

President Petro Poroshenko, “Our Ukraine Insider” for the U.S. State Department

Is he still working for his former masters in Washington, DC?

By [Michael Collins](#)

Global Research, June 13, 2014

thepeoplesvoice.org 9 June 2014

Region: [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

Two diplomatic messages from the [WikiLeaks Public Library on U.S. Diplomacy](#) indicate that newly elected President of Ukraine, Petro Poroshenko was an agent for US States State Department. A confidential message from the U.S. Embassy in Kiev on [April 29, 2006](#) mentions the newly elected Ukraine president twice.

“During an April 28 meeting with Ambassador, Our Ukraine (OU) insider Petro Poroshenko emphatically denied he was using his influence with the Prosecutor General to put pressure on Tymoshenko lieutenant Oleksandr.”

” During an April 28 meeting with Ambassador, Our Ukraine (OU) insider Petro Poroshenko denied that he was behind Prosecutor General Oleksandr Medvedko’s recent decision to issue an arrest warrant for Tymoshenko lieutenant Oleksandr Turchynov. ... [to] question him about the alleged destruction of SBU [Ukraine intel] files on organized crime figure Seymon Mogilievich.” [Russian Mafia Boss of Bosses] [WikiLeaks Public Library of U.S. Diplomacy](#)

The motivation for alleged destruction of files appeared in an embassy message from [April 14, 2006](#).

“– The files contained information about Tymoshenko’s cooperation with Mogilievich when she ran United Energy Systems in the mid-late 1990s.” [WikiLeaks](#)

Yulia Tymoshenko, an aspiring oligarch, is the darling of the both the Bush and Obama administrations for her role in the 2004 Orange Revolution that brought the first modern anti-Russian Ukraine government to power. She helped negotiate the natural gas deals between Ukraine and Russia. In the message above, Poroshenko is making excuses for seeking Tymoshenko’s prosecution by Ukraine legal authorities.

Another mention of Poroshenko made it clear that the State Department saw the future value of Poroshenko’s insider role.

“OU-insider Petro Poroshenko was in the running for the PM job.” [WikiLeaks](#)

Secretary of State Hillary Clinton met with the current president in 2009 when he served as

Ukraine Foreign Minister. The content of the meeting was described in a confidential message from the U.S. Embassy in Kiev on [December 18, 2009](#):

[Speaking to Ukraine Foreign Minister Petro Poroshenko] “She [Secretary of State Clinton] emphasized that the United States envisioned multiple pathways to NATO membership.” [WikiLeaks](#)

Ask yourself, how would you regard a high level U.S. politician who met regularly at a foreign embassy and consistently advanced the interests of that country. Poroshenko was instrumental in a now defunct Ukraine faction called Our Ukraine. However, the pertinent information is that newly elected president had meetings (recounted in secret State Department messages) with the U.S. Ambassador, staff, and then Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, and [others](#). The two cited concern critical U.S. interests (going light on Tymoshenko corruptions charges and plans to have Ukraine join NATO). Since he was doing his work in secret, he was “our insider.” It follows that Poroshenko played the role of *agent*: “someone hired or recruited by an intelligence agency to do its bidding. The person to whom the agent reports — the actual agency employee—is known as an operative.” [Encyclopedia of Espionage, Intelligence, and Security](#).

Poroshenko is a Ukrainian oligarch, one of the fifty or so wealthiest citizens who run the country. It is unlikely the president got cash for his services but highly likely that he extracted financial advantage as a result.

Amidst the chaos and ruin visited upon Ukraine, Poroshenko’s recent election may mean a full synchronization of U.S. – Ukraine policies regarding the eastern regions where citizens of Ukraine are subject to bombardment by land and air in their towns and cities.

False Hope at D-Day Gathering?

At the recent D-Day commemoration in France, German Chancellor Angela Merkel and French President Francois Holland arranged a fifteen-minute [meeting](#) between Russian President Vladimir Putin and the newly elected Ukrainian president. Both leaders agreed that military actions must stop and set up a date for meetings to accomplish that goal. Putin went beyond military settlement by offering Ukraine its former discounts on Russian gas.

According to the Guardian, “Putin said he welcomed Poroshenko’s call for an end to the bloodshed and liked his approach to settling the crisis but *wanted to wait until the Ukrainian leader could deliver it in detail to the nation.*” (Authors emphasis) Poroshenko delivered some *detail to the nation* but it wasn’t what Putin wanted to hear in order to move forward. The inauguration speech in Kiev included the new president’s desire to sign the European Union (EU) association agreement and seek full integration into the EU, which implies NATO membership.

“Dear friends, my pen is already in my hands. I am ready now. As soon as the EU takes a relevant decision, the signature of the Ukrainian president will immediately appear under this document. We see the association agreement as only the first step towards Ukraine’s fully-fledged membership in the European Union ” [Petro Poroshenko, June 7](#)

As Poroshenko spoke, “Residents [of Slavyansk, eastern Ukraine] said the sounds of shelling reverberated around the city on Friday.” [ABC, June 7](#)

Which Poroshenko can we believe? The president who worked for the U.S. as “Our Ukraine insider” or the elected head of a sovereign state engaged in honest diplomacy?

Right now, it’s safe to stick with the bellicose rhetoric of the inaugural speech. In a heavily documented report, RT showed the handiwork of President Poroshenko’s troops in Slavyansk – eight dead yesterday from aerial bombardment of the separatist occupied city administrative building.

“Death and destruction is reported in eastern Ukraine as Kiev’s artillery has resumed shelling the rebellious city of Slavyansk. Locals tell RT they have been without running water and power for days, and that hope is fading.” [RT, June 8](#)

The [\\$5 billion spent](#) to get a U.S. friendly government in the Ukraine worked. “Our Ukraine insider,” Petro Poroshenko is president. He was informed five years ago that the U.S. wanted Ukraine in NATO, and he no doubt heard Vice President Joseph Biden’s speech in Kiev. Without a vote by Congress or a valid treaty, Biden assured the then coup-run government that our government would be there to help.

U.S. will stand by Ukraine in face of Russian aggression, Biden says

“I came here to Kiev to let you know, Mr. Prime Minister, and every Ukrainian know that the United States stands with you and is working to support all Ukrainians seeking a better future. You should know that you will not walk this road alone. We will walk it with you.” [Vice President Joseph Biden, April 22](#)

The players and plans have been in place for years and it’s all paid off. The White House and their masters finally have their

insider in place in charge of Ukraine. It’s worth listening to the assessment of former [U.S. Ambassador to Ukraine John E. Herbst](#) and his Deputy around the time they handled Poroshenko. The ambassador saw him as a “disgraced oligarch” and his deputy pointed out that ““Poroshenko was tainted by credible corruption allegations.”

Spreading *brand democracy* around the world is a tough job. Somebody’s got to do it.

The original source of this article is [thepeoplesvoice.org](#)
Copyright © [Michael Collins, thepeoplesvoice.org](#), 2014

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Michael Collins](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca