

Physicians' Prescription for Action on North Korea. Promote Diplomacy, Prevent War

Congress must recognize the risk of a massive public health disaster and block the path to war

By [Physicians for Social Responsibility](#)

Global Research, June 08, 2018

[Physicians for Social Responsibility](#) 7 June
2018

Region: [Asia](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [NORTH KOREA](#)

In the run-up to the June 12 summit with North Korea, a group of prominent physicians today urged Congressional leaders to promote diplomacy and prevent a war that could result in massive casualties. In a sign-on [letter](#) organized by [Physicians for Social Responsibility](#) and delivered today to key committee chairs and majority and minority leaders in both houses of Congress, the physicians warned about the catastrophic impact of a war on the Korean Peninsula. In the letter, the physicians “welcome the recent diplomatic momentum” but also demand that if diplomacy fails, “as a matter of public health policy...Congress must act to block the path to war.”

“Twenty-five million people on both sides of the Korean demilitarized zone, including at least 100,000 American citizens, are at risk,” the letter said. “In its November, 2017, report: [The North Korean Nuclear Challenge: Military Options and Issues for Congress](#), the Congressional Research Service estimated that any military conflict on the Korean Peninsula would cause mass civilian casualties. And the medical consequences of any use of nuclear weapons would be catastrophic, with no adequate medical response.... It is critical that Congress re-assert its war-making authority, require Congressional approval for any military strike against North Korea, and actively support diplomacy in the region.”

The full text of the letter and list of signers is posted [here](#).

The physicians' concerns are well founded. Several officials have made statements concurring with the Congressional Research Service report's finding that if the U.S. goes to war with North Korea, mass casualties are likely. Defense Secretary Mattis [said](#) it “would be probably the worst kind of fighting in most people's lifetimes.”

“We are deeply concerned about the possibility of a war in Korea which would be a humanitarian catastrophe,” said **Ira Helfand, MD**, one of the signers of the letter. “The summit can be an important step toward denuclearization, but it is just the beginning of a process. If the summit does not go well—and there is a good possibility that it will not—we cannot use this ‘failure’ as a pretext to go to war.”

Dr. Helfand is co-chair of PSR's Nuclear Weapons Abolition Committee, and co-president of

the global federation International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War (IPPNW). PSR is the U.S. affiliate of IPPNW.

If North Korea does not readily abandon its nuclear weapons, the physicians argue it's all the more critical for Congress to block the path to war to stop a predictable, preventable public health disaster. That position aligns with public opinion: 62% of Americans [polled](#) say the U.S. should not threaten military action against North Korea if it does not give up its nuclear weapons.

“Tracking the play-by-play interactions with North Korea, it's too easy to forget this is not a political football game,” said **Lynn Ringenberg, MD**, President-elect of PSR and retired U.S. Army Colonel, who also signed the letter. “It's not simply a matter of the U.S. prevailing and getting North Korea to do what it wants. Literally millions of lives are at stake, which it's incumbent on policymakers to protect. If the President thinks the U.S. can ‘win’ by going to war and triggering massive casualties, then Congress must exercise its Constitutional authority over war-making to prevent it. No military strike against North Korea should be launched without Congressional approval.”

The 2018 National Defense Authorization Act that recently passed the House contains language stipulating it is not to be construed as authorizing use of force against North Korea. The Senate takes up the measure this week. Representative **Ro Khanna** (D-CA) introduced legislation (H.R. 4837) specifically asserting Congressional authority over an American first-strike on North Korea, with 69 cosponsors. **Senators Ed Markey** (C-MA) and **Chris Murphy** (D-CT) have introduced similar legislation in the Senate. (S. 2016 and S. 2047).

“North Korea is wrong if it thinks nuclear weapons are providing security,” said **Martin Fleck**, Director of PSR's Nuclear Weapons Abolition Program. “But the same is true for all of the nuclear-armed countries. PSR advocates for the total elimination of nuclear weapons worldwide, and we strongly support the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons. ‘Denuclearizing’ the Korean Peninsula is imperative to protect public health and safety, and physicians and health professionals recognize that imperative. But we should also recognize we can't ultimately protect health and safety without global denuclearization.”

The original source of this article is [Physicians for Social Responsibility](#)
Copyright © [Physicians for Social Responsibility](#), [Physicians for Social Responsibility](#), 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Physicians for Social Responsibility](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca