

Political Assassination: An inquest for Dr David Kelly - now or never

By [Global Research](#)

Global Research, September 26, 2011

26 September 2011

Dear citizen,

You will know something about this man, his death and the lack of an inquest into it. A few of us doctors first voiced our concerns in January 2004. We did not believe the first cause of death - haemorrhage from a transected ulnar artery was feasible, or that suicide had been proved beyond reasonable doubt, or the intent to commit it. We saw that due process of law had been subverted. Instead of an inquest first and an inquiry into the political and media storm surrounding the death second, my Lord Falconer appointed my Lord Hutton on the same day Dr Kelly was found. That is while his body was still cooling. You will not be able to recall such alacrity in setting up any inquiry in the UK. Chilcot - announced 6 years + after the onset of Shock and Awe.

The 'inquest' that was subsumed into the Hutton Inquiry consumed only one half of one day, whereas the whole took twenty days. I could spend another 100 pages relating the omissions, the lies, the gross insufficiency in inquiry and more.

To cut a long story short, we engaged Leigh Day solicitors three years ago, with Frances Swaine in the lead and all working pro bono. Our colleague, Dr Michael Powers, was our barrister and also served pro bono. He has served as an assistant coroner and coronial law is one of his specialisms.

A Memorial and a later Addendum, both with a large amount of supporting material, and lead by Dr Stephen Frost, were lodged with the Attorney General Dominic Grieve QC 28 February 2011 in a plea for an inquest. This was rejected by the AG 9 June in an announcement to parliament but not formally to our lawyers. There were just three months in which to seek a judicial review. I made a move about 2 weeks before that date knowing this was the last chance of getting an inquest. My colleagues could not stay with me for family reasons in the main, the threat of costs be awarded against us being a central factor. A very good legal team of Withers solicitors with John Cooper QC, at least 670 members of the public who have boosted the fund to £40,000, and myself now await the decision of a judge in the Administrative Division of the High Court.

IF permission is granted, the next stage is a hearing in the High Court. Costs will mount up to that point. I am taking considerable risks in this case which has deep judicial, constitutional (should the AG be JR - able?), moral, forensic pathological and political facets.

But sharing these risks and this spirit will ease the minds of Sue and myself about the possibility of losing a good part of our savings, and demonstrate to the judiciary that public

support is very strong. Do pass this to like minded people - please. The main stream media is silent, as the grave.

Please read this on line and consider donating via Margaret Hindle - link below.

http://dhalpin.infoaction.org.uk/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=120&Itemid=2 Witness Statement

http://dhalpin.infoaction.org.uk/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=119&Itemid=2 Statement and Grounds

<http://www.inquest4drdk.co.uk/> The Dr David Kelly Inquest Fund

As the grinning Blair, the paramount psychopath and war criminal, slides from one TV studio to another in obvious attempts at rehabilitation, we do not forget him or his cabal.

We remember over one million killed, four million made refugees, one million widows and five million orphans. We know this cradle of civilisation has been destroyed for ever.

David Kelly's death had to do, in some way, with this supreme war crime. We must stand up in this one reflection of that deepest evil.

For truth, reason and justice and thank you for being with me

David Halpin

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Global Research](#), Global Research, 2011

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Global Research](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca