

Weed Killing Pesticide Paraquat Allegedly Causes Parkinson. Litigation in U.S. Courts

By [Carey Gillam](#)

Global Research, May 11, 2021

[US Right to Know](#) 3 May 2021

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Biotechnology and GMO](#), [Law and Justice](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

Six more lawsuits alleging Syngenta’s weed killing pesticide paraquat causes Parkinson’s Disease were filed last week in Pennsylvania, California and Illinois, adding to more than a dozen similar lawsuits already filed in U.S. courts.

The lawsuits all allege that exposure to paraquat, which is banned in more than 30 countries though not in the United States, causes the incurable and progressive Parkinson’s disorder that affects nerve cells in the brain, leading in advanced cases to severe physical debilitation and often dementia and death.

Many Parkinson’s experts say the disease can be caused by a range of factors, including exposure to pesticides such as paraquat, as well as other chemicals.

The first trial set to take place in the United States is to begin on May 10 in St. Clair County Circuit Court in Illinois. Missouri lawyer Steve Tillery is representing the plaintiffs in [Hoffman V. Syngenta](#) and said he plans to introduce evidence that includes internal company records showing Syngenta has known for decades that its product causes Parkinson’s Disease.

The defendants in the Hoffman case, as well as the other cases filed, name the Swiss-based Syngenta and Chevron USA as defendants.

Both Chevron and Syngenta deny there is a connection between the disease and the weed killer.

Chevron distributed and sold paraquat products in the United States starting with an agreement with a Syngenta predecessor called Imperial Chemical Industries (ICI), which introduced a paraquat-based herbicide called Gramoxone in 1962. Under a license agreement, Chevron had the right to manufacture, use, and sell paraquat formulations in the U.S.

Syngenta says that its paraquat products have been approved as “safe and effective” for more than 50 years and it will “vigorously” defend the lawsuits. Syngenta is owned by China

National Chemical Corporation, known as ChemChina.

The complaints were filed on April 30 by a team of law firms: [DiCello Levitt Gutzler](#), [Saltz Mongeluzzi & Bendesky, P.C.](#) and [Searcy Denney](#).

Mark DiCello, one of the plaintiffs' attorneys bringing the cases, said Chevron and Syngenta have "long known they were peddling this poison," and that the science surrounding paraquat "is conclusively on the side of the plaintiffs."

Jeffrey Goodman, another of the plaintiffs' attorneys helping bring the litigation said the filings so far are but the "tip of the iceberg" of what he expects to expand into a major mass tort case.

"The manufacturers of paraquat knew for decades that their product was linked to Parkinson's disease yet chose to hide this information from regulators and the public," Goodman said.

The newly filed cases are:

- [Eastern District of Pennsylvania, No. 2:21-cv-01996-JMY](#)
- [Eastern District of Pennsylvania, No. 2:21-02013](#)
- [Eastern District of Pennsylvania, No. 2:1:02016](#)
- [Southern District of Illinois, No. 3:21-cv-00439](#)
- [Northern District of California, No. 3:21-cv-03233](#)
- [Northern District of California, No. 3:21-cv-03229](#)

The new cases [join at least 14 lawsuits](#) filed by eight different law firms in six different federal courts across the country.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, [@crg_globalresearch](#). Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is [US Right to Know](#)

Copyright © [Carey Gillam](#), [US Right to Know](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Carey Gillam](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in

print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca