

# Pakistan Government Coverup of US War Crimes. Release of “Inaccurate Figures” on Drone Victims

By [Global Research News](#)

Global Research, October 31, 2013  
[reprise.org.uk](http://reprise.org.uk)

Region: [Asia](#)

Theme: [Crimes against Humanity](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

*Figures released by the Government of Pakistan today regarding the number of civilian deaths caused by drone strikes are shown to be inaccurate by a report provided to the courts by Pakistan’s authorities earlier this year, it has emerged.*

A report submitted to the Peshawar High Court in early 2013 by the Political Agents of North Waziristan – the central Government’s representative in the region – stated that 896 Pakistani civilians had been killed in drone strikes in the area in the last five years. The court also found that a further 553 civilians were killed in South Waziristan over the same period. However, according to the Associated Press, **the Ministry of Defense today claimed that just 67 civilians have been killed by drone strikes in Pakistan since 2008, and none at all in 2012 or 2013.**

The claim of no civilian casualties at all in 2012 is especially problematic, as the relatives of a 67-year-old grandmother killed in an October 2012 drone strike yesterday testified before the US Congress. Rafiq ur Rehman, a primary school teacher from North Waziristan, told members of Congress how his mother, Mamma Bibi, was killed in the strike, which also injured two of his children who testified with him at the briefing: Zubair (13) and Nabila (9). There are several other strikes in 2012 which killed civilians and have been widely reported by independent sources: two such strikes were in July 2012, where rescue workers were targeted, killing more than 20 civilians.

These strikes were also profiled in a recent report by human rights organisation Amnesty International.

The claims of no civilian casualties resulting from drone strikes over the course of two years are also reminiscent of CIA Director (and then-counter-terrorism adviser) John Brennan’s 2011 claim that “there hasn’t been a single collateral death” due to the drone programme – a claim which a USAF Colonel with drone expertise said “does not sound to me like reality,” and which President Obama was subsequently forced to abandon.

Today, members of Senate in Pakistan rejected the figures submitted by the government and asked the minister concerned to withdraw his answer in order to verify them; after which the Opposition walked out of the Senate in protest.

Commenting, Shahzad Akbar, Fellow of human rights charity Reprise and a lawyer for civilian victims of drone strikes including the Rehman family, said: “The latest figures from the Pakistani Government are clearly wrong and the Federal Minister is lying to Parliament. It is absurd to suggest there has not been a single civilian casualty for two years, especially

when some of those victims just yesterday gave evidence to the US Congress. They are also undermined by the far higher figures the Government provided to the Peshawar High Court earlier this year. The Government needs to correct its mistake, and apologise to my clients, the Rehman family, for the shameful way in which they have ignored their suffering. It is also interesting to note that a Pakistani minister is giving such a statement after the Prime Minister's recent visit to the US, where the United States released over \$ 1 billion to Pakistan."

## Notes

1. For further information, please contact Donald Campbell in Reprieve's press office: +44 (0) 7791 755 415 / [donald.campbell@reprieve.org.uk](mailto:donald.campbell@reprieve.org.uk)

2. The Pakistani Government's figures on drone strikes have been reported by the Associated Press: <http://abcnews.go.com/International/wireStory/pakistan-percent-drone-deaths-civilians-20725123>

3. The 2013 Peshawar High Court judgement on drones can be found here - see p4 for numbers of civilian casualties: <http://www.peshawarhighcourt.gov.pk/images/wp%201551-p%2020212.pdf>

4. John Brennan's comments on civilian casualties from drones can be found here: [http://www.nytimes.com/2011/08/12/world/asia/12drones.html?pagewanted=all&\\_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2011/08/12/world/asia/12drones.html?pagewanted=all&_r=0)

The original source of this article is [reprieve.org.uk](http://reprieve.org.uk)

Copyright © [Global Research News](http://GlobalResearchNews.com), [reprieve.org.uk](http://reprieve.org.uk), 2013

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Global Research News](#)**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)