

# Pentagon Admits Missile Defenses Powerless in Face of Russian Hypersonic Weapons

US Strategic Command's apprehensions were reportedly shared by President Donald Trump, who reportedly expressed concerns over Russia's new 'invincible' intercontinental cruise missile and nuclear torpedo during a telephone call with President Vladimir Putin.

By [Sputnik](#)

Global Research, March 22, 2018

[Sputnik International](#) 21 March 2018

Region: [Russia and FSU, USA](#)

Theme: [Militarization and WMD](#)

*In testimony before the US Senate Armed Services Committee, StratCom commander Gen. John Hyten struggled to find the right words before admitting that there is nothing in the US arsenal that could stop Russia's new hypersonic weapons.*

"We have a very difficult - well, our defense is our deterrent capability. We don't have any defense that could deny the employment of such a weapon against us, so our response would be our deterrent force, which would be the triad and the nuclear capabilities that we have to respond to such a threat," Hyten said, responding to Senator Jim Inhofe's question about what kind of defense the US could mount against the threat of hypersonic missiles.

Echoing the proposals outlined in February's [Nuclear Posture Review](#), Hyten said that the US might consider the deployment of sub-launched missiles with low-yield nuclear weapons to respond to Russia's plans for battlefield nukes.

The commander noted that these weapons, together with the US's existing nuclear triad of land-based intercontinental ballistic missiles, strategic bombers and submarine-launched ballistic missiles, would serve as the best deterrent against Russia, China, or North Korea. At the present time, he admitted, while Russia and China are "aggressively pursuing hypersonic capabilities," the US's own hypersonic weapons remain either at the concept or testing stage.

Hyten's startling admission may signal the beginning of a shift in Washington's nuclear policy of the last decade and a half, which saw the US' withdrawal from the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty and the creation of a missile shield on Russia's Western border. Moscow has [repeatedly emphasized](#) that Russia has a nuclear no-first use policy, except in cases where a conventional attack threatens the existence of the Russian state.

Hyten's concerns were also apparently echoed by the White House. According to the New York Times, in a phone call to President Vladimir Putin on Tuesday, President Trump [voiced concerns](#) over his Russian counterpart's recent speech to lawmakers, where Putin had discussed the creation and deployment of unstoppable ultra-long cruise missiles and nuclear torpedoes capable of outsmarting all US strategic defense systems. During the call, Trump

also boasted that the US was spending some \$700 billion to upgrade its military, and that Russia would lose in any new arms race.

On March 1, Putin announced a series of new Russian weapons systems designed to serve as an asymmetric response to US missile defenses and the deployment of NATO forces on Russia's borders.



The original source of this article is [Sputnik International](#)  
Copyright © [Sputnik](#), [Sputnik International](#), 2018

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Sputnik](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)