

Overwhelming Resistance to Trump's Plan to Scuttle the Iran Deal

By John Glaser

Global Research, September 25, 2017

CATO Institute 21 September 2017

Region: Middle East & North Africa, USA

Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>US NATO War</u>

<u>Agenda</u>

In-depth Report: IRAN: THE NEXT WAR?,

Nuclear War

This has not been a good week for **President Trump**'s Iran policy. As the president has <u>indicated</u>, he plans in mid-October to decertify Iranian compliance with the nuclear deal, or the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA), negotiated and signed in 2015, which rolled back Iran's nuclear program, placed severe restrictions on it for the foreseeable future, and imposed <u>the world's most intrusive inspections regime</u> on what remained.

Leaving aside for now the <u>various and profoundly negative ramifications</u> of Trump's stated intention to declare Iran in violation of the agreement, the most immediate problem for the president has always been that Iran is, in fact, *not in violation of the deal*. As attested to by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and all other signatories to the agreement – including Germany, France, the United Kingdom, China, and Russia – Iran is fully <u>compliant</u> with its obligations under the JCPOA.

But this week brought significant pushback to Trump's plan. Following the president's speech to the UN General Assembly on Tuesday, world leaders and diplomats <u>reportedly</u> pressured the president and members of his administration in numerous meetings to reconsider his plan to scuttle the JCPOA. Allies publicly criticized him.

"Renouncing [the Iran deal] would be a grave error, not respecting it would be irresponsible," said the French president **Emmanuel Macron**, suggesting further that decertification could create another nuclear crisis like North Korea.

In a <u>press conference</u> following a meeting with representatives from every signatory country, **Federica Mogherini**, High Representative of the European Union, said that if the United States walks out of the deal, Europe would make sure it remains in place anyways. She further indicated,

"The international community cannot afford dismantling an agreement that is working and delivering...Iran is complying."

Mogherini confirmed that all of the parties to the JCPOA, including the United States, <u>agreed</u> in the closed-door meeting that "there is no violation." This prompted questions for **Secretary of State Rex Tillerson**, who had to sheepishly <u>admit</u> that "from a technical standpoint, the IAEA reports continue to indicate and confirm that Iran is in

technical compliance of the agreement."

Foreign Policy reported that the Trump administration's ploy to use the threat of decertification to reopen negotiations on the JCPOA and squeeze more concessions out of Iran "collapsed on Wednesday as key European powers persevered in their effort to rescue the deal from an American walkout, and Iran's president made clear his government wouldn't revisit the terms of the pact." The New York Times reported that Trump's repudiation of the international consensus and his brash denunciation of the JCPOA was unintentionally generating global sympathy for Iran, while damaging U.S. credibility and trust.

Even members of Trump's own party began to break ranks. On Tuesday, **Rep. Ed Royce**, Republican Chairman of the House Foreign Affairs Committee, told CNN that Trump should not withdraw from the Iran deal, but rather continue to "enforce the hell out of it." **Senator Rand Paul** (R-KY), who opposed the deal when it was signed in 2015, told reporters,

"if [Iran is] complying with it, I think we should stay in it."

Then, on Wednesday afternoon, the Commander of U.S. Strategic Command, **General John Hyten**, in <u>a speech at the Hudson Institute</u>, said,

"The facts are that Iran is operating under the agreement that we signed up for under JCPOA... We have an agreement that our nation has signed and I believe that if the United States of America signs an agreement, it's our job to live up to the terms of that agreement, it's our job to enforce that."

It's not clear that this overwhelming resistance to decertification will dissuade President Trump. But the American people should know that if Trump goes through with it, he'll be doing it in defiance of the facts, the international community, much of his <u>own cabinet</u>, and at least some prominent members of his own party.

This may soon become Congress's responsibility. According to legislation passed subsequent to the signing of the JCPOA, that requires the president to certify Iranian compliance every 90-days, decertification triggers a 60-day clock for Congress to decide by majority vote whether to re-impose nuclear-related sanctions on Iran. A decision to do so and join President Trump in his misbegotten scheme to unravel the Iran deal would be dangerous and irresponsible.

Towards a World War III Scenario: The Dangers of Nuclear War

By Michel Chossudovsky

×

List Price: \$15.95 Special Price: \$10.25

Order directly from Global Research

The US has embarked on a military adventure, "a long war", which threatens the future of humanity. US-NATO weapons of mass destruction are portrayed as instruments of peace. Mini-nukes are said to be "harmless to the surrounding civilian population". Pre-emptive nuclear war is portrayed as a "humanitarian undertaking".

While one can conceptualize the loss of life and destruction resulting from present-day wars including Iraq and Afghanistan, it is impossible to fully comprehend the devastation which might result from a Third World War, using "new technologies" and advanced weapons, until it occurs and becomes a reality. The international community has endorsed nuclear war in the name of world peace. "Making the world safer" is the justification for launching a military operation which could potentially result in a nuclear holocaust.

Nuclear war has become a multibillion dollar undertaking, which fills the pockets of US defense contractors. What is at stake is the outright "privatization of nuclear war". (Excerpt from Preface)

"This book is a 'must' resource – a richly documented and systematic diagnosis of the supremely pathological geo-strategic planning of US wars since '9-11' against non-nuclear countries to seize their oil fields and resources under cover of 'freedom and democracy'."

–John McMurtry, Professor of Philosophy, Guelph University

"In a world where engineered, pre-emptive, or more fashionably "humanitarian" wars of aggression have become the norm, this challenging book may be our final wake-up call."

-Denis Halliday, Former Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations

Michel Chossudovsky exposes the insanity of our privatized war machine. Iran is being targeted with nuclear weapons as part of a war agenda built on distortions and lies for the purpose of private profit. The real aims are oil, financial hegemony and global control. The price could be nuclear holocaust. When weapons become the hottest export of the world's only superpower, and diplomats work as salesmen for the defense industry, the whole world is recklessly endangered. If we must have a military, it belongs entirely in the public sector. No one should profit from mass death and destruction.

-Ellen Brown, author of 'Web of Debt' and president of the Public Banking Institute

The original source of this article is <u>CATO Institute</u> Copyright © John Glaser, CATO Institute, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: John Glaser

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in

print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca