let's look at <50 year olds

- 1/18 unvaxxed=.055

5/78 vaxxed = .064

So these are closer as we'd expect, but the numbers are too small here to get a good signal. Too noisy.

Let's look at those over 65:

- unvaxxed 7/104=.067
- vaxxed 53/400=.1323

Big difference.

We have a very dangerous vaccine here. Too bad the CDC doesn't do their own survey of dead people by vaccination status, isn't it? They'd have found this out 2 years ago.

Oddly, to this day, they don't want to look at this. It's so easy. It took about an hour of my time to do this. Why don't they want to know.

Personal anecdotes

There is nothing like personal experience to make sure we got it right. I hear these stories of young people dying of strokes or bleeding in the brain. Horrible. You don't forget these.

People who have seen multiple black swans

Have you ever heard of a healthy 23 year old who has **8 strokes after getting vaccinated**? I got this note from Marc on Jan 18, 2023:

Dear Steve,

I had a dear friend who had been in remission for some sort of cancer and was doing week then he died suddenly from stroke IN HIS SUKKAH in Sept 2021.

Not long after his 2nd vaxx as I understand it. His name was Harry. We drank coffee together and I miss him. He used to pick up my daughter from school or work if my wife had our car. We learned torah together and were a bit late starters with our Judaism. We both have a daughter.

We know of another beautiful 22 yr old - friend of our daughter - from South Africa, was living near TelAviv and had something like **8 strokes after her 2nd vaccination (Pfizer)**. She finally went back to South Africa and had open heart surgery. I hear she is thank G'd doing well. We pray for her every day. I met her finally last year at a wedding. Beautiful person - inside and out.

It is a tragedy beyond comprehension what has gone on here and how there is STILL no accountability.

Keep going.

Mechanism of action

The PEG enables the LNP to cross the blood brain barrier. There, the vaccine causes both

clotting and inflammation. This is well know.

The safety signal in VAERS and VSD: The final nail in the coffin

And finally, [we have official CDC safety signals that were triggered in both VAERS and VSD.](#)

That's the final nail in the coffin.

Poll

POLL

Does anyone in the world believe the CDC enough to risk \$1M betting they are right?

No. Nobody is going to bet you.
Someone at the CDC will surely bet
Someone else will surely bet
No opinion

2662 VOTES · 5 DAYS REMAINING

[Click here to vote](#)

Note

I originally wrote this as a \$10M dollar bet but realized that would work against me (even though it would be more profitable).

The \$1M is a much lower bar... it shows that they wouldn't even bet \$1M that the CDC is right.

If there are no takers, maybe I should lower the bet until I get a taker. This will quantify in dollars just how much people believe the CDC. Wouldn't that be cool? I think the number will be vanishingly small.

POLL

What will I have to offer to get someone to take my bet?

Less than \$10

Less than \$100

Less than \$1K

Less than \$10K

Less than \$100K

1375 VOTES · 5 DAYS REMAINING

[Click here to vote](#)

For more information on the Pfizer data

I want to acknowledge the work of Dr. Naomi Wolf, Amy Kelly, and the rest of the [dailyclout.io](#) for their excellent work in surfacing the data and bringing it to people's attention.

Here are a couple of links you can follow to learn more.

The birth rates are dropping all over the world. In some countries, the drop is more than they've seen in the last 150 years. AFAIK, we have never seen a simultaneous drop in birth rates worldwide like we are seeing today.

I asked one of the fact checkers about what is causing this and he said, "it could be lots of things such as nervousness about the economy." I asked for the evidentiary basis for that hypothesis but it was not provided. That's just the way science works. If things don't go your way, just make something up.

Remember: if someone tries to bamboozle you like that, always ask for the data behind their hypothesis. It's rarely there.

And a big thanks to [@EmeraldRobinson](#) for the interview.

Full Video: <https://t.co/Bp2N27RLR7>

— The Vigilant Fox 🦊 (@VigilantFox) [January 18, 2023](#)

In this video, Dr. Naomi Wolf talks about all the evidence Pfizer knew very early in the rollout that this was not a safe vaccine.

Dr. Naomi Wolf: The Pfizer Documents Reveal "The Greatest Crime Against Humanity" Since WWII

- Pfizer knew the mRNA injections did not work within a month after rollout.
- Pfizer and the FDA knew the risk of heart damage in adolescents months before issuing a press release. pic.twitter.com/tl4hP29jvH

— The Vigilant Fox 🦊 (@VigilantFox) [January 17, 2023](#)

Summary

We looked at the evidence eight different ways and all the evidence is consistent: the COVID vaccines cause strokes.

Furthermore, it isn't just correlation; we have causality because all five [Bradford Hill criteria are met](#).

Finally, this is so obvious to everyone that I am certain that NOBODY IN THE ENTIRE WORLD BELIEVES OTHERWISE.

If *anyone* believed I was wrong, they'd be rushing to take my \$1M.

I predict no takers. I'd be delighted to be proven wrong.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from Children's Health Defense

The Worldwide Corona Crisis, Global Coup d'Etat Against Humanity

by Michel Chossudovsky

Michel Chossudovsky reviews in detail how this insidious project “destroys people’s lives”. He provides a comprehensive analysis of everything you need to know about the “pandemic” — from the medical dimensions to the economic and social repercussions, political underpinnings, and mental and psychological impacts.

“My objective as an author is to inform people worldwide and refute the official narrative which has been used as a justification to destabilize the economic and social fabric of entire countries, followed by the imposition of the “deadly” COVID-19 “vaccine”. This crisis affects humanity in its entirety: almost 8 billion people. We stand in solidarity with our fellow human beings and our children worldwide. Truth is a powerful instrument.”

ISBN: 978-0-9879389-3-0, **Year:** 2022, PDF Ebook, **Pages:** 164, 15 Chapters

Price: ~~\$11.50~~ Get yours for FREE! [Click here to download.](#)

We encourage you to support the eBook project by making a donation through Global Research's [DonorBox](#) [“Worldwide Corona Crisis” Campaign Page.](#)

The highest rated of his article is [Steve Kirsch's newsletter](#)
Copyright © [Steve Kirsch](#), [Steve Kirsch's newsletter](#), 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Steve Kirsch](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in

print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca