

Outsourcing the Kill Chain: Eleven Drone Contractors Revealed

By [Pratap Chatterjee](#)

Global Research, August 04, 2015

[CorpWatch](#) 3 August 2015

Theme: [Militarization and WMD, US NATO War Agenda](#)

Imagery analysts. Photo: U.S. Air Force.

Hundreds of [private sector intelligence analysts are being paid to review surveillance footage from U.S. military drones](#) in Central Asia and the Middle East, according to a new report from the Bureau of Investigative Journalism.

Bureau reporters Crofton Black and Abigail Fielding-Smith name eleven companies that have won hundreds of millions of dollars in contracts to plug a shortage in personnel needed to analyze the thousands of hours of streaming video gathered daily from the remotely piloted aircraft that hover over war zones around the world: Advanced Concepts Enterprises, BAE Systems, [Booz Allen Hamilton](#), General Dynamics, Intrepid Solutions, L-3 Communications, MacAulay-Brown, SAIC, Transvoyant, Worldwide Language Resources and Zel Technologies. (see details below)

“Contractors are used to fill the gap to give enough manpower to provide flexibility necessary for military to do things like take leave,” one analyst who worked with the Air Force at Hurlburt Field airbase in Florida, told the Bureau.

Private companies have been providing support for military intelligence for many years. Ever since CACI’s role in supplying interrogators at Abu Ghraib came to light in 2004 <http://www.corpwatch.org/article.php?id=10828>, CorpWatch has regularly documented dozens of companies like [BAE Systems](#), [Booz Allen Hamilton](#), [L-3 Communications](#) and [SAIC](#) that have provided such services to the federal government ranging from surveillance equipment and weapons to propaganda experts and imagery analysts.

Today, these contractors are flocking to the drone business, which has become the linchpin of President Barack Obama’s military strategy, just as the ground war has wound down. Although the Central Intelligence Agency has garnered most of the media attention for targeted killing delivered by drones in countries like Pakistan and Yemen, the bulk of the so-called “War on Terror” is really conducted by U.S. Air Force pilots and support personnel who fly 65 round-the-clock “combat air patrols” of Global Hawk, Predator and Reaper drones around the world from faraway locations.

Each of these patrols, which involve three to four aircraft, require as many as 186 individuals who staff a complex and global system. Typically pilots and camera operators work out of bases like Creech in Nevada, while maintenance crews work in friendly countries like Afghanistan and Saudi Arabia. Video analysts work out of military bases like California

and Florida while military lawyers who are required to approve strike decisions are stationed at the Al-Udeid base in Qatar.

Imagery analysts who review video footage are among the lowest ranked among the personnel who work in the drone war hierarchy. Typically these are entry level “airmen” who only need a high school diploma and eleven months of military training. The drone pilots are officers with undergraduate degrees and more years of training.

Both airmen and officers become eligible to work as private contractors after they complete their military service, where they can be paid twice as much for the same work, and get the added bonus of picking their hours and work locations. (The Air Force Times estimates that drone maintenance pilots stationed overseas who work for companies like Raytheon can [make as much as \\$225,000 a year](#)) Since all the initial training and the security clearances are provided by the military, all the contractors are required to do is recruit Air Force veterans and then put them on their payrolls.

By all accounts, the private contractors do not take part in making decisions as to who to kill nor are they allowed to fire missiles.

But contractors do sometimes play a key role in military missions by the very nature of their analytical work. In 2010, Major General Timothy McHale identified an [SAIC](#) staffer who led a team of imagery analysts to track three vehicles in Daikundi province, Afghanistan. The information provided by these analysts led to some two dozen people being killed but later investigations would reveal that none of the people on board the vehicles were militants.

List of Imagery Analyst Contractors

Advanced Concepts Enterprises: Sub-contractor to MacAulay-Brown (See [here](#))

BAE Systems (No contract identified. However the company identifies details [here](#))

Booz Allen Hamilton (See [here](#))

General Dynamics (See [here](#))

Intrepid Solutions: Won a five year contract with U.S. Army’s Intelligence and Security Command in 2012 (See [here](#))

L-3 Communications: Won a \$155 million contract in 2010 to provide services to the U.S. Special Operations Command for five years. (See [here](#))

MacAulay-Brown: Won a \$60 million contract in 2011 to provide 187 analysts to U.S. Air Force Special Operations Command for three years. (See [here](#), and [here](#), and [here](#))

SAIC: Won a 2007 contract to provide 202 analysts to the U.S. Air Force Special Operations Command (See [here](#))

Transvoyant: Won a \$49 million five year contract with the U.S. Marine Corps (See [here](#))

Worldwide Language Resources: Won a \$1 million 2010 contract with the U.S. Army. (See [here](#))

Zel Technologies provides over 100 imagery analysts to the U.S. Air Force Special

Operations Command (See [here](#))

The original source of this article is [CorpWatch](#)
Copyright © [Pratap Chatterjee](#), [CorpWatch](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Pratap Chatterjee](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.
For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca