

The Polish-Ukrainian-UK Joint Statement Practically Trolls Itself Twice

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, February 19, 2022

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch_crg](#).

The UK coldly abandoned Poland to Nazi Germany, after which approximately 6 million of its citizens were literally genocided within less than six years. Moreover, Ukraine does indeed have the right to choose its alliances, just not at anyone else’s expense like Russia’s.

Poland, Ukraine, and the UK issued a [joint statement](#) on Thursday confirming the creation of a new trilateral partnership. As the author earlier [explained](#), it’s unlikely to have any meaningful impact on shaping regional dynamics but is mostly meant to enhance the profits of their military-industrial complexes and generate more attention for their complementary information warfare campaigns against Russia. There’s no need to rehash the points made in his previous piece, which is why the present one will explain how their joint statement practically trolls itself twice.

The first point that they made reads as follows: “The United Kingdom, Poland and Ukraine enjoy deep historical ties, built on shared values, a shared commitment to peace and security and a shared history of standing together against aggressors who threaten freedom in Europe.” That’s factually misleading though since the UK abandoned Poland after Nazi Germany invaded it on 1 September 1939. Although the UK subsequently hosted Polish forces and officials, it nevertheless also agreed to Soviet leader Joseph Stalin’s redrawing of the Second Polish Republic’s eastern borders after World War II ended.

Both of these are popularly regarded in the Polish consciousness as “Western betrayals”. Poles expected the Brits to honor their alliance against Nazi Germany, which London refused to do right after the invasion began. That resulted in the tremendous destruction of the country, including the genocide of [at least 17%](#) of its population (some estimates go even higher to around 25% or so). This tragedy might have been prevented had the UK swiftly responded to Nazi. It’s thus misleading to say that they have “a shared history of standing together against aggressors who threaten freedom in Europe.”

The second point of their statement reads that “We reiterate that each European State is free to choose or change its security arrangements, including treaties of alliance, and no State can consider any part of Europe as its sphere of influence.” This is also misleading since Russia just reminded the US-led West in its [response](#) to their reply to Moscow’s security guarantee requests that Washington always omits the second part of this agreed-upon international principle related to ensuring that enhancing one’s security doesn’t occur at anyone else’s expense.

That’s precisely the problem too since the US has been hiding behind the first-mentioned part of this principle to violate the second with respect to Russia’s nuclear second-strike capabilities, ergo the very reason for [the undeclared US-provoked missile crisis in Europe](#) in the first place. Nevertheless, the Polish-Ukrainian-UK Troika does indeed have a point in reiterating “each European State is free to choose or change its security arrangements, including treaties of alliance, and no State can consider any part of Europe as its sphere of influence”, it’s just not the point that they intended.

Ukraine’s constitutionally enshrined goal to eventually enter NATO poses a serious threat to Russia’s national security red lines. Kiev can, however, [reconsider](#) the [wisdom](#) of doing so as a meaningful de-escalation measure if it wants, in which case its decision must be respected by the US-led West and in particular by Poland and the UK per their latest joint statement. As for “spheres of influence”, it’s hypocritical that the [Anglo-American Axis](#) considers Ukraine to tacitly fall within their own unstated one, though these double standards are obviously lost on those three and Poland.

It’s due to these observations that one can describe the Polish-Ukrainian-UK joint statement as practically trolling itself twice. The UK coldly abandoned Poland to Nazi Germany, after which approximately 6 million of its citizens were literally genocided within less than six years. Moreover, Ukraine does indeed have the right to choose its alliances, just not at anyone else’s expense like Russia’s. It could even theoretically reverse its goal of joining NATO too since its formal incorporation into the US’ sphere of military interests could literally lead to World War III like President Putin [warned](#).

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch_crg. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was originally published on [OneWorld](#).

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China’s One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare.

He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from OneWorld

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca